

## m. Mappings

E..

Definition . (1) With  $A, B, \dots, C, \dots, Z \subseteq W$ , the notation  $\Xi : A \times B \times \dots \times C \times \dots \rightarrow Z$  indicates that for each  $a \in A, b \in B, \dots, c \in C, \dots$  the set  $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c, \dots) \subseteq Z$  is uniquely determined by  $a, b, c, \dots$ .

(2) A set  $\Theta(u)$  defined for all  $u \in U$  for which  $\{\Theta(u) \setminus \Theta(u')\} \cup \{\Theta(u') \setminus \Theta(u)\}$  is void for all  $u, u' \in U$  is said to be independent of  $u$  in  $U$

(3\*) The notation  $\Xi : R \rightsquigarrow J$  indicates that  $\Xi : R \rightarrow J$  and that  $\Xi(a)$  is not independent of  $a$  in  $R$  (p) The notation  $\Xi : A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow Z$  indicates that  $\Xi : A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow Z$  and that for each set  $S = \{a, b, \dots, d, \dots\}$  with  $a \in A, b \in B, \dots, d \in D, \dots$   $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  is not independent of  $C$ , and that similar dependence holds for with regard to the arguments in all sets accompanied by a tilde in the above notation.

(4) With  $A, B, \dots, C, D, \dots, Z \subseteq W$  the notation  $\Xi : A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow Z$  indicates that  $\Xi : A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow Z$

(2) that  $\Xi : A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow Z$  and

(3) that for each set  $S = \{a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots\}$  with  $a \in A, b \in B, \dots, c \in C, d \in D, \dots$  either

(β1)  $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  is independent of  $c'$  in  $C$  or

(β2) with  $x \in \Xi(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  there is only one  $c' \in C$  (namely  $c' = c$ ) for which  $x \in \Xi(a, b, \dots, c', d, \dots)$  (which

(which of the two conditions (β1, β2) holds may depend upon  $S$ ) and

(β3) that condition (β1) or (β2) holds not only with regard to the

argument in C but also independently with regard to the [2] arguments in all sets accompanied by a full stop in the above notation

(5) With  $A, B, \dots, C, D, \dots, E, F, \dots, Z \subseteq W$  the notation

$\equiv : A \times B \times \dots \times C : \times D : \times \dots \times E : \times F : \times \dots \rightarrow Z$  indicates

a) that  $\equiv : A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \times E \times F \times \dots \rightarrow Z$  and

b) that each set  $S = \{a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots, e, f, \dots\}$  with  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B, \dots, c \in C, d \in D, \dots, e \in E, f \in F, \dots$  establishes a partition of the system of sets  $C, D, \dots, E, F, \dots$  accompanied by a colon in the above notation; with regard to the argument ranges  $E, F, \dots, \equiv(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots, e, f, \dots)$

$\equiv(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots, e', f, \dots)$  is independent of  $e'$  in  $E$ ,  $\equiv(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots, e, f', \dots)$  is independent of  $f'$  in  $F, \dots$ ; with regard

to the argument ranges  $C, D, \dots$  the conditions that

if  $x \in \equiv(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots, e, f, \dots)$  there is only one

$c' \in C$  (namely  $c' = c$ ), only one  $d' \in D$  (namely  $d' = d$ ), ...

for which  $x \in \equiv(a, b, \dots, c', d', \dots, e, f)$  hold simultaneously.

"The partition of the system  $C, D, \dots, E, F, \dots$  into two

systems  $C, D, \dots$  and  $E, F, \dots$  may depend upon  $S$ .)

(6a) The notation  $\equiv : R \rightarrow \bar{J} < \equiv : R \rightarrow I \parallel J \gg$  indicates that  $\equiv : R \rightarrow \bar{J}$  and that  $\equiv(a)$  is nonvoid for at least one  $\langle \text{for all} \rangle a \in R$ .

(b) The notation  $\equiv : A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times E \times \dots \rightarrow Z$  indicates that  $\equiv : A \times B \times$

(b1) that  $\equiv : A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times E \times \dots \rightarrow Z$  and

(b2) that for each set  $\{a, b, \dots, c, e, \dots\}$  with  $a \in A, b \in B,$

$\dots, c \in C, e \in E, \dots$ ,  $\equiv(a, b, \dots, c, d, e, \dots)$  is nonvoid for [3]  
at least one  $d \in D$   
and that similar properties conditions hold with regard  
to all argument ranges  $C, D, E, \dots$

to the arguments in all sets accompanied by a bar in  
the above notation.

(7) The notation  $\equiv : A \times B \times \dots \times C \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  indicates  
that  $\equiv : A \times B \times \dots \times C \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and that  $\equiv(a, b, \dots, c, \dots)$  is  
nonvoid for all  $a \in A, b \in B, \dots, c \in C, \dots$ .

(8) With  $A, B, \dots, \mathbb{Z} \subseteq W$ ,  $\equiv : A \times B \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $A \subseteq A'$ ,  
 $B' \subseteq B, \dots, \equiv(A', B', \dots)$  is the void set if either  
 $A'$  or  $B'$  or ... is void and is otherwise the set of all  
 $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  for which  $x \in \equiv(a, b, \dots)$  for at least one  
argument distribution  $a \in A', b \in B', \dots$ .

(9) The notation  $\equiv : A \times B \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  means that  
 $\equiv$  is surjective in the sense that  $\equiv : A \times B \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$   
and  $\equiv(A, B, \dots) = \mathbb{Z}$ .

(10) With  $\equiv : R \rightarrow \bar{J}$  and  $\equiv(a)$  void for all  $a \in R$ ,  
 $\equiv^{-1} : \bar{J} \rightarrow R$  is defined by taking  $\equiv^{-1}(b)$  to be  
void for all  $b \in \bar{J}$ ; when  $\equiv$  is not identically  
void as described,  $\equiv^{-1} : \equiv(R) \rightarrow R$  is the  
mapping defined by taking, for each  $b \in \equiv(R)$ ,  
 $\equiv^{-1}(b)$  to be the set of all  $a$  for which  $b \in \equiv(a)$ .

(11) The notation  $\equiv : A \times A \times B : \times B : \times B : \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is  
written as  $\equiv : A^2 \times (B :)^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and other notations  
are abbreviated in the same way.

3) The notation  $\Xi : A \times B \times \dots \times C_n \times D_n \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  [4]

indicates that in conjunction  $\Xi : A \times B \times \dots \times C_n \times D_n \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\Xi : A \times B \times \dots \times C_m \times D_m \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , further notations are compounded in the same way.

(12) 9 pages (2)  $\Xi$  (3,4)

According to the clauses (2) above, with  $R$  a set containing one point alone,  $\Xi : R$  and  $\Xi : R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Xi : R \rightarrow J$  automatically

With  $A$  the integer set  $\{0, 1, \dots\}$  the mapping  $\Xi$  defined by setting  $\Xi(a, b, c) = a(b+c)$  is described by the notation  $\Xi : A \times (A.)^2 \rightarrow A$ . When  $a=0$ ,  $\Xi(a, b, c)$  is independent of both  $b$  and  $c$ , and when  $a \neq 0$  and  $x = a(b+c)$  the equations  $x = a(b'+c)$  and  $x = a(b+c')$  have unique solutions in  $A$ .

With  $C$  the integer set  $\{0, \dots, 9\}$  the mapping  $\Xi$  defined by setting  $\Xi(c, d) = (c/10) + (d/100) + [0, 1]/100$  is described by the notation  $\Xi : (C.)^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . ( $\Xi$  is also surjective in the sense of (8) above).

With  $B, C$  the integer sets  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  and  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  the mapping defined by setting  $\Xi(c, d) = \{(3-d)c/10\} + \{(2-c)d/100\} + [0, 1]/100$  is not of the form described in (5) above (when  $x \in \Xi(0, 0)$ , there are two distinct pairs  $c', d'$  for which  $x \in \Xi(c', d')$ , namely  $0, 0$  and  $2, 3$ ). If  $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots, e, f, \dots)$  is unconditionally independent of

$e, f, \dots$  for all  $a \in A, b \in B, \dots, c \in C, d \in D, \dots$  (for example, if  $e, f, \dots$  do not appear in a formula defining  $\equiv$ ) and has property  $(\beta_2)$  with regard to  $c, d, \dots$  for all  $a \in A, b \in B, \dots$  then  $\equiv$  is, in particular, of the form described in (3) above.

i, ii, iii, iv + proof pt. (i) of (iv) fill. 35 pages: proof pt (2) of (iv)

Under the conditions of part (2), select  $S = \{a, b, \dots, d, \dots\}$  with  $a \in A, b \in B, \dots, d \in D, \dots, c \in C$  for which  $\equiv(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  is nonvoid and  $c' \in C$  for which  $c \neq c'$ . If  $\equiv(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  and  $\equiv(a, b, \dots, c', d, \dots)$  have a member  $x$  in common then, using the condition  $\equiv': A' \times B' \times \dots \times C' \times D' \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  as above,  $c = c'$ : with  $c \neq c'$ ,  $\equiv(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  and  $\equiv(a, b, \dots, c', d, \dots)$  have no members in common. The possibility that  $\equiv(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  is void for all  $c \in C$  is excluded by condition (f). For all sets  $S$ ,  $\equiv(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  is not independent of  $C$ :  $\equiv: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and, from the preceding proof,  $\equiv: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly  $\equiv: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and, from (c) again,  $\equiv: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

(The conditions  $\equiv': A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\equiv(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots) \leq \equiv'(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  for all  $a \in A, b \in B, \dots, c \in C, d \in D, \dots$  alone do not suffice to ensure that  $\Theta \equiv: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Take  $R = \{m, n\}$  and  $\Theta(m) = \Theta(n) = \equiv'(m) = \equiv'(n) = \mathbb{Z}$ , so that  $\Theta \equiv': R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Take  $\Theta \equiv(m), \Theta \equiv(n) \subset \mathbb{Z}$  with  $X = \equiv(m) \cap \equiv(n)$  nonvoid. When  $x \in X, x \in \equiv(u)$  with  $u = m$  or  $u = n$ .)

exist for which  $x = c' \in \mathbb{Z}$ : it is not true that  $\exists$ :  $\Box$

(i) Let  $\Xi: A' \times B' \times \dots \times C' \times D' \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $A \subseteq A'$ ,  $B \subseteq B'$ ,  
 $\dots$ ,  $C \subseteq C'$ ,  $D \subseteq D'$ ,  $\dots$ . Then  $\Xi: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

$\Xi: A' \times B' \times \dots \times C' \times D' \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  if and only if

$\Xi: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and for each set  $S = \{a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots\}$  with  $a \in A, b \in B, \dots$  either  $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  is independent of  $c$  in  $C$  or, with  $x \in \Xi(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$

there is only one  $c' \in C'$  (namely  $c' = c$ ) for which  $x = \Xi(a, b, \dots, c', d, \dots)$ , these conditions holding independently with respect to the arguments in  $C, D, \dots$ . If

$\Xi: A' \times B' \times \dots \times C' \times D' \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $S = \{a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots\}$  with ~~such that~~  $a \in A \subseteq A'$ ,  $b \in B \subseteq B'$ ,  $\dots$  and

$\Xi(a, b, \dots, c', d, \dots)$  is independent of  $c'$  in  $C'$ , then it is also independent of  $c$  in  $C \subseteq C'$ . If, with

$x \in \Xi(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  there is only one  $c' \in C'$ , namely ~~such that~~  $c' = c$  for which  $x \in \Xi(a, b, \dots, c', d, \dots)$ , then there is only one  $c \in C$  for which this condition holds.

(The corresponding result deriving from the condition

$\Xi: A' \times B' \times \dots \times C' \times D' \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is untrue. For example

$\Xi(c)$  may not be independent of  $c$  in  $C'$ , but independent of  $c$  in  $C$ )

(ii)  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C_n \times D_n \times \dots \rightarrow Z$  if and only if 7

in conjunction  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C_n \times D_n \times \dots \rightarrow Z$ , and

$\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D_n \times \dots \rightarrow Z, \dots$ . Corresponding results also hold for the cases in which  ~~$\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C_n \times D_n \times \dots \rightarrow Z$~~  and  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C_n \times D_n \times \dots \rightarrow Z$ .

$\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow Z$  if and only if

$\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow Z$  and for each set  $S = \{a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots\}$

with  $a \in A, b \in B, \dots$  either  $\exists(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  is independent of  $c \in C$  or, with  $x \in \exists(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  there is only one  $c' \in C$  (namely  $c' = c$ ) for which  $x \in \exists(a, b, \dots, c', d, \dots)$ .

Similar ~~results~~-definitions hold for  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow Z, \dots$ .  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow Z$  if and

only if the conditions stipulating that  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times$

$C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow Z$ ,  ~~$\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \times$~~  hold in

conjunction. ~~The further results are shown in the same way.~~ The proof of the result stated is similar and slightly simpler;

~~the further result is shown in the same way.~~ (iii) If  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C : \times D : \times \dots \times E : \times F : \times \dots \rightarrow Z$  then

in conjunction  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C : \times D \times \dots \rightarrow Z$ ,  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D : \times \dots \times E \times F \times \dots \rightarrow Z, \dots$

If only one argument set is accompanied by a colon, the colon has the same significance as a full stop. For example the notations  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C : \times D \times \dots \rightarrow Z$ ,  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow Z$ ,  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow Z$

~~are synonymous~~ ~~have the same meaning~~ The notation  $\exists : A \times B \times \dots \times C : \times D : \times \dots \times E : \times F : \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  indicates that  $\exists : A \vee B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \times E \times F \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and that each set  $S = \{a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots, e, f, \dots\}$  with  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$  partitions the system  $C, D, \dots, E, F, \dots$  into two subsystems: for  $E, F, \dots$   $\exists(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots, e', f, \dots)$  is independent of  $e \in E$ , (i.e.,  $\exists : A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots E : \times F \times \dots$ ) etc. etc.; for  $C, D, \dots$  with  $x \in \exists(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots, e, f, \dots)$  there is only one  $c'$  (namely  $c' = c$ ) & only one  $d'$  (namely  $d' = d$ ), ... for which  $x \in \exists(a, b, \dots, c', d', \dots, e, f, \dots)$ : in conjunction  $\exists : A \times B \times \dots \times C : \times D \times \dots \times E \times F \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists : A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D : \times \dots \times E \times F \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , ... .

(The converse of the above result is false. Let  $C = D = \mathbb{Z} = A$  an additive Abelian group, ~~with~~ and let  $x = c + d$   $x = c + d$ . With  $d$  fixed there is only one  $c'$ , namely  $c' = c$ , for which  $x = c' + d$ , and with  $c$  fixed there is only one  $d'$ , namely  $d' = d$ , for which  $x = c + d'$ ; i.e.  $\exists : C : \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\exists : C \times D : \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . It is not true that in conjunction only one  $c'$  and only one  $d'$  exist for which  $x = c' + d'$ : it is not true that  $\exists : C \times D : \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .)

( $\exists$ ) Let  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\exists': A' \times B' \times \dots \times C' \times D' \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  where  $A \subseteq A'$ ,  $B \subseteq B'$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $C \subseteq C'$ ,  $D \subseteq D'$ , and  $\exists(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots) \subseteq \exists'(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  for all  $a \in A, b \in B, \dots, c \in C, d \in D$ . and  $\exists \subseteq_{(A, B, \dots, C, D, \dots)} \exists'$

( $\nexists$ ) If  $\exists': A' \times B' \times \dots \times C' \times D' \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  then

(1)  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

(2) If  $\exists': A' \times B' \times \dots \times C' \times D' \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and

(3)  $\exists(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  is invariant for all  $a \in A, b \in B, \dots, c \in C, d \in D$   
then  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \times E \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

( $\nexists$ )  $C$  contains two members  $c, c'$  with  $c \neq c'$  and  
similarly for  $D, \dots$  and each set  $S = \{a, b, \dots, d\}$ . If  
 $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$   
with  $a \in A, b \in B, \dots, d \in D$ ,  $\exists(a, b, \dots, d, \dots)$  is invariant  
for at least one  $c \in C$ , and similarly for sets of the  
form  $\{a, b, \dots, c, \dots\}$  and arguments  $d \in D$ .

then  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$

If, for all sets  $S$  as defined in (2),  $\exists(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$   
is independent of  $c$  in  $C$ , then  $\exists: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .  
Assume that a set  $S$  for which  $\exists(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  is not  
independent of  $c \in C$  exists. Let  $x \in \exists(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$   
and let  $c' \in C$  be such that  $x \in \exists(a, b, \dots, c', d, \dots)$  also.  
Since  $\exists(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots) \subseteq \exists'(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$ ,  $x \in \exists'(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$

Similarly  $x \in \Xi'(a, b, \dots, c', d, \dots)$ . The condition  $\Xi': A \times B \times \dots \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  implies with respect to the notation  $\Xi': A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  that only clause (4/2) of Definition is operative:  $x \in \Xi(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  and  $x \in \Xi(a, b, \dots, c', d, \dots)$  only when  $c = c'$ . For each set  $S$  either  $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  is independent of  $c$  in  $C$  or, with  $x \in \Xi(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  there is only one  $c' \in C$ , namely  $c' = c$ , for which  $x \in \Xi(a, b, \dots, c', d, \dots)$ .

$\therefore \Xi: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly

$\Xi: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and, from (2),

$\Xi: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

~~Assume the condition of (2) to hold, and select  $S$ , and  $c \in C$  for which  $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  is nonvoid. If contains at least one member  $c'$  for which  $c \neq c'$ . If  $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c', d, \dots)$  is void,  $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  is not independent of  $c$  in  $C$ . Assuming  $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c', d, \dots)$  to be nonvoid and  $c, c' \in C$  for which  $c \neq c'$ . If  $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  and  $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c', d, \dots)$  have a point  $x$  in common then, using the condition  $\Xi': A \times B \times \dots \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  as above,  $c = c'$ : But with  $c \neq c'$ ,  $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  and  $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c', d, \dots)$  have no members in common. The possibility that  $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  is void for all  $c \in C$  is excluded by condition (3). For all sets  $S$ ,  $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  is not independent of  $c$  in  $C$ ;  $\Xi: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$~~

(v) Let  $\equiv: R \rightarrow J$  and  $A \subseteq B \subseteq R$ . Then  $\equiv(A) \subseteq \equiv(B)$  [11]

Let  $b \in \equiv(A)$ . There is an  $a \in A$  for which  $b \in \equiv(a)$ . This  $a$  is in  $B$ :  $b \in \equiv(B)$ .

(vi) Let  $\equiv: R \rightarrow J$ . For all  $a \in R$  and  $b \in \equiv(R)$ ,  
 $a \in \equiv^{-1}(b)$  if and only if  $b \in \equiv(a)$

If  $a \in \equiv^{-1}(b)$ ,  $a$  is one of the members of  $R$  for which  
 $b \in \equiv(a)$ ; if  $a \notin \equiv^{-1}(b)$ ,  $a$  is not one of these numbers.

(vii) Let  $\equiv: R \rightarrow J$ .

(1)  $A \subseteq \equiv^{-1}\{\equiv(A)\}$  for all  $A \subseteq R$  and  $B \subseteq \equiv\{\equiv^{-1}(B)\}$   
for all  $B \subseteq \equiv(R)$

(2) Let  $\equiv: R \setminus \sim \rightarrow J$ .  $A = \equiv^{-1}\{\equiv(A)\}$  for all  
 $A \subseteq R$ .

Select  $a \in A$ . With  $b \in \equiv(R)$  let  $A(b)$  be the set of all  
 $a' \in R$  for which  $b \in \equiv(a')$ .  $\equiv^{-1}\{\equiv(a)\}$  is  $\bigcup A(b)$   
( $b \in \equiv(a)$ ). For all  $b \in \equiv(a)$ ,  $a \in A(b) = \equiv^{-1}(b)$ , from  
( ). Hence  $a \in \equiv^{-1}\{\equiv(a)\} \subseteq \equiv^{-1}\{\equiv(A)\}$  from ( ); thus  
result holds for all  $a \in A$ . That  $B \subseteq \equiv\{\equiv^{-1}(B)\}$  for all  
 $B \subseteq \equiv(R)$  is shown in the same way.

If  $\equiv: R \rightarrow J$  and  $\equiv(a)$  is not independent of  $a$   
for all  $a \in R$ , there is only one  $a'$ , namely  $a' = a$ , for which  
 $b \in \equiv(a')$  when  $b \in \equiv(a)$ :  $A(b) = a$  for all  $b \in \equiv(a)$ :  
 $\equiv^{-1}\{\equiv(a)\} = a$ . Hence  $A = \equiv^{-1}\{\equiv(A)\}$  for all  $A \subseteq R$ .

(viii) Let  $\equiv : R \sim \rightarrow J$ .

(1)  $\equiv^{-1} : \equiv(R) \rightarrow R$  if and only if  $\begin{array}{l} \equiv : R \rightarrow J \\ \equiv(a) \text{ is a single} \end{array}$   
member of  $\equiv(R)$  for each  $a \in R$

(2) If this condition is satisfied,  $B = \{ \equiv^{-1}(B) \}$  for  
all  $B \subseteq \equiv(R)$ .

If  $\equiv^{-1}(b)$  is independent of  $b$  for all  $b \in \equiv(R)$  then  
the set of all  $a$  for which  $b \in \equiv(a)$  is independent of  
 $b$  for all  $b \in \equiv(R)$ , and then  $\equiv(a) = \equiv(R)$  for all  $a \in R$ :  
 $\equiv(a)$  is independent of  $a$  for all  $a$  in  $R$ .

The assumption that the last condition does not hold  
implies that  $\equiv^{-1}(b)$  is not independent of  $b$  for all  
 $b \in \equiv(R)$  and in this case the notation  $\equiv^{-1} : \equiv(R) \rightarrow R$   
asserts that, for all  $b \in \equiv(R)$ , if  $a \in \equiv^{-1}(b)$  then only  
one  $b' \in \equiv(R)$ , namely  $b' = b$ , exists for which  $a \in \equiv^{-1}(b')$ .  
But, from ( ),  $a \in \equiv^{-1}(b)$  if and only if  $b \in \equiv(a)$ .

Thus  $\equiv^{-1} : \equiv(R) \rightarrow R$  if and only if, for all  $b \in \equiv(R)$ ,  
if  $b \in \equiv(a)$  then only one  $b' \in \equiv(R)$ , namely  $b' = b$ ,  
exists for which  $b' \in \equiv(a)$ . But (1) has been disposed  
of. Part (2) is a corollary to ( ).

(ix) Let  $\equiv : R \sim \rightarrow J$ .

result of (2) follows from its  
predecessor.

(1) Let  $\equiv : R \rightarrow J$ . If  $B \subseteq \equiv(A)$  then  $\equiv^{-1}(B) \subseteq A$

(2) Let  $\equiv(a)$  be a single member of  $\equiv(B)$  for each  
 ~~$a \in R$~~  and  $B \subseteq \equiv(R)$ . If  $A \subseteq \equiv^{-1}(B)$  then  $\equiv(A) \subseteq B$

Since  $B \subseteq \equiv(A)$ , it follows from ( , 2) that

$\equiv^{-1}(B) \subseteq \equiv^{-1}\{\equiv(A)\} = A$ .  $\equiv^{-1}(b)$  is independent of  
 $b$  in  $\equiv(R)$  if and only if  $\equiv(a)$  is independent of  $a$  in  
 $R$ : under the initial assumption  $\equiv^{-1}(b)$  is not independent  
of  $b$  in  $\equiv(R)$ . From ( ),  $\equiv^{-1} : \equiv(R) \rightarrow R$  under the assumption of (2). The

(7) The notation  $\Xi: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  indicates [13] that  $\Xi: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and that for each set  $a, b, \dots, c, \dots$  with  $a \in A, b \in B, \dots, c \in C, \dots$   $\Xi(a, b, \dots, c, \dots)$  is a single member of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

(12a) With  $\Xi': A' \times B' \times \dots \times C' \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Xi'': A'' \times B'' \times \dots \times C'' \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $A \subseteq A', A''; B \subseteq B', B''; \dots; C \subseteq C', C''; \dots$  the notation  $\Xi' \subseteq_{(A, B, \dots, C, \dots)} \Xi''$  indicates that  $\Xi'(a, b, \dots, c, \dots) \subseteq \Xi''(a, b, \dots, c, \dots)$  for all  $a \in A, b \in B, \dots, c \in C, \dots$

(b) With, in addition,  $\Theta': \hat{A} \times \hat{B} \times \dots \times \hat{C} \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Theta'': \tilde{A} \times \tilde{B} \times \dots \times \tilde{C} \times \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \dots$  ~~the rest~~ and  $A \subseteq \hat{A}, \hat{A} \text{ is } B \subseteq \hat{B}, \tilde{B}; \dots, C \subseteq \hat{C}, \tilde{C}; \dots$  the notation  $\{\Theta \Xi', \Theta', \dots\} \subseteq_{(A, B, \dots, C, \dots)} \{\Xi'', \Theta'', \dots\}$  indicates that  $\Xi' \subseteq_{(A, B, \dots, C, \dots)} \Xi'', \Theta' \subseteq_{(A, B, \dots, C, \dots)} \Theta'', \dots$

## ..DAggregates

Definition . Let  $R, S \subseteq W$ .

- (1) A nonvoid aggregate  $\varsigma$  in  $(R, S)$  is composed of
  - (a) a nonvoid set  $I(\varsigma) \subseteq R$  and
  - (b) an <sup>system</sup>~~aggregate~~ of nonvoid sets  $M(\varsigma, a) \subseteq S$  each defined for each  $a \in I(\varsigma)$
- (2\*)  $O_{R, S}$ , the void aggregate in  $(R, S)$ , is the aggregate  $\varsigma$  for which  $I(\varsigma)$  is void
- (3\*)  $A$  is the class of aggregates
- (4)  $A(R)$  is the class of aggregates  $\varsigma$  for which  $I(\varsigma) \subseteq R$
- (5)  $A[R, S]$  is the complete set of aggregates in  $(R, S)$
- (6) Let  $\mu, \rho \in A[R, S]$ 
  - (a)  $\mu \wedge \rho$  is the aggregate  $\overset{\omega}{\underset{\omega}{\wedge}}$  in  $(R, S)$  for which  $I(\omega) = I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)$  is void and
  - (b) is otherwise the subset of  $a \in I'(\omega)$  for which  $M'(\omega, a) = M(\mu, a) \cap M(\rho, a)$  is nonvoid
  - (c) when  $I(\omega)$  is nonvoid,  $M(\omega, a) = M'(\omega, a)$  for each  $a \in I(\omega)$ .
  - (d)  $\mu \vee \rho$  is the aggregate  $\lambda$  in  $(R, S)$  for which

(~~Q~~) .

(a)  $I(\lambda) = I(\mu) \cup I(\rho)$  and

(b)  $I(\mu)$  and  $I(\rho)$  not both being void,  $M(\lambda, a) = M(\mu, a)$

when  $a \notin I(\rho)$ ,  $M(\lambda, a) = M(\rho, a)$  when  $a \in I(\mu)$  and

$M(\lambda, a) = M(\mu, a) \cup M(\rho, a)$  when  $a \in I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)$

(5)  $\sigma, \mu \in A[R, S]$  being such that

(a)  $I(\sigma) \subseteq I(\mu)$  and

(b)  $I(\sigma)$  being nonvoid,  $M(\sigma, a) \subseteq M(\mu, a)$  for all  
 $a \in I(\sigma)$

$\mu$  is said to include  $\sigma$ ; the notation  $\sigma \leq \mu$  indicates  
 that  $\sigma$  is included by  $\mu$ ;

(c) the notations  $\sigma = \mu$  and  $\sigma < \mu$  indicate that  
 $\sigma \leq \mu$ ,  $\mu \leq \sigma$  and that  $\sigma \leq \mu$ ,  $\mu \not\leq \sigma$  respectively.

$\rightarrow \mu \wedge \mu = \mu$ .  $\therefore \mu \in A(R, S)$

Set  $\tau = \mu \wedge \mu$ .  $I(\tau)$  is the subset of  $a \in I(\mu) \cap I(\mu)$

( $\leftarrow$ ) Let  $a \in \tau$ . With  $a \in$

( $\leftarrow$ )  $a \in a$  for all

( $\leftarrow$ ) Let  $\sigma, \mu, \xi \in A(R, S)$ . If  $\sigma \leq \mu$  and  $\mu \leq \xi$  then  $\sigma \leq \xi$ .

(2).  $\sigma \leq \sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in A(R, S)$ . (3) Let  $\sigma, \mu, \xi \in A(R, S)$ . If  $\sigma \leq \mu$ .

(i) ( $\leftarrow$ )  $\sigma = \sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in A(R, S)$ . ( $\leftarrow$ ) Let  $\sigma, \mu, \xi \in A(R, S)$ .

(2) If  $\mu \in A(R, S)$ .  $\exists \mu = \sigma$  then  $\sigma = \mu$  if and only if  $\sigma = \mu$ .  
If  $\sigma \leq \mu$  and  $\mu \leq \xi$  then  $\sigma \leq \xi$ . If  $\sigma = \mu$  and  $\mu = \xi$  then

$\sigma = \xi$ . If either  $\sigma = \mu$ ,  $\mu \leq \xi$  or  $\sigma \leq \mu$ ,  $\mu = \xi$  then

$\sigma \leq \xi$ . If either  $\sigma \leq \mu$ ,  $\mu \leq \xi$  or  $\sigma \leq \mu$ ,  $\mu \leq \xi$  then  $\sigma \leq \xi$ .

(4)  $\sigma = \sigma$  for all  $\sigma$  | The relationship  $\sigma = \mu$  is equivalent to  
the two conditions  $\sigma = \mu$ ,  $\mu = \sigma$ ; (2) obviously.  
Evidently  $\sigma \leq \sigma$  for all  $\sigma$  so that  $\sigma = \sigma$ . If  $\sigma \leq \mu$ ,

$I(\sigma) \subseteq I(\mu)$  and  $M(\sigma, a) \subseteq M(\mu, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\sigma)$ . The  
relationship  $\mu \leq \xi$  implies similar conditions. Thus  $I(\sigma) \subseteq I(\xi)$

and  $M(\sigma, a) \subseteq M(\xi, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\sigma)$ . The further results of

(3) are proved in the same way

(ii)  $\exists$  pp. m  
(iii)  $\mu \wedge \mu = \mu \vee \mu = \mu$  for all  $\mu \in A(R, S)$ .

Set  $\tau = \mu \wedge \mu$ .  $I(\tau)$  is the subset of  $a \in I(\mu) \cap I(\mu)$  for which  
 $M'(\tau, a) = M(\mu, a) \cap M(\mu, a)$  is nonvoid, and then  $M(\tau, a) = M'(\tau, a)$   
for all  $a \in I(\tau)$ .  $M'(\tau, a) = M(\mu, a)$  is nonvoid for all  $a \in I(\mu) = I(\tau)$ :  
 $\tau = \mu$ .  $\mu \vee \mu$  is dealt with similarly.

(iv) Let  $\mu, \rho, \xi \in A(R, S)$ . Then  $\overset{(1)}{\exists} \wedge (\mu \wedge \rho) = (\xi \wedge \mu) \wedge \rho$ , and  $\overset{(2)}{\exists} \vee (\mu \vee \rho) = (\xi \vee \mu) \vee \rho$ . [17]

Set  $\kappa = \mu \wedge \rho$ .  $I(\kappa)$  is the subset of  $I'(\kappa) = I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)$  for which  $M'(\kappa, \alpha) = M(\mu, \alpha) \cap M(\rho, \alpha)$  is nonvoid, and then  $M(\kappa, \alpha) = M'(\kappa, \alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in I(\kappa)$ .  $\omega = \xi \wedge \kappa$  is defined in the same way.  $I(\omega)$  is the subset of  $\alpha \in I(\xi) \cap I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)$  for which  $M'(\omega, \alpha) = M(\xi, \alpha) \cap M(\mu, \alpha) \cap M(\rho, \alpha)$  is nonvoid and  $M(\omega, \alpha) = M'(\omega, \alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in I(\omega)$ . The same result holds with regard to  $\exists$  serves for  $(\xi \wedge \mu) \wedge \rho$ . The second result is proved in the same way.

(v) Let  $\mu, \rho \in A(R, S)$ . Then  $\overset{(1)}{\mu \wedge \rho} = \rho \wedge \mu$  and  $\overset{(2)}{\mu \vee \rho} = \rho \vee \mu$ .

The conditions defining  $\tau = \mu \wedge \rho$  in terms of  $\mu$  and  $\rho$  are symmetric in  $\mu$  and  $\rho$ :  $\tau = \rho \wedge \mu$ .  $\mu \vee \rho$  is dealt with similarly.

(vi) Let  $\mu, \rho, \xi \in A(R, S)$ ; then  $\overset{(1)}{\exists} \wedge (\mu \vee \rho) = (\xi \wedge \mu) \vee (\xi \wedge \rho)$

Set  $\lambda = \mu \vee \rho$   ~~$I(\lambda) =$~~  so that  $I(\lambda) = I(\mu) \cup I(\rho)$  and, for all  $M(\lambda, \alpha)$   $\alpha \in I(\lambda)$ ,  $M(\lambda, \alpha) = M(\mu, \alpha)$  when  $\alpha \notin I(\rho)$ ,  $M(\lambda, \alpha) = M(\rho, \alpha)$  when  $\alpha \notin I(\mu)$ , and  $M(\lambda, \alpha) = M(\mu, \alpha) \cup M(\rho, \alpha)$  when  $\alpha \in I(\rho) \cap I(\mu)$ . Set  $\omega = \xi \wedge \lambda$ .  $I(\omega)$  is the subset of  $\alpha \in I'(\omega) = I(\xi) \cap \{I(\mu) \cup I(\rho)\}$  for which  $M'(\omega, \alpha) = M(\xi, \alpha) \cap M(\lambda, \alpha)$

is nonvoid and then  $M(\omega, a) = M'(\omega, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\omega)$ . [43]

$I'(\omega) = \{I(\zeta) \cap I(\mu)\} \cup \{I(\zeta) \cap I(\rho)\}$  may be divided into three mutually exclusive subsets:  $I_1$ , all  $a \notin I(\rho)$  for which  $a \in I(\zeta) \cap I(\mu)$ ;  $I_2$ , all  $a \notin I(\mu)$  for which  $a \in I(\zeta) \cap I(\rho)$  and  $I_3$ , all  $a \in I(\zeta) \cap I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)$ . Over  $\overline{I} \rightarrow M'$  Set

$$\text{Over } I_1, M'(\omega, a) = M(\zeta, a) \cap M(\mu, a); \text{ over } I_2$$

$M_1(a) = M(\zeta, a) \cap M(\mu, a)$  for  $a \in I_1$ ,  $M_2(a) = M(\zeta, a) \cap M(\rho, a)$  for  $a \in I_2$  and  $M_3(a) = M(\zeta, a) \cap \{M(\mu, a) \cup M(\rho, a)\}$  for  $a \in I_3$ .

→  $I'(\omega) = \overline{I}$ . Over  $\overline{I} \rightarrow$  For  $a \in I_1$ ,  $M'(\omega, a) = M_1(a)$ ; for  $a \in I_2$ ,  $M'(\omega, a) = M_2(a)$ ; for  $a \in I_3$ ,  $M'(\omega, a) = M_3(a)$ . The subset of in  $I$ , belonging to  $I(\omega)$  is the set of  $a$  for which  $M_1(a)$  is nonvoid, and similarly for  $I_2$  and  $I_3$ .

Set  $\kappa = \zeta \wedge \mu$ .  $I(\kappa)$  is the subset of  $\overline{I}$   $a \in I'(\kappa) = I(\zeta) \cap I(\mu)$  for which  $M'(\kappa, a) = M(\zeta, a) \cap M(\mu, a)$  is nonvoid, and then  $M(\kappa, a) = M'(\kappa, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\kappa)$ . Set  $\beta = \zeta \wedge \rho$ .  $I(\beta)$ ,  $M'(\beta, a)$  and  $M(\beta, a)$  are similarly defined. Set  $\tau = \kappa \vee \beta$ .  $I(\tau) = I(\kappa) \cup I(\beta) \neq \emptyset$  and for all  $a \in I(\tau)$ ,  $M(\tau, a) = M(\kappa, a) = M(\zeta, a) \cap M(\mu, a)$  when  $a \notin I(\beta)$ ,  $M(\tau, a) = M(\beta, a) = M(\zeta, a) \cap M(\rho, a)$  when  $a \notin I(\kappa)$ , and  $M(\tau, a) = M(\kappa, a) \cup M(\beta, a) = \{M(\zeta, a) \cap M(\mu, a)\} \cup \{M(\zeta, a) \cap M(\rho, a)\} = M(\zeta, a) \cap \{M(\mu, a) \cup M(\rho, a)\}$  when  $a \in I(\kappa) \cap I(\beta)$ .

$I(\tau)$  is a subset of  $I'(\kappa) \cup I'(\beta) = I$ . When 1181  
 $a \notin I(\kappa)$ ,  $a \notin I'(\kappa) = I(\xi) \cap I(\mu)$   
 $a \notin I(\kappa)$  either  $a \notin I(\xi) \cap I(\mu)$  or  $a \in I(\xi) \cap I(\mu)$  but  $M(\xi, a) \cap M(\mu, a)$  is vvoid  $\parallel$   
 $a \notin I(\xi) \cap I(\mu) \rightarrow a \in I(\xi) \cap I(\rho)$  i.e.  $a \in I_2$   
 $a \notin I(\xi) \cap I(\mu) \rightarrow a \in I(\xi) \cap I(\rho)$   $M(\xi, a) = M(\xi, a) \cap M(\rho, a) = M_2(a)$   
 $a \notin I(\beta)$ : either  $a \notin I(\xi) \cap I(\rho)$  or  $a \in I(\xi) \cap I(\rho)$  but  $M(\xi, a) \cap M(\beta, a)$   
 $= M_1(a)$  is vvoid  $a \notin I(\xi) \cap I(\rho) \rightarrow a \in I(\xi) \cap I(\mu)$   $a \notin I(\rho)$  i.e.  $a \in I$ ,  
 $M(\tau, a) = M(\xi, a) \cap M(\mu, a) = M_1(a)$   
 $a \in I(\kappa) \cap I(\beta) \rightarrow a \in I(\xi) \cap I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)$   $M(\tau, a) = M_3(a)$

$I(\tau)$  is a subset of  $I'(\kappa) \cup I'(\beta) = I$ . When  
 $a \notin I(\beta)$  in this range either  $a \notin I(\xi) \cap I(\rho)$  or  $a \in I(\xi) \cap I(\rho)$   
but  $M'(\tau, a) = M(\xi, a) \cap M(\rho, a)$  is vvoid. For  $a \notin I(\xi) \cap I(\rho)$   
in the range  $a \in I$ ,  $a \in I(\xi) \cap I(\mu)$  but  $a \in I(\rho)$ , i.e.  $a \in I$ ,  
and  $M(\tau, a) = M(\xi, a) \cap M(\mu, a) = M_2(a)$ . When  $a \notin I(\kappa)$  in the  
range  $a \in I$ , either  $M'(\tau, a)$  is vvoid or  $a \in I_2$  and  
 $M(\tau, a) = M_2(a)$ . Lastly, when  $a \in I(\kappa) \cap I(\beta)$ ,  $a \in$   
 $I(\xi) \cap I(\mu) \cap I(\rho) = I_3$  and  $M(\tau, a) = M_3(a)$ .

The members of  $I_1$ , belonging to  $I(\omega)$  and  $I(\tau)$  are  
the same, and for these  $a$ ,  $M(\omega, a) = M(\tau, a)$ . For the two further  
ranges  $I_2$  and  $I_3$  the same result holds:  $\omega = \tau$ .

(vii) Let  $\mu, \rho, \xi \in A[R, S]$ ; then  $\xi \vee (\mu \wedge \rho) = (\xi \vee \mu) \wedge (\xi \vee \rho)$

$I = \{\overline{I(\xi)} \cup I(\mu)\} \cap I(\xi) \cup \{I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)\} = \{I(\xi) \cup I(\mu)\} \cap \{I(\xi) \cap I(\rho)\}$  may be decomposed into three mutually disjoint subsets:  $I_1$ , all  $a \in I(\xi) \setminus \{I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)\}$ ;  $I_2$ , all  $a \in \{I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)\} \setminus I(\xi)$  and  $I_3$ , all  $a \in I(\xi) \cap I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)$ . Set  $M_1(a) = M(\xi, a)$  for  $a \in I_1$ ,  $M_2(a) = M(\mu, a) \cap M(\rho, a)$  for  $a \in I_2$  and  $M_3(a) = M(\xi, a) \cup \{M(\mu, a) \cap M(\rho, a)\} = \{M(\xi, a) \cup M(\mu, a)\} \cap \{M(\xi, a) \cup M(\rho, a)\}$  for  $a \in I_3$ .

Set  $\kappa = \mu \wedge \rho$ .  $I(\kappa)$  is the subset of  $a \in I(\kappa) = I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)$  for which  $M'(\kappa, a) = M(\mu, a) \cap M(\rho, a)$  is nonvoid, and  $M(\kappa, a) = M'(\kappa, a)$  for  $a \in I(\kappa)$ . Set  $\omega = \xi \vee \kappa$ .  $I(\omega) = I(\xi) \cup I(\kappa) \subseteq \overline{I(\xi)} \cup \{I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)\}$  and, for all  $a \in I(\omega)$ ,  $M(\omega, a) = M(\xi, a)$  for all  $a \notin I(\kappa)$ ,  $M(\omega, a) = M(\kappa, a)$  for all  $a \notin I(\xi)$  and  $M(\omega, a) = M(\xi, a) \cup M(\kappa, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\kappa) \cap \overline{I(\xi)}$ .

$I(\omega)$  is a subset of  $I$ . For all  $a \in I(\omega)$  belonging to  $\overline{I}_1$ ,  $M(\omega, a) = M_1(a)$ . For all  $a \in I(\omega)$  belonging to  $\overline{I}_2$ ,  $M(\omega, a) = M(\mu, a) \cap M(\rho, a) = M_2(a)$ . For all  $a \in I(\omega)$  belonging to  $\overline{I}_3$ ,  $M(\omega, a) = M(\xi, a) \cup \{M(\mu, a) \cap M(\rho, a)\} = M_3(a)$ .

Set  $b = \xi \vee \mu$ .  $I(b) = I(\xi) \cup I(\mu)$  and, for all  $a \in I(b)$ ,  $M(b, a) = M(\xi, a)$  for all  $a \notin I(\mu)$ ,  $M(b, a) = M(\mu, a)$  for all  $a \notin I(\xi)$ ,

and  $M(f, \alpha) = M(\beta, \alpha) \cup M(\mu, \alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in I(\beta) \cap I(\mu)$ ,  $\beta = \beta \vee \rho$

is similarly defined. Set  $\tau = f \wedge \lambda$ .  $I(\tau)$  is the subset of  $a \in$

$$I'(\tau) = I(f) \cap I(\lambda) = \{I(\beta) \cup I(\mu)\} \cap \{I(\beta) \cup I(\rho)\} = I(\beta) \cup \{I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)\}$$

for which  $M'(f, \alpha) \cap M(\lambda, \alpha)$  is nonvoid, and  $M(\tau, \alpha) = M'(\tau, \alpha)$

for  $\tau \in I(\tau)$

~~$I(\tau)$  is  $I_1$~~  belongs to the subset  
For all  $a \notin I(\beta)$  in the range  $I(\beta) \cup \{I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)\}$ , as

~~$I(\beta) \setminus \{I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)\}$~~  and for such  $a$ ,  $M(f, a) \cap M(\lambda, a) =$

~~$M(\mu, a) \cap M(\rho, a)$~~ : for all  $a \in I(\tau)$  belonging to  $I_2$ ,  $M(\tau, a) = M_2(a)$   
belonging to the subset

For all  $a$  for which either  $a \notin I(\mu)$  or  $a \notin I(\rho)$  in the range

$I(\beta) \cup \{I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)\}$ ,  $a \in I(\beta) \setminus \{I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)\} = I_1$  and for such

$a$ ,  $M'(\tau, a) = M(\beta, a) = M_1(a)$ : for all  $a \in I(\tau)$  belonging to

$I_1$ ,  $M(\tau, a) = M_1(a)$ . For all  $a \in I(\beta) \cap I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)$  in the

range of  $I$ ,  $M(\tau, a) = \{M(\beta, a) \cup M(\mu, a)\} \cap \{M(\beta, a) \cap M(\mu, a)\}$

$= M_3(a)$ .

$I(\omega)$  and  $I(\tau)$  are subsets of  $I$ . The subsets of  $I(\omega)$  and

$I(\tau)$  belonging to  $I_1$  are those values of  $a$  for which

~~$M(\beta, a) = M_1(a) = M'(\omega, a) = M'(\tau, a)$~~  are nonvoid, and for these

$a$ ,  $M(\omega, a) = M(\tau, a)$ . Similar <sup>results</sup> remarks hold with regard to  
the subsets  $I_2$  and  $I_3$ :  $\omega = \tau$ .

(viii) Let  $\mu, \rho, \xi \in A[R, S]$ . If  $\rho \leq \xi$  then  $\mu \wedge \rho \leq \mu \wedge \xi$ . [21]

Let  $\omega = \mu \wedge \rho$ .  $I(\omega)$  is the set of  $a \in I(\omega) = I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)$  for which  $M(\omega, a) = M(\mu, a) \cap M(\rho, a)$  is nonvoid, and  $M(\omega, a) = M(\omega, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\omega)$ .  $z = \mu \wedge \xi$  is similarly defined.

~~$M(\mu, a) \cap M(\rho, a) \subseteq M(\mu, a) \cap M(\xi, a)$  if  $\rho \leq \xi$ ,  $I(\rho) \subseteq I(\xi)$~~   
and  $M(\rho, a) \subseteq M(\xi, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\rho)$

~~$M(\mu, a) \cap M(\rho, a) \subseteq M(\mu, a) \cap M(\xi, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\rho) \cap I(\mu)$ . If for any  $a \in I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)$ ,  $M(\mu, a) \cap M(\rho, a)$~~

for all  $a \in I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)$  for which  $M(\mu, a) \cap M(\rho, a)$  is nonvoid, i.e. for all  $a \in I(\omega)$ ,  $M(\mu, a) \cap M(\xi, a)$  is also nonvoid, a belongs to  $I(z)$ , and  $M(\omega, a) \subseteq M(z, a) : \omega \leq z$

(ix) Let  $\mu, \rho, \xi \in A[R, S]$ . If  $\rho \leq \xi$  then  $\mu \vee \rho \leq \mu \vee \xi$ .

Let  $\lambda = \mu \vee \rho$ .  $I(\lambda) = I(\mu) \cup I(\rho)$  and, for all  $a \in I(\lambda)$ ,  $M(\lambda, a) = M(\mu, a)$  for all  $a \notin I(\rho)$ ,  $M(\lambda, a) = M(\rho, a)$  for all  $a \notin I(\mu)$ , and  $M(\lambda, a) = M(\mu, a) \cup M(\rho, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)$ .  $\kappa = \mu \vee \xi$  is similarly defined.

Since  $\rho \leq \xi$ ,  $I(\rho) \subseteq I(\xi)$  and  $M(\rho, a) \subseteq M(\xi, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\rho)$ .

$I(\lambda) \subseteq I(\mu) \cup I(\xi) = I(\kappa)$ .  $I(\lambda) = I(\mu) \cup I(\rho)$  may be decomposed into four mutually exclusive subsets :  $I_1$ , all  $a$  for which  $a \notin I(\xi)$  (when  $a \notin I(\rho)$  also);  $I_2$ , all  $a$  for which  $a \in I(\xi) \cap I(\mu)$  but  $a \notin I(\rho)$ ;  $I_3$ , all  $a \in I(\xi) \cap I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)$  (when  $a \in I(\rho) \cap I(\mu)$  and  $a \in I(\xi) \cap I(\mu)$ );  $I_4$ ; all  $a \notin I(\mu)$  for

which  $\alpha \in I(\rho)$  and  $\alpha \in I(\frac{1}{3})$ . For  $\alpha \in I_1$ ,  $M(\lambda, \alpha) = M(\kappa, \alpha) = M(\mu, \alpha)$ . For  $\alpha \in I_2$ ,  $M(\lambda, \alpha) = M(\mu, \alpha)$  and  $M(\kappa, \alpha) = M(\mu, \alpha) \cup M(\frac{1}{3}, \alpha)$ . For  $\alpha \in I_3$ ,  $M(\lambda, \alpha) = M(\mu, \alpha) \cup M(\rho, \alpha)$  and  $M(\kappa, \alpha) = M(\mu, \alpha) \cup M(\frac{1}{3}, \alpha)$ . For  $\alpha \in I_4$ ,  $M(\lambda, \alpha) = M(\rho, \alpha)$  and  $M(\kappa, \alpha) = M(\frac{1}{3}, \alpha)$ . For all  $\alpha$  in each subset,  $M(\lambda, \alpha) \subseteq M(\kappa, \alpha)$ :  $\lambda \leq \kappa$ .

(x) Let  $\mu, \rho \in A^*[R, S]$ ; then  $\mu \wedge \rho \leq \mu$ ,  $\mu \leq \mu \vee \rho$

Set let  $\omega = \mu \wedge \rho$ .  $I(\omega)$  is the subset of  $I'(\omega) = I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)$  for which  $M(\lambda, \alpha) \cap M(\rho, \alpha)$  is nonvoid, and  $M(\omega, \alpha) = M'(\omega, \alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in I(\omega)$ .  $I(\omega) \subseteq I(\mu)$  and  $M(\omega, \alpha) \subseteq M(\mu, \alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in I(\omega)$ :  $\omega \leq \mu$ .

Let  $\lambda = \mu \vee \rho$ .  $I(\lambda) = I(\mu) \cup I(\rho)$  and, for all  $\alpha \in I(\lambda)$ ,  $M(\lambda, \alpha) = M(\mu, \alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \notin I(\rho)$ ,  $M(\lambda, \alpha) = M(\rho, \alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \notin I(\mu)$ , and  $M(\lambda, \alpha) = M(\mu, \alpha) \cup M(\rho, \alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in I(\mu) \cap I(\rho)$ .  $I(\mu) \subseteq I(\lambda)$ , and  $M(\lambda, \alpha) \subseteq M(\mu, \alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in I(\mu)$ :  $\mu \leq \lambda$ .

(xi). Let  $\tau, \mu, \rho, \frac{1}{3} \in A^*[R, S]$ . If  $\tau \leq \mu$  and  $\rho \leq \frac{1}{3}$ , then  $\tau \wedge \rho \leq \mu \wedge \frac{1}{3}$  and  $\tau \vee \rho \leq \mu \vee \frac{1}{3}$ .

If  $\rho \leq \frac{1}{3}$  then, from ( ),  $\tau \wedge \rho \leq \tau \wedge \frac{1}{3}$ . From ( ),  $\tau \wedge \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \wedge \tau$ . If  $\tau \leq \mu$  then, from ( ),  $\frac{1}{3} \wedge \tau$

The conditions  $\tau \leq \mu$  and  $\rho \leq \frac{1}{3}$  imply, from ( ) that  $\tau \wedge \rho \leq \tau \wedge \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \wedge \tau \leq \frac{1}{3} \wedge \mu = \mu \wedge \frac{1}{3}$ . From ( )  $\tau \wedge \rho \leq \mu \wedge \frac{1}{3}$ . The second result is dealt with in the same way.

(iii) Let  $\mu, \rho, \xi \in A(R, S)$ , then <sup>(1)</sup> if  $\xi \leq \mu$  and  $\xi \leq \rho$   
then  $\xi \leq \mu \wedge \rho$ . If  $\mu \leq \xi$  and  $\rho \leq \xi$  then  $\mu \vee \rho \leq \xi$ .  
From (i),  $\xi = \xi \wedge \xi \leq \mu \wedge \rho$ . From (ii),  $\mu \vee \rho \leq$   
 $\xi \vee \xi = \xi$ . (xii 24. m)  
→ p. p.

Sets of aggregates

(c) Let  $U, V \subseteq A(R, S)$ . If  $U \subseteq V$  then  $|V| \leq |U|$ .

$$\text{Let } U = \{\epsilon, \mu, \dots\}$$

Let  $\omega = |U|$ .  $I(\omega)$  is the subset of  $a \in I'(\omega) = \bigcap_{\epsilon \in U} I(\epsilon)$

for which  $M'(\omega, a) = \bigcap_{\epsilon \in U} M(\epsilon, a)$  is nonvoid, and  $M(\omega, a) = M'(\omega, a)$  for each  $a \in I(\omega)$ .  $\xi = |V|$  is similarly defined.  
 $I'(\xi) \subseteq I(\omega)$ . Since  $M'(\xi, a) \subseteq M'(\omega, a)$ ,  $M'(\omega, a)$  is  
nonvoid whenever  $M'(\xi, a)$  is nonvoid : all  $a \in I(\xi)$  belong  
to  $I(\omega)$ , and  $M(\xi, a) \subseteq M(\omega, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\xi)$ :  $\xi \leq \omega$ .

(c) Let  $U, V \subseteq A(R, S)$ ; then  $|U \cup V| = |U| \wedge |V|$ .

Let  $\tau = |U|$ ,  $\kappa = |V|$ ,  $\omega = \tau \wedge \kappa$ , and  $\tau = |U \cup V|$  and  
 $\xi = |\tau|$ .  $I(\xi)$  is the subset of  $a \in I'(\xi) = \bigcap_{\epsilon \in \tau} I(\epsilon)$  for  
which  $M'(\xi, a) = \bigcap_{\epsilon \in \tau} M(\epsilon, a)$  is nonvoid, and  $M(\xi, a) = M'(\xi, a)$   
for all  $a \in I(\xi)$ .  $\tau$ ,  $\kappa$  and  $\omega$  are similarly defined.  
 $I'(\xi) = \left\{ \bigcap_{\epsilon \in U} I(\epsilon) \right\} \cap \left\{ \bigcap_{\epsilon \in V} I(\epsilon) \right\} \neq \emptyset$  (definition) and  
 $M'(\xi, a) = \left\{ \bigcap_{\epsilon \in U} M(\epsilon, a) \right\} \cap \left\{ \bigcap_{\epsilon \in V} M(\epsilon, a) \right\} \neq \emptyset$   $M'(\tau, a) \cap M'(\kappa, a)$ .

$I(\xi)$  and  $I(\omega)$  are both the subsets of  $a \in I'(\xi) = I'(a) \cap I'$  for which  $M'(\xi, a) = M'(z, a) \cap M'(\chi, a)$  is nonvoid and then  $M(\xi, a) = M(\omega, a) = M'(\xi, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\xi) = I(\omega) : \xi = \omega$ .

( ) Let  $U, V \subseteq A[R, S]$ ; then  $|U|_V|_V|_V \leq |U \cap V|$ .

Since  $U \cap V \subseteq U$ ,  $|U|_V \leq |U \cap V|$ , from ( ). Similarly  $|V|_U \leq |U \cap V|$ , and, from ( ),  $|U|_V|_V|_V \leq |U \cap V|$ . (That the relationship  $|U|_V|_V|_V < |U \cap V|$  is possible is shown by taking  $U = \{\mu, \rho\}$ ,  $V = \{\xi, \rho\}$  where  $\mu < \xi < \rho$ .  $|U| = \mu$  and  $|V| = \xi$  so that, since  $\mu < \xi$ ,  $|U|_V|_V|_V = \xi$ , from ( ). But  $|U \cap V| = \rho = |U \cap V|$  and  $\xi < \rho$ .)

(xi) Let  $\mu, \rho \in A[R, S]$ . If  $\mu \leq \rho$  then  $\mu \wedge \rho = \mu$  and  $\mu \vee \rho = \rho$ . From ( ),  $\mu \wedge \rho \leq \mu$ . If  $\mu \leq \rho$ ,  $\mu = \mu \wedge \rho \leq \mu \wedge \rho$ , from ( ) then  $\mu \leq \mu \wedge \rho$ , taking  $\xi = \mu$  in ( ). Hence  $\mu \wedge \rho = \mu$ .

From ( ),  $\rho \leq \mu \vee \rho$ . If  $\mu \leq \rho$ ,  $\mu \vee \rho \leq \rho$ , again taking  $\xi = \mu$  in ( ):  $\mu \vee \rho = \rho$ .

(ii)  $0_{R, S} \leq \mu \leq 1_{R, S}$  for all  $\mu \in A[R, S]$

Since the void set is contained in  $I(a)$  for all  $a \in A(R, S)$ ,  $0_{R, S} \leq \mu$  for all  $\mu \in A(R, S)$ . For all  $\mu \in A(R, S)$ ,  $I(\mu) \subseteq R$  and  $M(\mu, a) \subseteq S$  for all  $a \in I(\mu)$ .

$$0_{R, S} \leq 1_{R, S}$$

(\*)  $O_{R,S} \wedge \mu = O_{R,S}$ ,  $O_{R,S} \vee \mu = \mu$ ,  $1_{R,S} \wedge \mu = \mu$  and  $1_{R,S} \vee \mu = 1_{R,S}$   
for all  $\mu \in A(R,S)$ . [25]

With  $\omega = O_{R,S} \wedge \mu$ ,  $I(\omega)$  is a subset of the intersection of  
the void set and  $I(\mu)$ :  $I(\omega)$  is void and  $\omega = O_{R,S}$ . With  
 $\xi = O_{R,S} \vee \mu$ ,  $I(\xi)$  is the union of the void set and  $I(\mu)$ ,  
namely  $I(\mu)$ . The conditions defining  $M(\xi, a)$  for  $a \in I(\mu)$   
are meaningful only when  $a$  lies in  $I(\mu)$  alone, and  
then  $M(\xi, a) = M(\mu, a)$ :  $O_{R,S} \vee \mu = \mu$ . With  $\omega = 1_{R,S} \wedge \mu$ ,  
 $I(\omega) = R \cap I(\mu) \equiv I(\mu)$  and  $M(\omega, a) = S \cap M(\mu, a) \equiv M(\mu, a)$  for all  
 $a \in I(\mu)$ :  $1_{R,S} \wedge \mu \equiv \mu$ . With  $\xi = 1_{R,S} \vee \mu$ ,  $I(\xi) = R \cup I(\mu) \equiv R$ ;  
 $M(\xi, a) \equiv S$  when  $a \in R \setminus I(\mu)$  and  $M(\xi, a) \equiv S \cup M(\mu, a) \equiv S$   
when  $a \in R \cap I(\mu) = I(\mu)$ :  $\xi \equiv 1_{R,S}$

~~Complete~~

~~Closed classes of aggregates~~

~~Definition Let  $P$  be a class of aggregates.  $P(R,S)$   
is the set of aggregates in  $A(R,S)$  belonging to  $P$ .~~

?  ~~$P$  being a class of aggregates, the ~~notat~~ and  $U$   
being a set of aggregates in  $A(R,S)$ , the ~~P~~ the  
notation  $U \subseteq P$  indicates that all members of  $U$  are  
in  $P$ .~~ ??

## n.1 Classes of aggregates

[26]

Definition (1) Let  $P$  be a class of aggregates.

(2)  $P(R)$  is the <sup>sub</sup>class of aggregates  $\in P$  for which

i:  $I(s) \subseteq P$

(3)  $P[R, S]$  is the set of aggregates in  $(R, S)$  belonging to  $P$ .

(2) A class of aggregates  $\sigma$  for each of which  $I(\sigma) \subseteq R$  and, ~~with~~  $\Delta: R \rightarrow I(S)$  prescribed,  $\Delta(a) \subseteq M(s, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\sigma)$  is said to be monovalent in  $(R, S)$

(P)

$P(R, S)$

(2) A class of aggregates  $\sigma$  for which a mapping  $\Delta: R \rightarrow I(S)$  exists such that,  $\Delta(a) \subseteq M(s, a)$  for for each  $\sigma \in P[R, S]$ ,  $\Delta(a) \subseteq M(s, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\sigma)$  is said to be monovalent in  $(R, S)$ .

(3)  $P$  being a class of aggregates  $\sigma$  and  $\Delta: R \rightarrow I(S)$  being prescribed,  $P_\Delta$  is the subclass of aggregates  $\sigma \in P[R, S]$  for which  $\Delta(a) \subseteq M(s, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\sigma)$ . Such a subclass is said to be a monovalent subclass of  $P$ .

An aggregate class  $P$  for which for each  $\sigma \in P[R, S]$ ,

(3) A class of aggregates in  $(R, S)$  for each of which  $M(s, a) = P(a)$  for all all  $a \in I(\sigma)$ , where  $P: R \rightarrow I(S)$  is prescribed, is said to be univalent in  $(R, S)$ .

- (3) In particular,  $A^{(r)}$  is the univalent class [27] of aggregates  $\varsigma$  in  $A[R, S]$  for which  $M(\varsigma, \alpha) = P(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in I(\varsigma)$ .
- (4) An aggregate  $\varsigma$  for which  $M(\varsigma, \alpha) = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in I(\varsigma)$  is said to be degenerate.  $A'$  is the class of degenerate aggregates.  $A'[R, R]$  is written simply as  $A'[R]$ .
- (5)  $\mathbb{1}_R^{(r)}$  is that member  $\varsigma$  of  $A^{(r)}[R, S]$  for which  $I(\varsigma) = R$ .  $\mathbb{1}'_R$  is the corresponding member of  $A'[R]$ .
- (4) ~~An aggregate class~~ ~~P~~ of aggregates such that
- (4) An aggregate class  $\overline{P}$  for which ~~for each~~ each  $\varsigma \in \overline{P}[R, S]$ , is accompanied by the set  $U(\varsigma) \in \overline{P}[R, S]$  for which
- (a)  $I(\mu) = I(\varsigma)$  for all  $\mu \in U(\varsigma)$  and
- (b) for any set  $\{a, b, \dots\}$  of distinct members of  $I(\varsigma)$  and any set of nonvoid sets  $M(a), M(b), \dots \subseteq S$ ,  $U(\varsigma)$  also contains  $\mu$  with  $M(\mu, a) = M(a)$ ,  $M(\mu, b) = M(b)$ , ... is an  $M$ -unconstrained aggregate class in  $(R, S)$ .
- The notation  $P \in \text{UWA}(R, S)$  indicates that  $P$  is such a class.

For all aggregates  $\sigma$  of a fixed univalent class, [28]  
 $M(\sigma, a)$  is independent of  $\sigma$  for all  $a \in I(\sigma)$ . Within  
the class, the aggregates  $\sigma$  are uniquely determined  
by their associated sets  $I(\sigma)$  alone. In particular,  
this is true of the degenerate aggregates of  $A'$ ; the  
theory of such aggregates is most conveniently presented in terms of  
(i) Let  $P: R \rightarrow \mathbb{I}[S]$ .  $O_{R,S} \leq \mu \leq 1_R^{(P)}$ ,  $1_R^{(P)} \wedge \mu = \mu$  and  
 $1_R^{(P)} \vee \mu = 1_R^{(P)}$  for all  $\mu \in A^{(P)}[R, S]$ . Corresponding  
results also hold with respect to the unit aggregate  
 $1_R'$  in  $A'[R]$ .

The proofs of these results are similar to those of  
the corresponding results of ( ).

(ii) A univalent class of aggregates in  $(R, S)$  is  
also monovalent in  $(R, S)$ .

The mapping  $P$  occurring in Definition ( ) plays  
the role of  $\Delta$  in Definition ( )

(iii) Let  $P \in \text{WA}(R', S')$  and  $R \subseteq R', S \subseteq S'$

(1)  $P \in \text{WA}(R, S)$

(2) If  $P[R', S']$  contains an aggregate  $\sigma$  for which  
 $I(\sigma) = R$ , then  $1_{R,S} \in P[R, S]$  and, for any mapping  
 $\Delta: R \rightarrow \mathbb{I}[S]$ , ~~then~~  $1_{R,S} \in P_\Delta[R, S]$  also.

The set  $P[R', S']$  contains  $P[R, S]$  and for each 29  
 $\sigma \in P[R, S]$  the condition that for any set of nonvoid sets  $M(a), M(b), \dots \subseteq S'$ , clause (4 $\beta$ ) of Definition is satisfied entails that this is true when  $M(a) \supseteq$   
 ~~$M(a), \dots \subseteq S$~~

$M(b), \dots \subseteq S : P \in WA(R, S)$ . If  $P[R', S']$  contains an aggregate  $\sigma$  for which  $I(\sigma) = R$ , it contains, in particular, an aggregate  $\mu$  for which  $I(\mu) = R$  and  $M(\mu, a) = S$  for all  $a \in I(\mu)$ :  $1_{R, S} \in P[R, S]$ . Since, when  $\Delta : R \rightarrow \mathbb{I}S$ ,  $\Delta(a) \subseteq S$  for all  $a \in R$ ; ~~that~~  $P_\Delta[R, S]$  also  $1_{R, S}$  is one of the aggregates contained in it present in  $P_\Delta[R, S]$ .

### Expts n. 1.1.0 Special classes of aggregates

Definition (1 $\alpha$ )...

(1) Let  $\Theta, \Xi : R \rightarrow J$ . An aggregate  $\epsilon$  for which [30]  
 $I(\epsilon) \subseteq R$  and the largest system  $X \subseteq R$  satisfying  
the condition  $\Theta(X) \subseteq \Xi\{I(\epsilon)\}$  also satisfies the  
condition  $X \subseteq I(\epsilon)$  is said to be  $\Theta$ -closed with  
respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R$

(2)  $G(\Theta, \Xi | R)$  is the class of such aggregates  
and  $G(\Theta, \Xi | R, S)$  is the class of such aggregates  
in  $UA[R, S]$

(3) When  $\Theta(x) = Rx$ ,  $\Xi(a) = a$  and  $\epsilon \in A'[R]$ , so  
that the largest system  $X \subseteq R$  satisfying the condition  
 $RX \subseteq I(\epsilon)$  is contained in  $I(\epsilon)$ ,  $I(\epsilon)$  is said to be  
closed in  $R$ .

(2\*) Let  $\Theta, \Xi : R \rightarrow J$ . An aggregate  $\epsilon$  for which  
 $I(\epsilon) \subseteq R$  and, for all  $a \in R$ ,  $\Theta(a) \cap \Xi\{I(\epsilon)\}$  is nonvoid  
only when  $a \in I(\epsilon)$  is said to be  $\Theta$ -free with  
respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R$ .

(2)  $R(\Theta, \Xi | R)$  is the class of such aggregates and  
 $R(\Theta, \Xi | R, S)$  is the class of such aggregates in  $UA[R, S]$

(3) When  $\Theta(a) = a^2$ ,  $\Xi(a) = a$  and  $\epsilon \in A'[R]$ , so that  
for all  $a \in R$ ,  $a^2 \in I(\epsilon)$  only when  $a \in I(\epsilon)$ ,  $I(\epsilon)$  is said  
to be square free in  $R$ .

(3) Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$  and  $\Xi: R \rightarrow K$ . [31]

(a) An aggregate  $\omega \in A(R)$  for which, for all  $a \in R$  and  $b \in J$ ,  $\Omega(a, b) \cap \Xi\{\mathcal{I}(\omega)\}$  is nonvoid only when  $a \in \mathcal{I}(\omega)$  and  $b \in \Theta\{\mathcal{I}(\omega)\}$  is said to be  $\Omega$ -factored with respect to  $\Theta, \Xi$  in  $(R, J)$ .

(b)  $F(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | \frac{R \times J}{\Xi})$  is the class of such aggregates and  $TF(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R, S; J)$  is the class of such aggregates in  $UA[R, S]$ .

(c) When  $\forall \Omega(a, b) = ab$ ,  $\Theta(a) = \Xi(a) = a$  and  $\omega \in A'[R]$ , so that for all  $a, b \in R$ ,  $ab \in \mathcal{I}(\omega)$  only when  $a, b \in \mathcal{I}(\omega)$ ,  $\mathcal{I}(\omega)$  is said to be factored in  $R$ .

(4) Let  $\Theta: R \times R \times R \times R \times \dots \rightarrow J$  and  $\Xi: R \rightarrow J$ .

(a) An aggregate  $\omega \in A(R)$  for which for any fixed set  $a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots \in \mathcal{I}(\omega)$  either

(a)  $\Theta(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots)$  is independent of  $u$  in  $R$  or  
(b) for any  $x \in \Xi\{\mathcal{I}(\omega)\}$  a unique  $u = u(x; a, \dots, t, v, \dots) \in R$  exists for which  $x \in \Theta(a, \dots, t, u, v)$  and this  $u$  is in  $\mathcal{I}(\omega)$

properties (a, b) holding with regard to all arguments taken from the argument set  $a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots$  of  $\Theta$ , is

said to be  $\Theta$ -uniquely weakly soluble with respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R$

32

(3)  $WLL(\Theta, \Xi | R)$  is the class of such aggregates and  $WIL(\Theta, \Xi | R, S)$  is the class of such aggregates in  $WA[R, S]$

(4) When  $\Theta(a, b) = a + b$ ,  $\Xi(\frac{c}{a}) = \frac{c}{a}$  and  $c \in A'[R]$ , so that when  $a, \frac{c}{a} \in I(\sigma)$ , the equation  $a + b = \frac{c}{a}$  has a unique solution  $b \in R$  and this  $b$  is in  $I(\sigma)$ , and when  $b, c \in I(\sigma)$ , the same holds with regard to the solution  $a$  of the same equation,  $I(\sigma)$  is said to be additively  $\Theta$ -uniquely weakly soluble in  $R$ .

(5) Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$  and  $\Xi: R \rightarrow K$ .

An aggregate  $\sigma \in A(R)$  for which  $\Omega[a, \Xi(a)] \subseteq K \setminus \Xi\{I(\sigma)\}$  for all  $a \in R \setminus I(\sigma)$  and  $\Theta\{I(\sigma)\}$  is said to be  $\Omega$ -saturated with respect to  $\Theta, \Xi$  in  $(R, K)$

(6)  $S(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R; K)$  is the class of such aggregates and  $S(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R, S; K)$  is the class of such aggregates in  $WA[R, S]$ .

(7) When  $R = J$ ,  $\Omega(a, b) = ab$ ,  $\Theta(a) = \Xi(a) = a$  and  $c \in A'[R]$ , so that for all  $a \in R \setminus I(\sigma)$  and  $b \in I(\sigma)$ ,  $ab \notin I(\sigma)$ ,  $I(\sigma)$  is said to be saturated in  $R$ .

- (6\*) Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$  and  $\Xi: R \rightarrow K$ . [33]
- (a) An aggregate  $\varsigma \in A(R)$  for which  $\Omega[I(\varsigma), \Theta\{I(\varsigma)\}] \subseteq \Xi\{I(\varsigma)\}$  is said to be a contractive  $\Omega$ -system with respect to  $\Theta, \Xi$  in  $R$
- (b)  $CC(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R)$  is the class of such aggregates and  $CA(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R, S)$  is the class of such aggregates in  $UA[R, S]$ .
- (c) When  $R = J$ ,  $\Omega(a, b) = ab$ ,  $\Theta(a) = \Xi(a) = a$  and  $\varsigma \in A'[R]$ , so that  $I(\varsigma)^2 \subseteq I(\varsigma)$ ,  $I(\varsigma)$  is said to be a multiplicative system in  $R$ .
- (7) Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$  and  $\Xi: R \rightarrow K$ .
- (a) An aggregate  $\varsigma \in A(R)$  for which  $\Omega[I(\varsigma), \Theta\{I(\varsigma)\}] \supseteq \Xi\{I(\varsigma)\} \subseteq \Omega[I(\varsigma), \Theta\{I(\varsigma)\}]$  is said to be an expansive  $\Omega$ -system with respect to  $\Theta, \Xi$  in  $R$ .
- (b)  $EC(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R)$  is the class of such aggregates and  $EC(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R, S)$  is the class of such aggregates in  $UA[R, S]$ .
- (c) When  $R = J$ ,  $\Omega(a, b) = ab$ ,  $\Theta(a) = \Xi(a) = a$  and  $\varsigma \in A'[R]$ , so that  $I(\varsigma) \subseteq I(\varsigma)^2$ ,  $I(\varsigma)$  is said to be an expansive multiplicative system in  $R$ .

(8) Let  $\Theta, \equiv : R \rightarrow J$ .

" (2) An aggregate  $s \in A(R)$  for which  $\Theta\{I(s)\} \subseteq \equiv\{I(s)\}$   
<sup>Grobinger</sup> is said to be a  $\Theta$  semi-ideal with respect to  $\equiv$   
 in  $R$ .

(5)  $SI(\Theta, \equiv | R)$  is the class of such aggregates and  
 $SI(\Theta, \equiv | R, S)$  is the class of such aggregates in  
~~A[R, S]~~  $\cup A[R, S]$

(8) When  $\Theta(a) = Ra$ ,  $\equiv(a) = a$  and  $s \in A'[R]$ , so  
 that  $R I(s) \subseteq I(s)$ ,  $I(s)$  is said to be a semi-ideal  
 in  $R$ .

The following two results offer definitions alternative  
 to (1, 2) above.

(i) Let  $\Theta, \equiv : R \rightarrow J$ .  $s$  is  $\Theta$ -closed with respect  
 to  $\equiv$  in  $R$  if and only if  

$$\text{and } s \in A.$$

(ii) Let  $\Theta, \equiv : R \rightarrow J$ . Define the mapping

~~the aggregate~~  $\Theta_{\equiv, s}$  by setting  $\Theta_{\equiv, s}(x) = \Theta(x) \cap [J \setminus \equiv\{I(s)\}]$ .  
 $s$  is  $\Theta$  closed with respect to  $\equiv$  in  $R$  if and  
 only if  $\Theta_{\equiv, s} : R \setminus I(s) \rightarrow J$ .

If the largest system  $X$  for which  $\Theta(X) \subseteq \equiv\{I(X)\}$

satisfies the condition  $x \in I(\zeta)$ , then  $\Theta(x) \subseteq \bar{E} \setminus \{I(\zeta)\}$  only if when  $x \in I(\zeta)$ , for if there is an  $x \notin I(\zeta)$  for which  $\Theta(x) \subseteq \bar{E} \setminus \{I(\zeta)\}$ , then this  $x$  belongs to  $X$  and  $x \notin I(\zeta)$ . If, for the largest system  $X$  for which  $\Theta(x) \subseteq \bar{E} \setminus \{I(\zeta)\}$ ,  $x \notin I(\zeta)$ , then an  $x \notin I(\zeta)$  for which  $\Theta(x) \subseteq \bar{E} \setminus \{I(\zeta)\}$ :  $\zeta \in G(R; \Theta, \bar{E})$  if and only if,  $\Theta(x) \subseteq \bar{E}$  for all  $x \in R$ ,  $\Theta(x) \subseteq \bar{E} \setminus \{I(\zeta)\}$  only when  $x \in I(\zeta)$ .

Assume that  $\Theta(\zeta) \subseteq \bar{E} \setminus \{I(\zeta)\}$  only when  $x \in I(\zeta)$ . Select  $x \in R \setminus I(\zeta)$ .  $b \in \Theta(x)$  exists such that  $b \notin \bar{E} \setminus \{I(\zeta)\}$ , i.e.  $b \in J \setminus \bar{E} \setminus \{I(\zeta)\}$ : if  $\Theta(x) \subseteq \bar{E} \setminus \{I(\zeta)\}$  only when  $x \in I(\zeta)$ ,  $\Theta(x) \cap [J \setminus \bar{E} \setminus \{I(\zeta)\}]$  is nonvoid for all  $x \in R \setminus I(\zeta)$ . Assume that  $\Theta(x) \cap [J \setminus \bar{E} \setminus \{I(\zeta)\}]$  is nonvoid for all  $x \in R \setminus I(\zeta)$ . If  $x \notin I(\zeta)$ ,  $b \in \Theta(x)$  exists such that  $b \notin \bar{E} \setminus \{I(\zeta)\}$ , and then  $\Theta(x)$  i.e  $\Theta(x) \subseteq \bar{E} \setminus \{I(\zeta)\}$ : if  $\Theta(x) \cap [J \setminus \bar{E} \setminus \{I(\zeta)\}]$  is nonvoid for all  $x \in R \setminus I(\zeta)$ ,  $\Theta(x) \subseteq \bar{E} \setminus \{J(\zeta)\}$  only when  $x \in I(\zeta)$ .

$\leftarrow$  Let  $\Theta: R \xrightarrow{\bar{E}} J$  and  $\Delta: R \xrightarrow{\bar{S}}$ ; then  $G_{\Delta}(R; \Theta, \bar{E}) \in C(R, S)$

Set  $G = G_{\Delta}(R; \Theta, \bar{E})$ . Let  $U \subseteq G(R, S)$  and  $\omega = |U|$ .

From ( ),  $\zeta \in G$  if and only if  $\Theta(\zeta) \cap [J \setminus \bar{E} \setminus \{I(\zeta)\}]$  is nonvoid for all  $x \in R \setminus I(\zeta)$ . Select  $x \in R \setminus I(\omega)$ .

Since  $x \notin I(\omega)$  and, from ( ),  $I(\omega) = \bigcap_{\zeta \in U} I(\zeta)$ , there is a

(It is remarked that, since  $\Theta(R) \subseteq J$ , the mapping<sup>36</sup>  
 $\Theta_{\Xi, \varsigma}$  is independent of  $J$  for all  $J$  for which  
occurring in the notation  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ .)

(ii) Let  $\Theta, \Xi: R \rightarrow J$ . ~~s~~ is the aggregate  $s$  is  
 $\Theta$ -free with respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R$  if and only  
if  $\Theta: R \setminus I(s) \rightarrow J \setminus \Xi\{I(s)\}$

Assume that for all  $x \in R$ ,  $\Theta(x) \cap \Xi\{I(s)\}$  is  
nonvoid only when  $x \in I(s)$ . For all  $x \in R \setminus I(s)$ ,  
 $\Theta(x) \cap \Xi\{I(s)\}$  is void, i.e.,  $\Theta(x) \subseteq J \setminus \Xi\{I(s)\}$ .

Assuming that  $\Theta(x) \subseteq J \setminus \Xi\{I(s)\}$  for all  $x \in R \setminus I(s)$ ,  
 $\Theta(x) \cap \Xi\{I(s)\}$  is void for all such  $x$ :  $\Theta(x) \cap \Xi\{I(s)\}$   
is nonvoid only when  $x \in I(s)$ .

### 1.1.1 Relationships within aggregate classes

(i) Let  $\Theta, \Xi : R \rightarrow J$  and  $\Theta', \Xi' : R' \rightarrow J$  with  $R \subseteq R'$  and  $\{\Theta, \Xi\} \subseteq_{(R)} \{\Theta', \Xi'\}$  and  $\Theta'(x) \subseteq \Theta(x)$ ,  $\Xi(x) \subseteq \Xi'(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ . If  $\varsigma$  is  $\Theta'$ -closed with respect to  $\Xi'$  in  $R'$  and  $I(\varsigma) \subseteq R$ ,  $\varsigma$  is also  $\Theta$ -closed with respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R$  and  $\{\Theta, \Xi\} \subseteq_{(R)} \{\Theta', \Xi'\}$ .  $A(R) \cap G(\Theta', \Xi' | R) \subseteq G(\Theta, \Xi | R)$ .

If  $\varsigma$  is  $\Theta'$ -closed with respect to  $\Xi'$  in  $R'$ ,  $I(\varsigma) \subseteq R'$  and  $\Theta'(x) \cap [J \setminus \Xi'\{I(\varsigma)\}]$  is nonvoid for all  $x \in R' \setminus I(\varsigma)$ , from ( ). If  $R \subseteq R'$ ,  $\Theta'(x) \subseteq \Theta(x)$  and  $\Xi(x) \subseteq \Xi'(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ ,  $\Theta(x) \cap [J \setminus \Xi\{I(\varsigma)\}]$  is nonvoid for all  $x \in R \setminus I(\varsigma)$ . Hence if  $I(\varsigma) \subseteq R$ ,  $\varsigma$  is  $\Theta$ -closed with respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R$ .

(ii) Let  $\Theta, \Xi : R \rightarrow J$  and  $\Theta', \Xi' : R' \rightarrow J$  with  $R \subseteq R'$  and  $\Theta(x) \subseteq \Theta'(x)$ ,  $\Xi(x) \subseteq \Xi'(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ . If  $\varsigma$  is  $\Theta'$ -free with respect to  $\Xi'$  in  $R'$  and  $I(\varsigma) \subseteq R$ ,  $\varsigma$  is  $\Theta$ -free with respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R$  and  $I(\varsigma) \subseteq R$ , and  $\{\Theta, \Xi\} \subseteq_{(R)} \{\Theta', \Xi'\}$ .  $A(R) \cap R(\Theta', \Xi' | R') \subseteq R(\Theta, \Xi | R)$ .

If  $\varsigma$  is  $\Theta'$ -free with respect to  $\Xi'$  in  $R'$ ,  $I(\varsigma) \subseteq R'$  and  $\Theta'(x) \subseteq J \setminus \Xi'\{I(\varsigma)\}$  for all  $x \in R' \setminus I(\varsigma)$ , from ( ). If  $R \subseteq R'$ ,  $\Theta(x) \subseteq \Theta'(x)$  and  $\Xi(x) \subseteq \Xi'(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ ,  $\Theta(x) \subseteq J \setminus \Xi\{I(\varsigma)\}$  for all  $x \in R \setminus I(\varsigma)$ . Hence if  $I(\varsigma) \subseteq R$ ,  $\varsigma$  is  $\Theta$ -free with respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R$ , from ( ) again.

(ii) Let  $\Theta, \Xi: R \rightarrow J$  and  $\Theta', \Xi': R' \rightarrow J$  with [38]

$R \subseteq R'$

(iii) Let  $\Theta, \Xi: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$ ,  $\Xi: R \rightarrow K$ ,

$\Theta': R' \rightarrow J'$ ,  $\Omega': R' \times J' \rightarrow K$  and  $\Xi': R' \rightarrow K$ , with

$R \subseteq R'$ ,  $J \subseteq J'$ ,  $\Theta'(a) \subseteq \Theta(a)$  and  $\Xi(a) \subseteq \Xi'(a)$  for all  $a \in R$  and  $\Omega(a, b) \subseteq \Omega'(a, b)$  for all  $a \in R, b \in J$ .

If  $I(\epsilon) \subseteq R$  and  $\epsilon$  is  $\Omega'$ -factored with respect

to  $\Theta', \Xi'$  in  $R'$ , then  $\epsilon$  is also  $\Omega$ -factored with

respect to  $\Theta, \Xi$  in  $R$ .  $\{\Theta', \Xi'\} \subseteq_{(R')} \{\Theta, \Xi'\}$  and  
 $\Omega \subseteq_{(R, J)} \Omega'$ .  $A(R) \cap F(\Omega'; \Theta', \Xi' | R', J') \subseteq F(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R, J)$ .

$\epsilon$  is  $\Omega$ -factored with respect to  $\Theta, \Xi$  in

$R'$  if and only if for all pairs  $a, b$  for which

either  $a \in R' \setminus I(\epsilon)$  or  $b \in J' \setminus \Theta'\{I(\epsilon)\}$  (and

possibly for some  $a, b$  for which both  $a \in I(\epsilon)$

and  $b \in \Theta'\{I(\epsilon)\}$ )  $\Omega'(a, b) \cap \Xi'\{I(\epsilon)\}$  is

void. If this condition holds and the stated

relationships between  $R, \dots, \Omega'(a, b)$  hold then

for all pairs  $a, b$  for which either  $a \in R \setminus I(\epsilon)$

or  $b \in J \setminus \Theta\{I(\epsilon)\}$ ,  $\Omega(a, b) \cap \Xi\{I(\epsilon)\}$  is void:

$\epsilon$  is  $\Omega$ -factored with respect to  $\Theta, \Xi$  in  $R$ .

and from the preceding part,  $\exists' A \times B \times \dots \times C, \text{ and } D \xrightarrow{\exists'} z$ .  
 From (P) again,  $\exists : A \times B \times \dots \times C, \text{ and } D \xrightarrow{\exists} z$ .  
~~Remark 2 (ppm)~~  $\Rightarrow$

(iv) Let  $\Theta' : R' \times \dots \times R' \times R' \times R' \times \dots \rightarrow J$ ,  
 $\exists : R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\exists' : R' \rightarrow J$  with  $R \subseteq R'$  and  $I(\exists) \subseteq I(\exists')$   
 for all  $x \in R$ . Let  $\sigma$  be  $\Theta'$  locally uniquely solvable with  
 respect to  $\exists'$  in  $R'$  and  $I(\sigma) \subseteq R$ . If either

(1)  $\Theta : R \times \dots \times R \times R \times R \times \dots \rightarrow J$  and

(b)  $\Theta'(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots) \subseteq \Theta(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots)$  for all  
 $a, b, \dots, t, u, v, \dots \in R$  and

(2)  $\Theta : R \times \dots \times R \times R \times R \times \dots \rightarrow J$  is such that for  
 each set  $S = \{a, b, \dots, t, v, \dots\}$  with  $a, b, \dots, t, v, \dots \in R$   
 and each  $x \in J$  a  $u \in R$  exists for which  
 $x \in \Theta(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots)$ , this solubility property holding  
 with regard to all arguments of  $\Theta$ , and

(c)  $\Theta(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots) \subseteq \Theta'(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots)$  for all  
 $a, b, \dots, t, u, v, \dots \in R$

then  $\Theta$  is  $\Theta'$  uniquely locally  
 solvable with respect to  $\exists$ . Then  $A(R) \cap WUL(\Theta', \exists' | R') \subseteq WUL(\Theta, \exists | R)$ .

$\sigma'$  is  $\Theta'$  uniquely locally solvable with respect to  $\exists'$   
 in  $R'$  if and only if  $I(\sigma) \subseteq R'$  and for each set  
 $a, b, \dots, t, v, \dots$  with  $a, b, \dots, t, v, \dots \in R'$   $I(\sigma)$  either (a)  
 $\Theta'(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots)$  is independent of  $u$  in  $R'$  or (b)  
 corresponding to each  $x \in \exists' \{I(\sigma)\}$ ,  $R'$  contains a  
 unique  $u = u(x; a, \dots, t, v, \dots)$  for which  $x \in \Theta'(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots)$

and this  $u$  is in  $I(\varsigma)$ , property (2) or (3) the property 40 described & holding with regard to all arguments and complementary argument sets of  $\Theta'$ . Under the condition  $\Theta': R' \times \dots \times \cancel{R} \times R' \times R' \times \dots \rightarrow J$ , condition (2) never obtains : condition (3) alone is operative.

Under the conditions of (1), select  $a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots \in I(\varsigma)$  and  $x \in \Xi \{I(\varsigma)\}$ , so that  $\cancel{x} \in \Xi' \{I(\varsigma)\}$ . <sup>For the proof of part (1), it is remarked that since  $\Theta \subseteq \Theta'$  and since  $\varsigma$  is uniquely locally soluble with respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R'$ ,  $R'$  contains  $u$  a unique  $u$  for which  $x \in \Theta'(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots)$  and this  $u$  is in  $I(\varsigma)$ . Since  $\Theta'(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots) \subseteq \Theta(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots)$ ,  $x \in \Theta(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots)$  and, since  $I(\varsigma) \subseteq R \subseteq R'$ ,  $u \in R$ ;  $R$  contains  $u$  such that  $x \in \Theta(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots)$ .</sup>

Since  $\Theta: R \times \dots \times R \times R \times R \times \dots \rightarrow J$ , this  $u$  is unique in  $R$ ; and is also in  $I(\varsigma)$ . The above holds for all selections  $a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots \in I(\varsigma)$  and with regard to all arguments  $u$  of  $\Theta$ :  $\varsigma$  is uniquely locally soluble with respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R$ .

For the proof of part (2) it is remarked that  $R$  contains  $u$  for which  $x \in \Theta(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots)$  and, since  $\Theta(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots) \subseteq \Theta'(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots)$ ,  $x \in \Theta'(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots)$ . Since  $x \in \Xi' \{I(\varsigma)\}$ ,  $\varsigma$  is uniquely locally soluble with respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R'$ , and  $\Theta': R' \times \dots \times R' \times R' \times R' \times \dots$  with respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R'$  and is in  $I(\varsigma)$ . Since  $I(\varsigma) \subseteq R \subseteq R'$ , this  $u$  is unique in  $R'$  and is in  $I(\varsigma)$ :  $\varsigma$  is uniquely locally soluble with respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R$ .

(v) Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$ ,  $\equiv: R \rightarrow K$  and  $\Theta': R' \xrightarrow{\text{PfII}} J$ ,  $\Omega': R' \times J \rightarrow K$ ,  $\equiv': R' \rightarrow K$  with  $R \subseteq R'$ ,  $\Omega(a, b) \subseteq \Omega'(a, b)$  for all  $a \in R$  and  $b \in J$ ,  $\Theta(a) \subseteq \Theta'(a)$  and  $\equiv(a) \subseteq \equiv'(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ . If  $\sigma$  is  $\Omega'$ -saturated with respect to  $\Theta, \equiv$  in  $R'$  and  $I(\sigma) \subseteq R$ , it is also  $\Omega$ -saturated with respect to  $\Theta, \equiv$  in  $R$ .  $\{\Theta, \equiv\} \subseteq_{(R)} \{\Theta', \equiv'\}$  and  $\Omega \subseteq_{(R, J)} \Omega'$ .  $A(R) \cap S(\Omega'; \Theta', \equiv' | R', K') \subseteq S(\Omega; \Theta, \equiv | R, K)$ .  $\Omega \subseteq_{(R, J)} \Omega'$  is  $\Omega'$ -saturated with respect to  $\Theta', \equiv'$  in  $R'$  if and only if  $I(\sigma) \subseteq R'$  and  $\Omega[R' \setminus I(\sigma), \Theta'\{I(\sigma)\}] \subseteq K \setminus \equiv'\{I(\sigma)\}$ . Assuming this condition to hold, that  $R \subseteq R'$ , that  $\Omega(a, b) \subseteq \Omega'(a, b)$  for all  $a \in R$  and  $b \in J$ , and that  $\Theta(a) \subseteq \Theta'(a)$ ,  $\equiv(a) \subseteq \equiv'(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ , then  $\Omega[R \setminus I(\sigma), \Theta\{I(\sigma)\}] \subseteq K \setminus \equiv\{I(\sigma)\}$ . If  $I(\sigma) \subseteq R$ ,  $\sigma$  is  $\Omega$ -saturated with respect to  $\Theta, \equiv$  in  $R$ .

(vi) Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$ ,  $\equiv: R \rightarrow K$  and  $\Theta': R' \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Omega': R' \times J \rightarrow K$ ,  $\equiv': R' \rightarrow K$  with  $R' \subseteq R$ ,  $\Omega(a, b) \subseteq \Omega'(a, b)$ ,  $\{\Theta, \equiv\} \subseteq_{(R)} \{\Theta', \equiv'\}$  and  $\Omega \subseteq_{(R', J)} \Omega'$ .  $\{\Theta, \equiv\} \subseteq_{(R)} \{\Theta', \equiv'\}$  and  $\Omega \subseteq_{(R', J)} \Omega'$ .  $K \cap \Omega(\Omega'; \Theta', R' | R') \subseteq C(\Omega; \Theta, \equiv | R)$ .  $\Omega$  is a contractive  $\Omega'$ -system with respect to  $\Theta', \equiv'$  in  $R'$  if and only if  $I(\sigma) \subseteq R'$  and  $\Omega'[I(\sigma), \Theta'\{I(\sigma)\}] \subseteq \equiv'\{I(\sigma)\}$ . Assuming this condition to hold, that  $R' \subseteq R$ , that  $\Omega(a, b) \subseteq \Omega'(a, b)$  for all  $a \in R'$  and  $b \in J$  and that  $\Theta(a) \subseteq \Theta'(a)$ ,  $\equiv'(a) \subseteq \equiv(a)$  for all  $a \in R'$ , then  $I(\sigma) \subseteq R$  and  $\Omega[I(\sigma), \Theta\{I(\sigma)\}] \subseteq \equiv\{I(\sigma)\}$ :  $\sigma$  is a contractive  $\Omega$ -system with respect to  $\Theta, \equiv$  in  $R$ .

- (vii) Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$ ,  $\Xi: R \rightarrow K$  and  $\Theta': R' \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Omega': R' \times J \rightarrow K$ ,  $\Xi': R' \rightarrow K$  with  $R' \subseteq R$ ,  $\{\Theta, \Xi\}_{(R, J)} \subseteq \{\Theta', \Xi'\}_{(R', J)}$  and  $\Theta'(a, b) \subseteq \Omega'(a, b)$  for all  $a \in R$  and  $b \in J$ ,  $\Theta'(a) \subseteq \Xi'(a)$  and  $\Xi(a) \subseteq \Xi'(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ . If  $\sigma$  is an expansive  $\Omega'$ -system with respect to  $\Theta', \Xi'$  in  $R'$ , then it is also an expansive  $\Omega$ -system with respect to  $\Theta, \Xi$  in  $R$ .  $\{\Theta', \Xi'\}_{(R', J)} \subseteq \{\Theta, \Xi\}_{(R, J)}$  and  $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$ .  $E(\Omega'; \Theta', \Xi' | R') \subseteq E(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R)$ .  $\sigma$  is an expansive  $\Omega$ -system with respect to  $\Theta', \Xi'$  in  $R'$  if and only if  $I(\sigma) \subseteq R'$  and  $\Xi' \{I(\sigma)\} \subseteq \Omega' [I(\sigma), \Theta' \{I(\sigma)\}]$ . Taking into account the change in direction of the last inequality, the proof is as for that of (c).
- (viii) Let  $\Theta, \Xi: R \rightarrow J$  and  $\Theta', \Xi': R' \rightarrow J$  with  $R' \subseteq R$  and  $\Theta(x) \subseteq \Theta'(x)$ ,  $\Xi(x) \subseteq \Xi'(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ . If  $\sigma$  is a  $\Theta'$ -semi-ideal with respect to  $\Xi'$  in  $R'$ , it is also a  $\Theta$ -semi-ideal with respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R$  and  $\{\Theta, \Xi'\}_{(R, J)} \subseteq \{\Theta', \Xi\}_{(R', J)}$ .  $SIC(\Theta', \Xi' | R') \subseteq SIC(\Theta, \Xi | R)$ .  $\sigma$  is a  $\Theta$ -semi-ideal with respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R$  if and only if  $I(\sigma) \subseteq R'$  and  $\Theta' \{I(\sigma)\} \subseteq \Xi' \{I(\sigma)\}$ . Assuming this condition to hold, that  $R' \subseteq R$  and  $\Theta(x) \subseteq \Theta'(x)$ ,  $\Xi'(x) \subseteq \Xi(x)$  for all  $x \in R'$ , then  $I(\sigma) \subseteq R$  and  $\Theta \{I(\sigma)\} \subseteq \Xi \{I(\sigma)\}$ :  $\sigma$  is a  $\Theta$ -semi-ideal with respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R$ .

## Connections between aggregate classes

(e) Let  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$ ,  $\Xi: R \rightarrow K$  and  $\Theta', \Xi': R' \rightarrow K$  with  $R' \subseteq R$  and  $\Xi' \subseteq_{\Omega} \Xi$  for all  $a \in R'$ . Let  $\Theta, \Xi$  be such that

(A)  $\Xi(a, j) \neq \Xi(a', j)$  for all  $a \neq a'$  in  $R$  and  $j \in J$ .  
 With the mapping  $\Omega_J: R' \rightarrow K$  defined by setting  $\Omega_J(a) = \Omega(a, j)$   
 (B)  $\Xi(a, j) \neq \Xi(a', j)$  for all  $a \neq a'$  in  $R$  and  $j \in J$ .  
 for all  $a \in R'$ , let  $\Theta' \subseteq_{\Omega'} \Omega_J$ . For any mapping  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$   
 (C)  $\Xi(a, j) \neq \Xi(a', j)$  for all  $a \neq a'$  in  $R$  and  $j \in J$ . Then  $\Theta$  is  $\Omega$ -factored with  
 respect to  $\Xi$  in  $R$  and  $J$  if  $\Xi(a, j) \in \Theta(a)$  for all  $a \in R$  and  $j \in J$ .

Define the mapping  $\hat{\Theta}: R \rightarrow J$  by setting  $\hat{\Theta}(a) = J$  for all  $a \in R$ . Then for any mapping  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Theta(x) \subseteq \hat{\Theta}(x)$  for all  $x \in R$  and, from (C), if  $\Xi$  is  $\Omega$ -factored with respect to  $\Theta, \Xi$  in  $R$ , it is so with respect to  $\hat{\Theta}, \Xi$  in  $R$  and, in this case,  $\Omega(a, b) \cap \Xi\{I(\epsilon)\}$  is nonvoid only when  $a \in I(\epsilon), b \in J$ . Assuming that  $\Theta'(a) \subseteq \Omega(a, J)$ ,  $\Xi'(a) \subseteq \Xi(a)$  for all  $a \in R'$  where  $I(\epsilon) \subseteq R' \subseteq R$ , it follows that if  $\Theta'(a) \cap \Xi'\{I(\epsilon)\}$  is nonvoid for some  $a \in R'$  then  $\Omega(a, J) \cap \Xi\{I(\epsilon)\}$  is nonvoid for this  $a$ , i.e.  $b \in J$  exists such that  $\Omega(a, b) \cap \Xi\{I(\epsilon)\}$  is nonvoid; this occurs, in particular, only when  $a \in I(\epsilon)$ . The condition that

$\Theta'(\alpha) \cap \Xi' \{I(\epsilon)\}$  is, for all  $\alpha \in R'$ , nonvoid only

?? When  $\overset{\alpha}{\underset{\epsilon}{\text{I}}} \subseteq R'$  defines  $\alpha \in I(\epsilon)$  defines  $\epsilon$  to be  
 $\Theta'$ -free with respect to  $\Xi'$  in  $R'$ .

(ii) Let  $\Theta', \Xi': R' \rightarrow J$  and  $\Theta'', \Xi'': R'' \rightarrow J$   
with  $R'' \subseteq R'$  and  $\Theta'(\alpha) \subseteq \Theta''(\alpha), \Xi''(\alpha) \subseteq \Xi'(\alpha)$   
for all  $\alpha \in R''$ . If  $\epsilon$  is  $\Theta'$ -free with respect  
to  $\Xi'$  in  $R'$ , then  $\epsilon$  is  $\Theta''$ -closed with respect  
to  $\Xi''$  in  $R''$  with  $R'' \subseteq R'$  and  $\{\Theta', \Xi'\} \subseteq \{R'', \Xi''\}, \{\Theta'', \Xi'\}$ .  
 $A(R'') \cap R(\Theta', \Xi' | R') \subseteq S(\Theta'', \Xi'' | R'')$   
Let  $\epsilon$  be  $\Theta'$ -free with respect to  $\Xi'$  in  $R'$ .

From ( ),  $\Theta'(\alpha) \subseteq [J \setminus \Xi' \{I(\epsilon)\}]$  for all  
 $\alpha \in R' \setminus I(\epsilon)$ . But  $R'' \subseteq R'$  and  $\Theta''(\alpha) \subseteq \Theta'(\alpha)$ ,  
 $\Xi''(\alpha) \subseteq \Xi'(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in R''$ . Hence  
 $\Theta''(\alpha) \subseteq [J \setminus \Xi'' \{I(\epsilon)\}]$  for all  $\alpha \in R'' \setminus I(\epsilon)$  and,  
in particular,  $\Theta''(\alpha) \cap [J \setminus \Xi'' \{I(\epsilon)\}]$  is nonvoid  
for all  $\alpha \in R'' \setminus I(\epsilon)$ . From ( ),  $\epsilon$  is  $\Theta''$ -closed  
with respect to  $\Xi''$  in  $R''$ .

~~(The conditions  $\Theta': A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow Z$  and  $\Theta(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots) \subseteq \Theta'(a, b, \dots, c, d, \dots)$  alone do not suffice to ensure that  $\Theta: A \times B \times \dots \times C \times D \times \dots \rightarrow Z$ . Take  $R = \{m, n\}$  and  $\Theta(m) = \Theta'(n) = Z$ , so that  $\Theta: R \rightarrow Z$ . Take  $\Theta(m), \Theta(n) \subseteq Z$  with  $X = \Theta(m) \cap \Theta(n)$  nonvoid. When  $x \in X$ ,  $x \in \Theta(u)$  with  $u = m$  or  $u = n$ .~~

(iii)\* Let  $\Xi: R \rightarrow K$ ,  $\Sigma_1: R \times J \rightarrow K$ ,  $R \subseteq R'$  and  $\Theta', \Xi': R' \rightarrow K$  where  $\Theta'(a) \subseteq \Xi'(a)$  for all  $a \in R$  and  $\Theta, \Xi'$  are such that  $\Sigma_2(a, J) \subseteq \Theta'(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ .  
~~If  $\epsilon$  is a  $\Theta$  semi-ideal with respect to  $\Xi'$  in  $R'$  and  $\Xi' \subseteq_{(R)} \Xi$ . With the mapping  $\Sigma_2: R \rightarrow K$  defined by setting  $\Sigma_2(a) = \Xi'(a, J)$  for all  $a \in R$ , then  $\Sigma_2 \subseteq_{(R)} \Theta$ . For any mapping  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $A(R) \cap SIC(\Theta', \Xi' | R) \subseteq CO(\Sigma_2; \Theta, \Xi' | R)$ . If  $\epsilon$  is a  $\Theta$  semi-ideal with respect to  $\Xi'$  in  $R'$ , if  $\Theta'\{I(a)\} \subseteq \Xi'\{I(a)\}$ . The conditions that  $\Sigma_2(a, J) \subseteq \Theta'(a)$  and  $\Xi'(a) \subseteq \Xi(a)$  for all  $a \in R$  then imply that  $\Sigma_2\{I(a), J\} \subseteq \Xi_1\{I(a)\}$ . Defining the mapping  $\hat{\Theta}: R \rightarrow J$  by setting  $\hat{\Theta}(a) = J$  for all  $a \in R$ , it then follows that  $\Sigma_2\{I(a), \hat{\Theta}\{I(a)\}\} \subseteq \Xi\{I(a)\}$ :  $\epsilon$  is a contractive  $\Sigma_2$  system with respect to  $\Theta, \Xi$  in  $R$ . For any mapping  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Theta(a) \subseteq \hat{\Theta}(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ . From ( ),  $\epsilon$  is a contractive  $\Sigma_2$  system with respect to  $\Theta, \Xi$  in  $R$ .~~

## n.2 Sets of aggregates

46

Definition (1)  $P$  being a class of aggregates and  $U \subseteq P$   
 being a set of aggregates, the notation  $U \subseteq P$  indicates  
 that all members of  $U$  are in  $P$

(2)  $U$  being a set of aggregates  $\mu, \rho, \dots, |U|$  is the  
 aggregate  $\omega$  for which

(a)  $I(\omega)$  is void when  $I(\omega) = \bigcap_{\epsilon \in U} I(\epsilon)$  is void and  
 (b) is otherwise the subset of  $\alpha \in I(\omega)$  for which  $M'(\omega, \alpha) =$   
 $\bigcap_{\epsilon \in U} M(\epsilon, \alpha)$  is nonvoid and

(c) when  $I(\omega)$  is nonvoid,  $M(\omega, \alpha) = M'(\omega, \alpha)$  for each  $\alpha \in I(\omega)$ .  
 $\subseteq A??$

(i) Let  $U, V \subseteq A[R, S]$ . If  $U \subseteq V$  then  $|V| \leq |U|$

Let  $\omega = |U|$ .  $I(\omega)$  is the subset of  $\alpha \in I(\omega) = \bigcap_{\epsilon \in U} I(\epsilon)$   
 for which  $M'(\omega, \alpha) = \bigcap_{\epsilon \in U} M(\epsilon, \alpha)$  is nonvoid, and  $M(\omega, \alpha) =$   
 $M'(\omega, \alpha)$  for each  $\alpha \in I(\omega)$ .  $\xi = |V|$  is similarly defined.

$I'(\xi) \subseteq I'(\omega)$ . Since  $M'(\xi, \alpha) \subseteq M'(\omega, \alpha)$ ,  $M'(\omega, \alpha)$  is nonvoid whenever  $M'(\xi, \alpha)$  is nonvoid; all  $\alpha \in I(\xi)$  belong to  $I(\omega)$ , and  $M(\xi, \alpha) \subseteq M(\omega, \alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in I(\xi)$ :  $\xi \leq \omega$ .

(ii) Let  $U, V \subseteq A[R, S]$ .  $|U \cup V| = |U| + |V|$

Let  $\tau = |U|$ ,  $\kappa = |V|$ ,  $\omega = \tau + \kappa$ ,  $T = U \cup V$  and  $\xi = |T|$ .

$I(\xi)$  is the subset of  $\alpha \in I(\xi) = \bigcap_{\epsilon \in T} I(\epsilon)$  for which  $M'(\xi, \alpha)$

$\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} M(\xi, \alpha)$  is nonvoid, and  $M(\xi, \alpha) = M'(\xi, \alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in I(\xi)$ . 47

$I(z), M(z, \alpha)$  and  $I(k), M(k, \alpha)$  are similarly defined.

$I'(\xi) = \left\{ \bigcap_{\alpha \in U} I(\alpha) \right\} \cap \left\{ \bigcap_{\alpha \in V} I(\alpha) \right\}$  and  $M'(\xi, \alpha) = \left\{ \bigcap_{\alpha \in U} M(\xi, \alpha) \right\} \cap \left\{ \bigcap_{\alpha \in V} M(\xi, \alpha) \right\}$ .  $I(\xi)$  and  $I(\omega)$  are both the subsets of  $\alpha \in I'(\xi) = I(z) \cap I'(k)$  for which  $M'(\xi, \alpha) = M'(z, \alpha) \cap M'(k, \alpha)$  is nonvoid and then  $M(\xi, \alpha) = M(\omega, \alpha) = M'(\xi, \alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in I(\xi) = I(\omega) : \xi = \omega$ .

(iii) Let  $U, V \subseteq A$ . Then  $|U|_V|_V| \leq |U \cap V|$

Since  $U \cap V \subseteq U$ ,  $|U| \leq |U \cap V|$ , from (i). Similarly  $|V| \leq |U \cap V|$  and, from (i),  $|U|_V|_V| \leq |U \cap V|$ . ~~the~~ (That the relationship  $|U|_V|_V| < |U \cap V|$  is possible is shown by taking  $U = \{\mu, \rho\}$ ,  $V = \{\xi, \rho\}$  where  $\mu < \xi < \rho$ .  $|U| = \mu$  and  $|V| = \xi$ , so that, since  $\mu < \xi$ ,  $|U|_V|_V| = \xi$ , from (i). But  $U \cap V = \rho = |U \cap V|$  and  $\xi < \rho$ .)

(iv) Let  $U \subseteq A[R, S]$ ,  $\omega = |U|$  and  $\Xi : R \rightarrow \bar{J}$ .

(1)  $\Xi \{I(\omega)\} \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \in U} \Xi \{I(\alpha)\}$

(2) Let  $\Delta : R \rightarrow \mathbb{I}[S]$  and  $U \subseteq A_\Delta [R, S]$ . Then  $\Xi \{I(\omega)\} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in U} \Xi \{I(\alpha)\}$ .

(iv) i) Let  $U \subseteq A[R, S]$  and  $\omega = |U|$ .  $I(\omega) \subseteq \bigcap_{\varsigma \in U} I(\varsigma)$ . [13]

ii) Let  $\Delta: R \rightarrow \amalg S$ ,  $U \subseteq A_\Delta[R, S]$  and  $\omega = |U|$ .

$$I(\omega) = \bigcap_{\varsigma \in U} I(\varsigma).$$

$I(\omega)$  is, by definition, <sup>the</sup> subset of  $I'(\omega) = \bigcap_{\varsigma \in U} I(\varsigma)$ , for which  $M'(\omega, \alpha) = \bigcap_{\varsigma \in U} M(\varsigma, \alpha)$  is nonvoid: the first result is already available. If  $\Delta(\alpha) \subseteq M(\varsigma, \alpha)$  for each  $\varsigma \in U$  and all  $\alpha \in I(\varsigma)$ ,  $M'(\omega, \alpha)$  contains  $\Delta(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in I(\omega)$  and is accordingly nonvoid for all such  $\alpha$ :  $I(\omega) = I'(\omega)$ .

(v) Let  $U \subseteq A[R, S]$ ,  $\omega = |U|$  and  $\equiv: R \rightarrow J$ .  $\equiv \{I(\omega)\}$

$$\subseteq \bigcap_{\varsigma \in U} \equiv \{I(\varsigma)\}$$

$I(\omega) \subseteq I(\varsigma)$  for all  $\varsigma \in U$ . Hence, from (i),  $\equiv \{I(\omega)\} \subseteq \equiv \{I(\varsigma)\}$  for all  $\varsigma \in U$  also, and  $\equiv \{I(\omega)\} \subseteq \bigcap_{\varsigma \in U} \equiv \{I(\varsigma)\}$ .

(vi) Let  $\Delta: R \rightarrow \amalg S$ ,  $U \subseteq A_\Delta[R, S]$ ,  $\omega = |U|$  and

$$\equiv: R \rightarrow J. \quad \equiv \{I(\omega)\} = \bigcap_{\varsigma \in U} \equiv \{I(\varsigma)\}.$$

Set  $A = \equiv \{I(\omega)\}$  and  $B = \bigcap_{\varsigma \in U} \equiv \{I(\varsigma)\}$ . From (iv 1),  $A \subseteq B$ . Select  $b \in B$ . With  $U = \{\varsigma_1, \mu, \dots\}$ ,  $b \in \equiv(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in I(\varsigma_1)$ ,  $b \in \equiv(\alpha')$  for some  $\alpha' \in I(\mu), \dots$ . But  $\equiv: R \rightarrow J$  and the conditions  $b \in \equiv(\alpha)$ ,  $b \in \equiv(\alpha')$ , ... imply that  $\alpha = \alpha' = \dots$ :  $a \in \bigcap_{\varsigma \in U} I(\varsigma)$ . But  $U \subseteq A_\Delta[R, S]$  so that, from (iv 2),  $I(\omega) = \bigcap_{\varsigma \in U} I(\varsigma)$ :

### n.3 Complete classes of aggregates.

[20]

**Definition.** (1) A class  $\bar{P}$  of aggregates for which  $|U| \in \bar{P}$  for all  $U \in \bar{P}[R, S]$  is said to be complete in  $(R, S)$ . The notation  $\bar{P} \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$  indicates that the class  $\bar{P}$  is complete in  $(R, S)$ .

(2) The notation  $\bar{P} \in \mathcal{C}(R, S)$  indicates that  $\bar{P} \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$  and  $1_{R, S} \in \bar{P}[R, S]$ .

(i) With  $R \subseteq R'$  and  $S \subseteq S'$ , let  $\bar{P} \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}(R', S')$ .  $\bar{P} \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$   
 If  $|U| \in \bar{P}$  for all  $U \subseteq \bar{P}[R', S']$  then  $|U| \in \bar{P}$  for, in particular, all  $U \subseteq \bar{P}[R, S]$ .

(ii) Let  $\bar{P} \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}(R', S')$  and  $\bar{P}[R', S']$  contains for which  $M(s, a) = S$  for all  $a \in I(s) = R$ .  $\bar{P} \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$ .

Since  $s \in \bar{P}[R', S']$ ,  $R \subseteq R'$  and  $S \subseteq S'$  so that, from

(i)  $\bar{P} \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$ . Within  $\bar{P}[R, S]$ ,  $s$  is  $1_{R, S}$ . Since  $\bar{P}[R, S]$  contains this  $s$ ,  $\bar{P} \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$ .

(iii) ~~Let  $\bar{P}', \bar{P}'' \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$  and  $\bar{P}' \cap \bar{P}'' \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$~~   
 ~~$U \subseteq \bar{P}', \bar{P}''$  for all sets of aggregates in  $\bar{P} = \bar{P}' \cap \bar{P}''$ .~~

Since  $\bar{P}', \bar{P}'' \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$ ,  $|U| \in \bar{P}' \cap \bar{P}''$  for all such  $U$ :

~~$\bar{P} \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$ . Concerning the bracketed result, it is remarked that~~

(iii) Let  $\Delta: R \rightarrow IIS$ ,  
 If  $P \in \bar{C}(R, S) \langle C(R, S) \rangle$  then  $P_\Delta \in \bar{C}(R, S) \langle C(R, S) \rangle$

For all  $U \subseteq P_\Delta[R, S]$ ,  $U \subseteq P[R, S]$  and, since  
 $P \in \bar{C}(R, S)$ ,  $\omega = |U| \in P$ . Also  $\Delta(a) \subseteq M(\omega, a)$  for  
 all  $a \in a \in I(\omega)$ , from the proof of ( ):  $\omega \in P_\Delta$  and  
 $P_\Delta \in \bar{C}(R, S)$ . If  $1_{R, S} \in P[R, S]$  then, since  $\Delta(a) \subseteq S$   
 for all  $a \in R$ ,  $1_{R, S} \in P_\Delta[R, S]$  also :  $P_\Delta \in C(R, S)$ .

(iv) Let  $P \in \bar{C}(R, S) \langle C(R, S) \rangle$  in  $P \in WA(R'', S'') \cap \bar{C}(R', S')$ ,  
 where  $R' \subseteq R''$ ,  $S' \subseteq S''$ . Let  $S \subseteq S'$  and  $\epsilon$  be any  
 member of  $P[R', S']$ , with  $I(\epsilon) = R$ .

(1)  $P \in C(R, S)$

(2) Let  $\Delta: R \rightarrow IIS$ .  $P_\Delta \in C(R, S)$ .

From ( ),  $P \in \bar{C}(R, S)$ , since  $R \subseteq R'$ ,  $S \subseteq S'$ . Also, from  
 ( ),  $1_{R, S} \in P[R, S]$ :  $P \in C(R, S)$ . The result of (2) follows  
 from the bracketed result of (iii).

(v) Let  $P', P'' \in \bar{C}(R, S) \langle C(R, S) \rangle$ .  $P' \cap P'' \in \bar{C}(R, S) \langle C(R, S) \rangle$ .  
 $U \subseteq P', P''$  for all sets  $U$  of aggregates in  $P = P' \cap P''$ .  
 Since  $P', P'' \in \bar{C}(R, S)$ ,  $|U| \in P' \cap P''$  for all such  $U$ :  $P \in \bar{C}(R, S)$ .  
 Concerning the bracketed result, it is remarked that  
 $1_{R, S}$  belongs to both  $P'[R, S]$  and  $P''[R, S]$  and therefore to  $P[R, S]$ .

(51) Let  $P', P'' \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}(R, S)$ . Assume either that for all  $s$  such that  $s \leq \mu \in P'$ ,  $s \in P'$  also, or that the class  $P''$  possesses this property.  $P' \cup P'' \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}(R, S)$

(2) If, in addition, either  $P \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$  or  $P'' \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$ , then  $P \cup P'' \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$ .  
Set  $P \in P' \cup P''$ . Any set  $T \subseteq P[R, S]$  has a decomposition of the form  $T = U \vee V$  where  $U \in P[R, S]$  and  $V \in P''[R, S]$ . From (1),  $|T| = |U| \wedge |V| \leq |U|, |V|$ . If  $P'$  possesses the property described,  $|T| \in P \subseteq P$  since  $|T| \leq |U|$ ; if  $P''$  possesses the stated property,  $|T| \in P$  also. Thus  $P \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}(R, S)$ .

If  $P' \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$ , then  $1_{R, S} \in P'[R, S] \subseteq P[R, S]$ :  $P \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$ . If  $P'' \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$ ,  $P \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$  similarly.

(\*) Let  $P', P''$  be two classes of aggregate classes for which  $P'' \subseteq P'$ ,  $P' \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}(R, S)$  and  $P'' \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$ .  $P \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$ .

If  $P'' \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$ ,  $1_{R, S} \in P''[R, S]$ ; and if  $P'' \subseteq P'$ ,  $1_{R, S} \in P'[R, S]$  also:  $P \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$ .

### n.3.1 Examples of complete classes

[52]

(i)  $A \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$

(2) Let  $\Delta: R \rightarrow \mathbb{I}[S]$ .  $A_\Delta \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$

for all  $U \subseteq A[R, S]$ ,  $\omega = \{U\} \in A[R, S]$ :  $A \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}(R, S)$ .  $1_{R, S}$  is present in  $A[R, S]$ . But (2) is a corollary to ( ).

(iii) Let  $\bar{\Phi}: R^2 \times S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\Theta: R \times S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  be the class of aggregates  $\alpha$  for which

(\*)  $\bar{\Phi}\{a, I(\epsilon), b, M(\epsilon, a)\} \subseteq \Theta\{a, b, M(\epsilon, a)\}$

for each  $a \in I(\epsilon)$  and all  $b \in M(\epsilon, a)$ .

( $\alpha$ )  $\mathbb{P} \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}(R, S)$ .

( $\beta$ ) If in addition  $\Theta(a, b, S) = \mathbb{T}$  for all  $a \in R$  and  $b \in S_2$ , then  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$ .

(2) Let  $\bar{\Phi}: R^2 \times S^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\Theta: R \times S \times (S)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\Upsilon: R \rightarrow S$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  be the class of aggregates  $\alpha$  for which

(\*)  $\bar{\Phi}\{a, I(\epsilon), b, M(\epsilon, a), M\{\epsilon, \Upsilon^{-1}(b)\}\} \subseteq \Theta\{a, b, M(\epsilon, a), M(\epsilon, \Upsilon^{-1}(b))\}$

for each  $a \in I(\epsilon)$  and all  $b \in \Upsilon\{I(\epsilon)\} \cap M(\epsilon, a)$ .

( $\alpha$ )  $\mathbb{P} \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}(R, S)$

( $\beta$ ) If, in addition  $\Theta(a, b, S, S) = \mathbb{T}$  for all  $a \in R$  and  $b \in S_2$ , then  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$ .

But (2) is slightly the more complicated of the two results and is therefore proved in detail. It is first remarked that

When  $\Upsilon: R \rightarrow S$  and  $b$  belongs to a subset of  $\Upsilon\{I(\zeta)\}$ ,  
 $a' = \Upsilon^{-1}(b)$  belongs to a subset of  $I(\zeta)$ , from ( ).  $M(\zeta, a')$   
 is defined for all  $a' \in I(\zeta)$ . The constituents of relationship  
 $(*)$  are well defined for  $a \in I(\zeta)$  and  $b \in \Upsilon\{I(\zeta)\} \cap M(\zeta, a)$ .  
 Select  $U \subseteq P[R, S]$ ; let  $\omega = |U|$  and select any  $a \in I(\omega)$ ,  
 let  $\Upsilon\{I(\omega)\} \cap M(a, a)$ . Select  $f \in \Phi[a, I(\omega), b, M(\omega, a), M(\omega, \Upsilon^{-1}(b))]$   
 $c \in I(\omega)$ ,  $d \in M(\omega, a)$  and  $e \in M(\omega, \Upsilon^{-1}(b))$  exist such that  
 $f \in \Phi(a, b, c, d, e)$ . Since  $a \in I(\omega)$ ,  $a \in I(\zeta)$  for all  
 $\zeta \in U$ . Since  $I(\omega) \subseteq I(\zeta)$  for all  $\zeta \in U$ ,  $\Upsilon\{I(\omega)\} \subseteq \Upsilon\{I(\zeta)\}$   
 for all  $\zeta \in U$ ;  $b \in \Upsilon\{I(\zeta)\}$  for all

$\epsilon \in \mathcal{I}\{\mathcal{I}(\epsilon)\} \cap M(\epsilon, a)$  for all  $\epsilon \in U$ . Since all  $\epsilon \in U$  are in  $P$ , corresponding to each  $\epsilon \in U$ ,  $c(\epsilon) \in M(\epsilon, a)$  and  $d(\epsilon) \in M$ .

$\epsilon \in U$ . Since  $b \in M(w, a)$ ,  $b \in M(\epsilon, a)$  for all  $\epsilon \in U$  also;  $b \in \mathcal{I}\{\mathcal{I}(\epsilon)\} \cap M(\epsilon, a)$  for all  $\epsilon \in U$ . Similarly  $c \in \mathcal{I}(\epsilon)$  and  $d \in M(\epsilon, a)$  for all  $\epsilon \in U$ . Since  $b \in \mathcal{I}\{I(w)\} \subseteq \mathcal{I}\{\mathcal{I}(\epsilon)\}$  and  $a' = \gamma^{-1}(b)$  is in  $I(w)$  and therefore in  $\mathcal{I}(\epsilon)$  for all  $\epsilon \in U$ .

$a' = \gamma^{-1}(b) \in \mathcal{I}(\epsilon)$  for all  $\epsilon \in U$ . Since  $e \in M(w, a')$ ,  $e \in M(\epsilon, a')$  for all  $\epsilon \in U$ . Since all  $\epsilon \in U$  are in  $P$ , corresponding to each  $\epsilon \in U$ ,  $c(\epsilon) \in M(\epsilon, a)$  and  $d(\epsilon) \in M(\epsilon, \gamma^{-1}(b))$  exist such that  $f \in \Theta\{a, b, c(\epsilon), d(\epsilon)\}$ .

Assuming  $\Theta$  not to be independent of its last two arguments; only one  $c' \in S$  and one  $d' \in S$  exist for which  $f \in \Theta(a, b, c', d')$  when  $f \in \Theta\{a, b, c(\epsilon), d(\epsilon)\}$  for one pair  $c(\epsilon), d(\epsilon) \in S : c(\epsilon) = c'$  and  $d(\epsilon) = d'$  for all  $\epsilon \in U$ . Since  $M(w, a)$  is nonvoid,  $M(w, a) = \bigcap_{\epsilon \in U} M(\epsilon, a)$   $\epsilon \in U$ , and  $c' = c(\epsilon) \in M(\epsilon, a)$ ,  $d' = d(\epsilon) \in M(\epsilon, \gamma^{-1}(b))$  for all  $\epsilon \in U$ . Since  $M(w, a)$  is nonvoid,  $M(w, a) = \bigcap_{\epsilon \in U} M(\epsilon, a)$  and  $c' \in M(w, a)$ . Similarly  $d' \in M(w, \gamma^{-1}(b))$ :  
 $f \in \Theta[a, b, M(w, a), M(w, \gamma^{-1}(b))]$ .  $w$  also belongs to  $P$ .

Ex.

The aggregates of  $\cup$  are now partitioned into four mutually disjoint subsets  $U_1, \dots, U_4$ , membership being decided by the numbers  $c(s), d(s)$ .  $U_4$  is the set of  $s \in \cup$  for which ( $\alpha$ )  $\Theta\{a, b, c(s), d(s)\}$  is independent of  $c \in S$  and ( $\beta$ ) also ( $\gamma$ )  $\Theta\{a, b, c(s), d'\}$  is independent of  $d' \in S$ .  $U_3$  is the set of  $s \in \cup$  for which ( $\alpha$ ) is true but ( $\beta$ ) false.  $U_2$  is determined by the converse assertion.  $U_1$  for the aggregates  $s$  of  $U_1$ , both ( $\alpha, \beta$ ) are false. Assume that the set  $U_1$  is nonvoid. Since  $\Theta : R \times S \times (S)^2$  it follows that, with  $s \in U_1$ , the two conditions  $f \in \Theta\{a, b, c(s), d(s)\}$ ,  $f \in \Theta\{a, b, c(u), d(u)\}$  imply that  $c(s) = c(u), d(s) = d(u)$ ; for all  $s \in U_1, c(s) = c_1$  and  $d(s) = d_1$ , where  $c_1$  and  $d_1$  are fixed members of  $S$ . For the aggregates  $s \in U_2, \Theta\{a, b, c(s), d(s)\} = \Theta\{a, b, c(s), d'\}$  is independent of  $d' \in S$ :  $d'$  may be replaced by  $d_1$ ; for the aggregates  $s$  of  $U_2, f \in \Theta\{a, b, c(s), d_1\}$ . Since  $\Theta : R \times S \times (S)^2$  and it is known that  $f \in \Theta\{a, b, c_1, d_1\}$ ,  $c(s)$  may have assume only one value in  $S$ , namely  $c_1$ ; for all  $s \in U_2$ . With  $a, b, c$  prescribed, whether the invariance of  $\Theta(a, b, c, d')$  with respect to  $d'$  is decided by  $a, b$  and  $c$ . The functions  $\Theta(a, b, c(s), d')$  with  $s \in U_2$  are invariant with respect to  $d'$ ; those with  $s \in U_1$  are not. But the values of  $c(s)$  in both

cases are all the same, <sup>being equal to</sup> namely  $C_1$ . It was assumed initially that  $U_1$  is nonvoid. If  $U_2$

$U_1$  and  $U_2$  cannot both be nonvoid. Similar reasoning establishes that of the sets  $U_1, \dots, U_4$  only one is nonvoid, so that either  $U = U_1$  or ... or  $U = U_4$ .

Assume that  $U_1$  is nonvoid, so that  $c(s) = c_1$ , <sup>and</sup>  $d(s) = d_1$  for all  $s \in U_1$  and in consequence  $c_1 = c(s) \in M(s, a)$ ,  $d_1 = d(s) \in M(s, \Gamma^{-1}(b))$  for all  $s \in U_1$ . Since  $M(w, a)$  is nonvoid,  $M(w, a) = \bigcap_{s \in U_1} M(s, a)$  and  $c_1 \in M(w, a)$ . Similarly  $d_1 \in M\{w, \Gamma^{-1}(b)\}$ :  $f \in \Theta\{\alpha, b, M(w, a), M\{w, \Gamma^{-1}(b)\}\}$  also belongs to  $P$ .

Assuming that  $U_2$  is nonvoid, it is shown again that  $c_1 \in M(w, a)$ , so that now  $f \in \Theta\{\alpha, b, c_1, d'\}$  where  $\Theta\{\alpha, b, c_1, d'\}$  is invariant with respect to  $d'$ :  $d'$  may be replaced by any member  $d_1$  of  $M\{w, \Gamma^{-1}(b)\}$ : Once again  $w$  also belongs to  $P$ . The cases in which  $U_3$  and  $U_4$  are nonvoid are dealt with similarly.

Under the assumption concerning  $\Theta$  made in part (2B),

$$\bar{\Phi}(a, R, b, S, S) \subseteq T = \Theta(a, b, S, S)$$

for all  $a \in R$  and  $b \in S$  in particular for each  $a \in R$  and all  $b \in \Gamma(R) \cap S$ .  $P[R, S]$  contains an aggregate  $s$  for which  $I(s) = R$  and  $M(s, a) = S$  for each  $a \in I(s)$ :  $1_{R, S} \in P[R, S]$  and  $P \in C(R, S)$ .

It follows as a simple corollary to the above result [57] that

(taking  $\bar{\Phi}(a, c, b, d) = c$ ,  $\bar{\Theta}(a, b, f) = f$  in (1)) the class of aggregates  $\sigma$  for which  $I(\epsilon) \subseteq M(\epsilon, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\epsilon)$  is complete in  $(R, R)$ . Again (taking  $\bar{\Phi}(a, c, b, d) = ad$ ,  $\bar{\Theta}(a, b, f) = f$  in (1) and  $R \subseteq S$  in (1)) the class of aggregates  $\sigma$  for which all  $M(\epsilon, a) \subseteq M(\epsilon, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\epsilon)$  is complete in  $\bar{S}(R, S)$ , as is also that in  $\bar{S}(R, R)$  for which for each  $a \in I(\epsilon)$  and all  $b \in I(\epsilon)$  for which  $b \in M(\epsilon, a)$ ,  $bM(\epsilon, b) \subseteq M(\epsilon, b)$ .

Unless auxiliary assumptions are introduced, the argument sets  $a, b, M(\epsilon, a)$  and  $a, b, M(\epsilon, a), M_{\epsilon}^{\bar{\Phi}}, \bar{\Gamma}^{-1}(b)\}$  of  $\bar{\Theta}$  in  $(*, **)$  of (ii) may not be extended to  $a, I(\epsilon), b, M(\epsilon, a)$  and  $a, I(\epsilon), b, M(\epsilon, a), M_{\epsilon}^{\bar{\Phi}}, \bar{\Gamma}^{-1}(b)\}$  respectively. Indeed, the results obtained by such extension are false. (A special case of such an extended result is that the class of aggregates  $\sigma$  in  $\bar{S}(R, R)$  for which  $M(\epsilon, a) \subseteq I(\epsilon)$  for all  $a \in I(\epsilon)$  is complete in  $(R, R)$ . Defining  $\epsilon$  by  $I(\epsilon) = \{a, b\}$ ,  $M(\epsilon, a) = \{b\}$ ,  $M(\epsilon, b) = \{a, b\}$  and  $\mu$  by  $I(\mu) = \{a, b\}$ ,  $M(\mu, a) = \{a\}$ ,  $M(\mu, b) = \{a, b\}$  and taking  $U = \{\epsilon, \mu\}$ ,  $w = |U|$  is the aggregate for which  $I(w) = b$ ,  $M(w, b) = \{a, b\}$ :

$M(\varsigma, \alpha) \subseteq I(\varsigma)$  for all  $\alpha \in I(\varsigma)$ ,  $M(\mu, \alpha) \subseteq I(\mu)$  for all  $\alpha \in I(\mu)$ ,  
but  $M(\omega, \alpha) \not\subseteq I(\omega)$  for all  $\alpha \in I(\omega)$ . [58]

(iii + proof, fol p.); end of proof.)

$\square \rightarrow I(\omega)$ : we  $P_{\Delta} \subseteq [R, S]$  and  $P_{\Delta} \in \bar{C}(R, S)$ . That, subject  
to the additional condition stated in (2β),  $P_{\Delta} \in C(R, S)$ ,  
is proved as in (ii). 5.1

From the result (2) above, it follows, for example,  
that,  $P$  being the class of aggregates  $\sigma$  for which  
 $M(\varsigma, \alpha) \subseteq I(\varsigma)$  for all  $\alpha \in I(\varsigma)$ ,  $P \in C(R, S)$ , and  
that for the ~~further~~ subclass  $P'$  of  $P$  for which  
for each  $\alpha \in I(\varsigma)$  and each  $b \in M(\varsigma, \alpha)$ ,  $M(\varsigma, b) \subseteq$   
 $M(\varsigma, \alpha)$ ,  $P' \in C(R, S)$  also.

(iv) Let  $\Theta, \Xi : R \rightarrow \bar{J}$  and  $\Delta : R \rightarrow \bar{I}S$ ,  $G_{\Delta}(\Theta, \Xi | R, S) \in C(R, S)$ .

Set  $G = G_{\Delta}(\Theta, \Xi | R, S)$ . Let  $U \subseteq G[R, S]$  and  $\omega = |U|$ .

From ( ),  $\varsigma \in G$  if and only if  $\Theta(\varsigma) \cap [\bar{J} \setminus \Xi\{\bar{I}(\varsigma)\}]$   
is nonvoid for all  $\varsigma \in R \setminus I(\varsigma)$ . Select  $x \in R \setminus I(\omega)$ .

Since  $x \notin I(\omega)$  and, from ( ),  $I(\omega) = \bigcap_{\varsigma \in U} I(\varsigma)$ , there is a

(2pp. m)

(ii) Let  $\Phi: R^2 \times S^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ , and  $\Theta: R \times R_+ \times S \times S_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ ,  
 and  $P$  be the class of aggregates ~~of  $A(R, S)$~~  for which [59]  
~~such that  $R \subseteq R_+$  and  $S \subseteq S_+$~~

$$\underline{\Phi}[a, I(s), b, M(s, a)] \subseteq \underline{\Theta}[a, I(s), b, M(s, a)]$$

for each  $a \in I(s)$  and all  $b \in M(s, a)$ . Then  $\underline{P} \in \mathcal{C}(R, S)$ .

(b) If in addition  $\Theta(a, R, b, S) = \mathcal{T}$  for all  $a \in R$  and  $b \in S$ ,

$$\underline{P}(R, S) \quad P \in \mathcal{C}^*(R, S)$$

(b) Let  $\Phi: R^2 \times S^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\Theta: R \times R_+ \times S \times (S_+)^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ , and  
 $\Upsilon: R_+ \rightarrow S_+$ ,  $P$  be the class of aggregates ~~of  $A(R, S)$~~  for which

for which

$$\underline{\Phi}[a, I(s), b, M(s, a), M\{\epsilon, \Upsilon^{-1}(b)\}] \subseteq \underline{\Theta}[a, I(s), b, M(s, a), M\{\epsilon, \Upsilon^{-1}(b)\}]$$

for each  $a \in I(s)$  and all  $b \in \Upsilon\{I(s)\} \cap M(s, a)$ . Then  $\underline{P}(R, S) \in \mathcal{C}(R, S)$ .

(c) If in addition  $\Theta(a, R, b, S, S) = \mathcal{T}$  for all  $a \in R$  and  $b \in S$ ,

$$P \in \mathcal{C}^*(R, S).$$

Part (b), slightly the more complicated of the two results, is dealt with.

As in the proof of (ii), it is shown that with  $\omega = I \cup \underline{I} \subseteq \underline{P}(R, S)$  and  $\omega = I \cup \underline{I}$ , and  $a \in I(\omega)$ ,  $b \in \Upsilon\{I(\omega)\} \cap M(s, a)$  any  $f \in \underline{\Phi}[a, I(\omega), b, M(\omega, a), M\{\omega, \Upsilon^{-1}(b)\}]$  satisfies the relationship  $f \in \underline{\Theta}[a, e', b, c', d']$  where, as before,  $c' \in M(\omega, a)$  and  $d' \in M\{\omega, \Upsilon^{-1}(b)\}$  and now  $e' \in I(s)$ . Since  $\omega \subseteq \underline{P}(R, S)$ ,  $\omega = I(\omega) = \underline{I} \cup \underline{I}'(\omega)$  and accordingly  $e' \in$

(cont'd)  
part p

~~proprietary~~  $\forall \mu \in U$  for which  $\nexists x \in I(\mu)$  and, since this  $\mu$  is in  $G$ ,  $\exists \omega \in U$

$\Theta(x) \cap [J \setminus \bigcup_{\omega \in U} \{I(\omega)\}]$  is nonvoid for the  $x$  in question.

But, from ( ),  $\bigcup_{\omega \in U} \{I(\omega)\} \subseteq \bigcap_{\omega \in U} \{J(\omega)\}$  so that

$$J \cap \bigcup_{\omega \in U} \{I(\omega)\} = \bigcup_{\omega \in U} [J \setminus \bigcup_{\omega' \in U} \{I(\omega')\}] \subseteq J \setminus \bigcup_{\omega \in U} \{I(\omega)\}$$
 and

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(x) \cap [J \setminus \bigcup_{\omega \in U} \{I(\omega)\}] &\subseteq \Theta(x) \cap \left[ \bigcup_{\omega \in U} [J \setminus \bigcup_{\omega' \in U} \{I(\omega')\}] \right] \\ &\subseteq \Theta(x) \cap [J \setminus \bigcup_{\omega \in U} \{I(\omega)\}]\end{aligned}$$

Accordingly  $\Theta(x) \cap [J \setminus \bigcup_{\omega \in U} \{I(\omega)\}]$  is nonvoid for all

$x \in R \setminus I(\omega)$ :  $w \in G$  and  $G \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$ .  
aggregate  $s$  in which  $I(s) = R$ ,  $m(s, a) = s$  for all  $a \in I(s)$   
~~The set  $R$  is associated by default with an~~

~~is~~ belongs by default to  $G$ : since  $R$  is then the largest  
system  $X \subseteq R$  satisfying the condition  $\Theta(X) \subseteq \bigcup_{\omega \in U} \{I(\omega)\}$   
is indeed in  $I(s) = R$ :  ~~$G \in \mathcal{C}(R, S)$~~ . From ( ),  $G \in \mathcal{C}(R, S)$   
~~Also, the aggregate  $s$  since  $\Delta(a) \subseteq S$  for all~~  
 ~~$a \in R$ :  $s \in G[R, S]$ :  $G \in \mathcal{C}(R, S)$ .~~ It is remarked parenthetically:  
~~that if  $R' \subseteq R$ ,  $S \subseteq S'$  then~~  
~~The free system closure  $(G(R, S; \Theta, \Xi) \subseteq G(R', S'; \Theta, \Xi))$~~

~~Definition~~ Let  $\Theta, \Sigma: R \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . An aggregate  $\Theta \in WA(R, S)$   
for which  $I(s) \subseteq R$  and  $\Theta(a) \cap \bigcup_{\omega \in U} \{I(\omega)\}$  is, for all  $a \in R$ ,  $\Theta(a) \cap \bigcup_{\omega \in U} \{I(\omega)\}$   
is nonvoid only when  $a \in I(s)$  is said to  $\Theta$ -free with  
respect to  $\Sigma$  in  $R$ .  $R^{\Theta, \Sigma}(R; \Theta, \Sigma)$  is the class of  
such aggregates in  $WA(R, S)$ .  
(3) When  $\Theta(a) = a^2$ ,  $\Theta \Sigma(a) = a$  and  $a \in A[R]$ , so that

for all  $a \in R$ ,  $a^2 \in I(\epsilon)$  only when  $a \in J(\epsilon)$ ,  $I(\epsilon)$  is said to be square free in  $R$ .

( ) Let  $\Theta, \Xi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  ( $\epsilon \in R, \Theta \subseteq \Xi$ ) if and only if

$\Theta(x) \subseteq J \setminus \Xi \{ I(\epsilon) \}$  for all  $x \in R \setminus I(\epsilon)$

Assume that for all  $x \in R$ ,  $\Theta(x) \cap \Xi \{ I(\epsilon) \}$  is nonvoid only when  $x \in I(\epsilon)$ . For all  $x \in R \setminus I(\epsilon)$ ,  $\Theta(x) \cap \Xi \{ I(\epsilon) \}$  is void, i.e.  $\Theta(x) \subseteq J \setminus \Xi \{ I(\epsilon) \}$ . Assuming that

$\Theta(x) \subseteq J \setminus \Xi \{ I(\epsilon) \}$  for all  $x \in R \setminus I(\epsilon)$ ,  $\Theta(x) \cap \Xi \{ I(\epsilon) \}$  is void for all such  $x$ :  $\Theta(x) \cap \Xi \{ I(\epsilon) \}$  is nonvoid

only when  $x \in I(\epsilon)$ .  $\boxed{R_\Delta(\Theta, \Xi | R, S) \in C(R, S)}$

(v) Let  $\Theta, \Xi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  ( $\epsilon \in R, \Theta \subseteq \Xi$ )  $\boxed{R_\Delta(\Theta, \Xi | R, S) \in C(R, S)}$ .

Set  $R = R_\Delta(\Theta, \Xi | R, S)$ . Let  $U \subseteq R[R, S]$  and  $\omega = |U|$ .

From ( ),  $\epsilon \in R$  if and only if  $\Theta(\epsilon) \subseteq J \setminus \Xi \{ I(\epsilon) \}$  for all  $R \setminus I(\epsilon)$ . Select  $x \in R \setminus I(\omega)$ . Since  $x \notin I(\omega)$  and, from ( ),  $I(\omega) = \bigcap_{\epsilon \in U} I(\epsilon)$ , there is a  $\mu \in U$  for which  $x \in R \setminus I(\mu)$  and, since this  $\mu$  is in  $R$ ,

$$\Theta(\epsilon) \subseteq J \setminus \Xi \{ I(\mu) \} \subseteq \bigcup_{\epsilon \in U} \{ J \setminus \Xi \{ \epsilon \} \} = J \setminus \bigcap_{\epsilon \in U} \Xi \{ I(\epsilon) \}.$$

But, from ( ),  $\Xi \{ I(\omega) \} \subseteq \bigcap_{\epsilon \in U} \Xi \{ I(\epsilon) \}$  so that  $\Theta(\omega) \subseteq J \setminus \Xi \{ I(\omega) \}$ :  $\omega \in G$  and  $G \in \overline{C}(R, S)$ .

Since  $\Theta(a) \cap \Xi \{ R \}$  is, for all  $a \in R$ , nonvoid only when  $a \in R$ ,  $R[R, S]$  contains  $s$  for which  $I(s) = R$ . From ( ),  $R \in C(R, S)$

(vi) Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$ ,  $\Xi: R \rightarrow K$  and  $\Delta: R \rightarrow I(S)$ .

(1)  $\mathbb{F}_{\Delta}(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R, S; J) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$

(2) If, in addition,  $\Theta: R \rightarrow I(J)$ , then  $\mathbb{F}_{\Delta}(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R, S; J) \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ .

(proof full p.)

(vii) Let  $\Theta: R \times \dots \times R \times R \times R \times \dots \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Xi: R \rightarrow J$  and  $\Delta: R \rightarrow I(S)$ .

(1)  $\text{WLL}_{\Delta}(\Theta, \Xi | R, S) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$ .

(2) If, in addition,  $\Xi: R \rightarrow I(J)$ , then  $\text{WLL}_{\Delta}(\Theta, \Xi | R, S) \in \mathcal{C}(R, S)$ .

Set  $\mathbb{E} = \text{WLL}_{\Delta}(\Theta, \Xi | R, S)$ . Let  $U \subseteq \text{WLL}[R, S]$  and  $\omega = |U|$ .

Select  $a, \dots, t, v, \dots \in I(\omega)$ . If  $\Theta(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots)$  is not independent of  $u$  in  $R$ , it is first remarked that,

from ( ),  $I(\omega) = \bigcap_{s \in U} I(s)$ , so that  $a, \dots, t, v, \dots \in I(s)$  for

all  $s \in U$ . Select  $x \in \Xi\{I(\omega)\}$ . From ( ),  $\Xi\{I(\omega)\} \subseteq$

$\bigcap_{s \in U} \Xi\{I(s)\}$ , so that  $x \in \Xi\{I(s)\}$  for all  $s \in U$ . Since

$a, \dots, t, v, \dots \in I(s)$ ,  $x \in \Xi\{I(s)\}$  and  $s \in U$  for all  $s \in U$ ,

$u(a, \dots, t, v, \dots; s; x)$  exists such that  $x \in \Theta(a, t, \dots, u(s; x), v, \dots)$  for all  $s \in U$ . But, from ( ),  $\Theta: R \times \dots \times R \times R \times \dots \rightarrow J$

where  $R$ , in this notation corresponds to  $u$  in the list

$a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots$ . There is only one  $u \in R$  such that  $u(x) \in R$  for which  $x \in \Theta(a, \dots, t, u(x), v, \dots)$  when  $x \in \Theta(a, \dots, u(s; x), v, \dots)$

Set  $F = \overline{F}_{\Delta}(\Theta, \Omega; \Xi, \omega; R, S; J)$ . Let  $U \subseteq F(R, S)$  and  $\omega = \bigcup_{s \in U} I(s)$ .

Select  $a \in R$ ,  $b \in J$ . If  $\Theta(a, b) \cap \Xi \{I(\omega)\}$  is nonvoid,  $c \in K$  exists such that  $c \in \Theta(a, b)$  and  $c \in \Xi \{I(\omega)\}$ .

Since, from ( ),  $\Xi \{I(\omega)\} \subseteq \bigcap_{s \in U} \Xi \{I(s)\}, c \in \Xi \{I(s)\}$  for all  $s \in U$ . But  $s \in F$  for all  $s \in U$ ; hence  $a \in I(s)$ ,  $b \in \Theta \{I(s)\}$  for all  $s \in U$ . Thus  $a \in I(\omega)$  and, since  $I(\omega) = \bigcap_{s \in U} I(s)$ ,  $a \in I(\omega)$  and, since from ( )  
 $\bigcap_{s \in U} \Theta \{I(s)\} = \Theta \{I(\omega)\}$  when  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $b \in \Theta \{I(\omega)\}$ :  
 $\omega \in F$  and  $F \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$ .

If  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ , then  $\Theta \{R\} = J$ . For all  $a \in R$  and  $b \in J$ ,  $\Theta(a, b) \cap \Xi \{R\}$  is nonvoid only when  $a \in R$  and  $b \in \Theta(R) = J$ .  $F(R, S)$  contains  $s$  for which  $I(s) = R$  and  $M(s, a) = S$  for all  $a \in I(s)$ :  $F \in \mathcal{C}(R, S)$ .

### The saturated system closure

Definition (1) Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$  and  $\Xi: R \rightarrow K$ .

- (1) An aggregate  $\Theta \{R, S\}$  for which  $\forall a \in R \setminus I(s)$   
 (and  $b \in \Theta \{I(s)\}\}, \Omega(a, b) \subseteq K \setminus \Xi \{I(s)\}$ ) is said  
 to be  $\Omega$ -saturated with respect to  $\Theta, \Xi$  in  $R$ .  
 (2)  $S(R; \Omega, \Theta, \Xi)$  is the class of such aggregates.  
 (3) When  $\Omega(a, b) = ab$ ,  $\Theta(a) = \Xi(a) = a$  and  $s \in A(R)$ ,

for some  $\omega \in \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{I}(\epsilon, x) \subseteq R$ . Thus  $u(\epsilon, x) = u(x) \in I(\epsilon)$  [67]  
 for all  $\omega \in U: u(x) \in I(\omega)$ . For any selection  
 $a, \dots, t, v, \dots \in I(\omega)$  either  $\Theta(a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots)$  is independent  
 of  $u$  in  $R$  or, for any  $x \in \Xi\{I(\omega)\}$ , a unique  $u(x) =$   
 $u(x; a, \dots, t, v, \dots) \in R$  exists for which  $x \in \Theta(a, \dots, t, u(x), v, \dots)$   
 and this  $u(x)$  is in  $I(\omega)$ . The property just described  
 holds with regard to any argument selected from  
 the set  $a, \dots, t, u, v, \dots : w \in L$  and  $L \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}(R, S)$ .

The conditions characterising the mapping

(i):  $R \times \dots \times R \times R \times R \times \dots \rightarrow J$  are the properties  $(\epsilon, \rho)$   
 of Definition modified by replacing  $I(\epsilon)$  by  $R$   
 and  $\Xi\{I(\epsilon)\}$  by  $J$ . Accordingly, when  $\Xi(R) = J$ ,  
 $L$  contains an aggregate  $\epsilon$  for which  $I(\epsilon) = R$ .  
 From (i),  $L \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$ .

(viii) Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$ ,  $\Xi: R \rightarrow K$  and  $\Delta: R \rightarrow \mathbb{I}(S)$ .  
 $\underline{\mathfrak{S}}(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R, S; K) \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$ .

so that, for all  $a \in R \setminus I(s)$  and  $b \in I(s)$ ,  $\Theta(a, b) \in S$ .

$I(s)$  is said to be saturated in  $R$ .

(65)

and  $\Delta, R, > S$

$\leftarrow$  Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow \{I\}$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow \{I\}$  and  $\Xi: R \rightarrow \{K\}$ .

Then  $S_{\Delta}(\Theta, \Omega, \Xi; R, S) \in \mathcal{C}(R, S)$ .  $I$  is a function

$\Theta: R \rightarrow \{I\}$

Set  $S = S_{\Delta}(\Theta, \Omega, \Xi; R, S; K)$ . Let  $U \subseteq S(R, S)$  and  $w = |U|$ .

Select  $a \in R \setminus I(w)$  and  $b \in \Theta\{I(w)\}$ . Since  $I(w) = \bigcap_{s \in U} I(s)$ ,

there is and  $a \notin I(w)$ , there is a  $\mu \in U$  for which  $a \notin I(\mu)$ .

From ( ),  $\Theta\{I(w)\} \subseteq \bigcap_{s \in U} \Theta\{I(s)\}$  so that, in particular,

from ( ),  $\Theta\{I(\mu)\} \subseteq \bigcap_{s \in U} \Theta\{I(s)\}$  so that

$K \setminus \Xi\{I(\mu)\} \subseteq \bigcup_{s \in U} [K \setminus \Xi\{I(s)\}] = K \setminus \bigcap_{s \in U} \Xi\{I(s)\} \subseteq K \setminus \Xi\{I(w)\}$

and  $\Theta(a, b) \subseteq K \setminus \Xi\{I(w)\}$ :  $w \in S$  and  $S \in \mathcal{C}(R, S)$ .

When  $I(s) = R$ ,  $\Omega(a, b) \subseteq K \setminus \Xi\{I(s)\}$  whenever

$a \in R \setminus I(s)$  and  $b \in \Theta\{I(s)\}$ , since in this case there

one  $a \in R \setminus I(s)$  does not exist.  $\mathcal{S} S(R, S)$  contains

an aggregate  $s$  for which  $I(s) = R$  and  $M(s, a) = S$

for all  $a \in I(s)$ :  $S \in \mathcal{C}'(R, S)$ . From ( ),  $S \in \mathcal{C}(R, S)$

(ix) Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$ ,  $\Xi: R \rightarrow K$  and  $\Delta: R \rightarrow \mathbb{I}S$

[66]

(1)  $\text{CO}_{\Delta}(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R, S) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$

(2) If, in addition,  $\Xi: R \rightarrow \mathbb{I}K$ , then  $\text{CO}_{\Delta}(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R, S) \in \mathcal{C}(R, S)$

Set  $\text{CO} = \text{CO}_{\Delta}(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R, S)$ . Let  $U \subseteq \text{CO}[R, S]$  and  $\omega = |U|$ .

Select  $a \in I(\omega)$ , so that, since  $I(a) \subseteq \bigcap_{s \in U} I(s)$ ,  $a \in I(s)$  for all  $s \in U$ , and  $b \in \Theta\{I(a)\}$ . From ( ),  $\Theta\{I(a)\} \subseteq \bigcap_{s \in U} \Theta\{I(s)\}$ , so that  $b \in \Theta\{I(s)\}$  for all  $s \in U$ . Since  $s \in \text{CO}$  for all  $s \in U$ ,  $\Omega(a, b) \subseteq \Xi\{I(s)\}$  for all  $s \in U$ . But  $\Xi: R \rightarrow K$  so that, from ( ),  $\bigcap_{s \in U} \Xi\{I(s)\} = \Xi\{I(\omega)\}$ . Accordingly  $\Omega(a, b) \subseteq \Xi\{I(\omega)\}$  for all  $a \in I(\omega)$  and  $b \in \Theta\{I(\omega)\}$ :  $\omega \in \text{CO}$  and  $\text{CO} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$ .

When  $\Xi: R \rightarrow \mathbb{I}K$ ,  $\Xi(R) = K$  and  $\Omega(R, \Theta(R)) \subseteq \Xi(R)$ .  $\text{CO}[R, S]$  contains  $s$  for which  $I(s) = R$ . From ( ),  $\text{CO} \in \mathcal{C}(R, S)$ .

(x) Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$ ,  $\Xi: R \rightarrow K$  and  $\Delta: R \rightarrow \mathbb{I}S$

(1)  $\text{E}_{\Delta}(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R, S) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$

(2) If, in addition,  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$  and  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$  then

$\text{E}_{\Delta}(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R, S) \in \mathcal{C}(R, S)$

## The expansive system closure

[67]

~~Definition~~ Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow \mathcal{P}J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow \mathcal{P}K$  and  $\Xi: R \rightarrow \mathcal{P}K$ .

An aggregate  $\mathcal{C}(R, S)$  for which ~~that~~  $\bigcup \{I(\epsilon)\} \subseteq \Omega[I(\epsilon), \Theta\{I(\epsilon)\}]$  is said to be an expansive / ~~system~~ system with respect to  $\Theta, \Xi$  in  $R, E^{(R, S)}_{(R, J), \Theta, \Xi}$  is the class of such aggregates in  $WA[R, S]$ .

(3) When  $\Omega(a, b) = ab$ ,  $\Theta(a) = \Xi(a) = a$  and  $s \in A[R]$  so that  $I(s) \subseteq I(s)^2$ ,  $I(s)$  is said to be an expansive multiplicative system in  $R$

and  $\Delta: R \rightarrow S$ .

(4) Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow \mathcal{P}J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow \mathcal{P}K$  and  $\Xi: R \rightarrow \mathcal{P}K$ .

Then  $E_\Delta^{(R, S)}(\Omega, \Theta, \Xi) \in \mathcal{C}(R, S)$ . If in addition

$\Delta: R \times J \rightarrow \mathcal{P}K$  then  $E_\Delta^{(R, S)}(\Omega, \Theta, \Xi) \in \mathcal{C}(R, S)$ .

Set  $E = E_\Delta^{(R, S)}(\Omega, \Theta, \Xi)$ . Let  $U \subseteq E[R, S]$  and  $\omega = |U|$ .

Select  $d \in E\{I(\omega)\}$ . Since, from ( ),  $\Xi\{I(\omega)\} \subseteq \bigcap_{s \in U} \Xi\{I(s)\}$

$d \in \Xi\{I(s)\}$  for all  $s \in U$ . At  $s \in U$  one in  $E[R, S]$ :

$d \in \Omega\{a(s), c(s)\}$  where  $a(s) \in I(s)$  and  $c(s) \in \Theta\{I(s)\}$

for each  $s \in U$ . Since  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow \mathcal{P}K$  and only one pair  $a \in R, b \in J$  exist for which  $b \in \Omega(a, b)$  when  $b \in \Omega(a)$

~~exist such that  $a=a(s), b=c(s)$  for all  $s \in U$~~   $a(s), b(s) \in R$ ,  $a(s) \in J: a(s)=a$  and  $c(s)=c$  for all  $s \in U$ .

Since  $I(\omega) = \bigcap_{s \in U} I(s)$ ,  $a \in I(\omega)$ . Since  $c \in \Theta\{I(s)\}$  for

all  $s \in U$ ,  $d(s) \in I(s)$  exists for which  $c \in \Theta\{d(s)\}$

for each  $s \in U$ . But  $\Theta: R \rightarrow \mathcal{P}J$  so that again  $d=d(s)$

for all  $s \in U$  and  $d \in I(\omega)$ :  $c, d \in I(\omega)$  exist such that

for which  $b \in \Omega^{\theta}(c, \Theta(a))$ :  $\exists \{k\} \subseteq \Omega^{\theta}(k)$ ,  $\Theta(\{k\})$   
and  $w \in E$ ;  $E \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}(R, S)$ . [68]

If  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$  so that, in particular,

$\Omega(R)$

If  $\Theta(R) = J$  then corresponding to any  $c \in J$ ,  $d \in R$   
exists such that  $c \in \Theta(d)$ . If, furthermore  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$   
then corresponding to any  $b \in K$ ,  $a \in R$  and  $c \in J$  exist  
such that  $b \in \Omega(a, c)$ . If both conditions obtain,  
corresponding to any  $b \in K$ , ~~R contains a and b~~ for which  $b \in \Omega(a, \Theta(d))$ . Since In particular, this  
~~holds w condition holds when b is~~ with regard to  
any  $b \in E(R)$ . Thus if  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$  and  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow K$   
 $E(R, S)$  contains an aggregate  $s$  for which  $I(s) = R$ .

From (i), and  $M(s, a) = s$  for all  $a \in I(s)$ ;  $E \in \mathcal{C}^*(R, S)$ .

~~Relationships between classes~~ Relationships between classes. If  $s$  is  $\Theta'$ -free with respect to  $\Sigma'$  in  $R$ , it is also  $\Theta$ -closed with respect to  $\Sigma$  in  $R$ .  
(ii) Let  $\Theta, \Sigma, \Theta', \Sigma': R \rightarrow J$ , with  $\Theta(a) \subseteq \Theta'(a)$  and

$\Sigma'(a) \subseteq \Sigma(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ .  $R \underset{(R, S)}{\sim} (R \underset{\Theta}{\sim} M, \Sigma') \subseteq G(R, \Theta, \Sigma')$ .

From (i), ~~s is  $\Theta'$ -free with respect to  $\Sigma'$  in  $R$~~

~~if and only if  $\Theta(x) \subseteq J \setminus \Sigma' \{I(x)\}$~~

~~for all  $x \in R \setminus I(s)$ .~~ ~~if and only if  $G(R, \Theta, \Sigma) \subseteq G(R, \Theta', \Sigma')$~~

~~$\Theta(x) \cap [J \setminus \Sigma' \{I(x)\}]$  is nonvoid for all  $x \in R \setminus I(s)$ .~~

Let  $s \in R \underset{(R, S)}{\sim} (R \underset{\Theta}{\sim} M)$  Then  $\Theta(x) \subseteq \Theta(x') \subseteq J \setminus \Sigma' \{I(x)\}$   
 $\subseteq J \setminus \Sigma \{I(x)\}$  for all  $x \in R \setminus I(s)$ . In particular

(xi) Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Xi: R \rightarrow J$  and  $\Delta: R \rightarrow \|S\|$ . [69]

(1)  $SI_{\Delta}(\Theta, \Xi | R, S) \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}(R, S)$

(2) If, in addition,  ~~$\Xi: R \rightarrow J$~~   $\Xi: R \rightarrow J$ , then  $SI_{\Delta}(\Theta, \Xi | R, S) \in \mathbb{C}(S)$

Set  $S = SI_{\Delta}(\Theta, \Xi | R, S)$ . Let  $U \in SI[R, S]$  and  $\omega = |U|$ .

$I(\omega) = \bigcap_{s \in U} I(s)$  and, from ( ),  $\Xi \{ I(s) \} = \bigcap_{s \in U} \Xi \{ I(s) \}$  since  $\Xi: R \rightarrow J$ .

Select  $a \in I(\omega)$  so that  $a \in I(s)$  for all  $s \in U$  and, since

$U \in SI[R, S]$ ,  $\Theta(a) \subseteq \Xi \{ I(s) \}$  for all  $s \in U$ . Hence

$\Theta(a) \subseteq \bigcap_{s \in U} \Xi \{ I(s) \} = \Xi \{ I(\omega) \}$  for all  $a \in I(\omega)$ ;  $\omega \in SI[R, S]$  and

$S \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}(R, S)$

If it is further assumed that  $\Xi: R \rightarrow J$ , i.e. that  
 $\Xi(R) = J$  then, since  $\Theta: R \rightarrow J$ ,  $\Theta(R) \subseteq \Xi(R)$ .  $SI[R, S]$  contains  $\omega$  for which  $I(\omega) = R$ . From ( ),  $S \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$ .

## n.4 Class closures of aggregates.

[70]

Definition . With  $\exists \in A[R, S]$  prescribed, and  $\overline{P}$  a given class of aggregates, the aggregate  $\omega = \overline{P}(\exists)$   $\in \overline{P}[R, S]$  which

- ( $\alpha$ ) includes  $\exists$  and
  - ( $\beta$ ) is minimal in the sense that  $\omega \subset \sigma$  for all  $\sigma \in \overline{P}[R, S]$  for which  $\omega \neq \sigma$  and  $\exists \leq \sigma$
- is the  $\overline{P}$  closure of  $\exists$  in  $(R, S)$

(i)-(vi) full pp.

(i)  $P \in C^*(R, S)$  if and only if  $P(\xi)$  is defined for all  $\xi \in A[R, S]$ . (ii) If  $P \in C^*(R, S)$  then, for any  $\xi \in A[R, S]$ ,  $\bar{P}(\xi) = P_{\xi}[R, S]$  where  $P_{\xi}[R, S]$  is the complete set of aggregates  $\xi \in P[R, S]$  for which  $\xi \leq \xi$ .

It is first shown that  $P \in C^*(R, S)$  if  $\bar{P}(\xi)$  is defined for all  $\xi \in A[R, S]$ . Assuming the latter condition to hold,  $\bar{P}(\xi) \in P$  for all  $\xi \in A(R, S)$ , by definition. If  $\bar{P}(U) = \bar{P}(\xi) \in P$  for all  $\xi \in A(R, S)$  then  $|U| \in P$  for all such  $U$ , and  $\bar{P} \in \bar{C}(R, S)$ . Suppose that for some  $U \subseteq P[R, S]$ ,  $\xi \notin U$ , where  $|U| = \xi = |U|$  and  $\omega = \bar{P}(\xi)$ . Since, from clause (c) of Definition 6,  $\xi \leq \omega$ , the supposition entails that  $\xi < \omega$ . That  $\omega \leq \xi$  for all  $\varsigma \in U$  is impossible, for if this were so, it would then follow that  $\omega \leq \xi = |U|$ , and then  $\xi \neq \omega$ :  $U$  contains at least one  $\varsigma$  for which  $\omega \neq \varsigma$ , i.e.,  $\omega \neq \xi$  and  $\omega \neq \varsigma$ . Since  $U \subseteq P[R, S]$ ,  $\varsigma \in P[R, S]$  in particular. From clause (c) of Definition 6,  $\omega < \varsigma$  for all  $\varsigma \in P[R, S]$  for which  $\omega \neq \varsigma$  and  $\varsigma \leq \xi$ .

However  $\omega \neq \xi$ , so that  $\omega \notin \bar{P}(\xi)$  conflicting with the definition of  $\omega$ : there is no  $U \subseteq P[R, S]$  for which  $|U| \neq \bar{P}(|U|) \neq |U|$ . Since  $\bar{P}(\xi) \in P$  for all  $\xi \in A(R, S)$  by definition, and  $\bar{P}(|U|) = |U|$  for all  $U \subseteq P[R, S]$ ,  $|U| \in P$  for all such  $U$  and  $\bar{P} \in \bar{C}(R, S)$ .

$\bar{P}(\xi)$  is defined and belongs to  $P(R,S)$  for all  $\xi \in A(R,S)$ , in particular for  $\xi = 1_{R,S} \cdot A(R,S)$  [72].  
 contains one aggregate  $\omega$  for which  $\xi \leq \omega$ , namely,  
 $\omega = 1_{R,S}$ . It contains no  $\varsigma$  for which  $1_{R,S} < \varsigma$ : there  
 is no  $\varsigma \in A(R,S)$  for which  $\omega \neq \varsigma$  and  $\xi \leq \varsigma$ .  
 Since  $P(R,S) \subseteq A(R,S)$ ,  $\omega < \varsigma$  for all  $\varsigma \in P(R,S)$   
 for which  $\omega \neq \varsigma$  and  $\xi \leq \varsigma$ .  $\omega = 1_{R,S}$  is the only  
 aggregate in  $A(R,S)$  possessing properties (a, b)  
 of Definition with respect to  $\xi = 1_{R,S}$ .  $\bar{P}(\xi)$   
 is defined<sup>in particular</sup> for  $\xi = 1_{R,S}$  and belongs to  $\bar{P}: 1_{R,S} \in$   
 $P(R,S)$  and accordingly  $P \in \mathbb{C}^*(R,S)$ .

That, when  $P \in \mathbb{C}^*(R,S)$ ,  $\bar{P}(\xi)$  is defined for all  
 $\xi \in A(R,S)$  is a consequence of the result (2) which  
 gives an explicit definition of  $\bar{P}(\xi)$  valid under this  
 assumption. With regard to part (2) it is first remarked

The existence result of (1) is a consequence of (2). In  
 regard to the latter it is first remarked that, since  $P \subseteq C(R, S)$ ,  $1_{R,S} \in P$ :  $\exists \leq 1_{R,S}$  for all  $\exists \in A[R, S]$ : the set  $P[\underline{R}, \underline{S}]$  is nonvoid. Whatever properties may be ascribed to it,  $\omega = |P[\underline{R}, \underline{S}]|$  is at least well defined by the set  $P[\underline{R}, \underline{S}]$ .  $\omega$  is the aggregate over  $(R, S)$  for which  $I(\omega)$  is the complete subset of  $a \in I(\omega) = \bigcap_{\exists \in P[\underline{R}, \underline{S}]} I(\exists)$  for which  $M'(\omega, a) = \bigcap_{\exists \in P[\underline{R}, \underline{S}]} M(\exists, a)$  is nonvoid, and  $M(\omega, a) = M'(\omega, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\omega)$ . Since  $\exists \leq \exists'$  for all  $\exists \in P[\underline{R}, \underline{S}]$ , select  $a \in I(\exists)$  and  $b \in M(\exists, a)$ . Since  $\exists \leq \exists'$  for all  $\exists \in P[\underline{R}, \underline{S}]$ ,  $a \in I(\exists')$  and  $b \in M(\exists, a)$  for all  $\exists \in P[\underline{R}, \underline{S}]$ . Hence  $a \in I(\omega)$ , and, since  $b \in M'(\omega, a)$ ,  $M'(\omega, a)$  is nonvoid for this  $a$ :  $a \in I(\omega)$  and  $b \in M(\omega, a)$ . The choices of  $a$  and  $b$  are arbitrary:  $\exists \leq \omega$ . Furthermore, since  $P \subseteq C(R, S)$ ,  $\omega \in P[\underline{R}, \underline{S}]$ . Suppose that  $\omega$  does not possess the minimality property (b) of Definition:  $\exists \in P[\underline{R}, \underline{S}]$  for which  $\exists \leq \exists'$  but  $\omega \neq \exists'$  and  $\omega \neq \exists$  exists. For any such  $\exists$ ,  $M(\omega, a)$  contains  $b$  such that  $b \notin M(\exists, a)$  for some  $a \in I(\omega)$ . But  $\exists \in P$  includes  $\exists': \exists \in P[\underline{R}, \underline{S}]$ ,  $b \notin \bigcap_{\exists \in P[\underline{R}, \underline{S}]} M(\exists, a)$  and accordingly  $b \notin M(\omega, a)$ . Hence no  $\exists \in P[\underline{R}, \underline{S}]$  violating the conditions  $\omega \neq \exists$ ,  $\exists \leq \omega$ ,  $\omega \neq \exists$  exists.

(ii) Let  $P', P''$  be two classes of aggregates such that  
 i)  $P'' \subseteq P'$ ,  $P' \in \bar{C}(R, S)$  and  $P'' \in C^*(R, S)$ . Then  $\bar{P}' \in \bar{C}(R, S)$ ,  
 ii)  $\bar{P}''(\xi) \in \bar{P}'$  for all  $\xi \in A[R, S]$  and  $\bar{P}'(\xi) \leq \bar{P}''(\xi)$   
 for all  $\xi \in A[R, S]$ . From (i),  $P' \in C(R, S)$ . If  $P'' \subseteq P'$ ,  
 If  $P'' \in C^*(R, S)$ ,  $\forall_{R, S} \in P''[R, S]$  if  $P'' \subseteq P'$ ,  
 $\forall_{R, S} \in P'[R, S]$  also:  $P' \in C^*(R, S)$ .  $\bar{P}''(\xi) \in P''[R, S] \subseteq$   
 $P'[R, S]$  for all  $\xi \in A[R, S]$  (If  $P'' \subseteq P'$ ):  $\bar{P}''(\xi)$  is  
 in  $P'$ . If  $P'' \subseteq P'$ , all members of the set  $P_\xi''[R, S]$   
 of  $\varsigma \in P''[R, S]$  for which  $\xi \subseteq \varsigma$  also belong to  $P_\xi'[R, S]$ ,  
 the similarly defined set of aggregates in  $P'[R, S]$ .  
 $P_\xi''[R, S] \subseteq P_\xi'[R, S]$  and hence, from (i),  $|P_\xi''[R, S]| \leq$   
 $|P_\xi'[R, S]|$ .

(iii) Let  $P', P'' \in C^*(R, S)$  and  $P = P' \cap P''$ . Then  $P \in C(R, S)$   
 and  $\bar{P}'(\xi), \bar{P}''(\xi) \leq \bar{P}(\xi)$  for all  $\xi \in A[R, S]$ .  
 From (i),  $P \in C(R, S)$ .  
 Or  $U \subseteq P', P''$  for all sets  $U$  of aggregates in  $P$ .  
 Since  $P', P'' \in \bar{C}(R, S)$ ,  $\forall U \in P' \cap P'' = P$  for all such  $U$ :  
 $P \in \bar{C}(R, S)$ .  $\forall_{R, S}$  belonging to  $P$  and  $P''$ , and there  
 is in  $P'[R, S]$  and  $P''[R, S]$  and therefore to  $P[R, S]$ .  
 $P \in C(R, S)$ . Since  $P \subseteq P', P''$ ,  $\bar{P}'(\xi), \bar{P}''(\xi) \leq \bar{P}(\xi)$   
 for all  $\xi \in A[R, S]$ , from (i).

(iv) Let  $P \in \mathbb{C}^w(R, S)$  and  $P'' \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}^*(R, S)$ . Assume either  
that for all  $s$  such that  $s \leq \mu \in P$ ,  $s \in P'$  also, or that  
the class  $P''$  possesses this property. Let  $P = P' \cup P''$ .

Then  ~~$\forall s \in P \forall \xi \in A(R, S) \text{ such that } \bar{P}(\xi) \subseteq \bar{P}'(\xi) \text{ for all } \xi \in A(R, S)$~~   $\bar{P}(\xi) \subseteq \bar{P}'(\xi) \text{ for all } \xi \in A(R, S)$ .

From ( ),  $P \in \mathbb{C}(R, S)$ .

Any set  $T \subseteq P(R, S)$  has a decomposition of the

form  $T = U \cup V$  where  $U \subseteq P'(R, S)$  and  $V \subseteq P''(R, S)$ .

From ( ),  $|T| = |U| + |V| \leq |U|, |V|$ . If  $P'$  possesses  
the property described,  $|T| \in P \subseteq P'$  since  $|T| \leq |U|$ ; if  
 $P''$  possesses the stated property,  $|T| \in P$  also. Thus

$P \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}(R)$ . Since  $1_{R, S} \in P'(R, S)$ ,  $1_{R, S} \in P(R, S)$  also.

$\bar{P} \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}(R, S)$ . Since  $P' \subseteq P$ ,  $\bar{P}(\xi) \subseteq \bar{P}'(\xi) \text{ for all } \xi \in A(R, S)$  from ( ).

(v). Let  $P \in \mathbb{C}^w(R, S)$ . (1) If  $s, \mu \in A(R, S)$  with  $s \leq \mu$ ,  
then  $\bar{P}(s) \subseteq \bar{P}(\mu)$ . (2) Let  $\xi \in A(R, S)$ .  $\xi \in P$  if and only  
if  $\bar{P}(\xi) = \xi$ . (3)  $\bar{P}\{\bar{P}(\xi)\} = \bar{P}(\xi)$  for all  $\xi \in A(R, S)$ .

(4) Let  $s, \mu \in A(R, S)$ . If  $s \leq \mu \in \bar{P}(s)$ , then  $\bar{P}(\mu) = \bar{P}(s)$ .

Let  $U = \bar{P}_s(R, S)$  and  $V = \bar{P}_\mu(R, S)$  be the sets of all  
 $z \in P(R, S)$  for which  $s \leq z$  and  $\mu \leq z$  respectively. If  $s \leq \mu$ ,  
 $\bar{P}_\mu(R, S) \subseteq \bar{P}_s(R, S)$  and, from ( ),  $\bar{P}(s) = |U| \leq |V| = \bar{P}(\mu)$ .  
 $\bar{P}(\xi) \in P$ . Hence if  $\bar{P}(\xi) = \xi$ ,  $\xi \in P$  also.  $\xi \subseteq \bar{P}(\xi)$  by  
definition. Also  $\bar{P}(\xi) \subseteq s$  for any  $s \in P(R, S)$  for which

$\xi \leq \emptyset$ . Thus if  $\xi \in \overline{P}[R, S]$ ,  $\overline{P}(\xi) \leq \xi$ , since  $\xi \leq \xi$ . 76

Accordingly  $\overline{P}(\xi) = \xi$  if  $\xi \in \overline{P}$ :  $\xi \in \overline{P}$  if and only if  $\overline{P}(\xi) = \xi$ . Since  $\overline{P}(\xi) \in \overline{P}$ ,  $\overline{P}\{\overline{P}(\xi)\} = \overline{P}(\xi)$ , from the preceding result. When  $\epsilon \subseteq \mu$ ,  $\overline{P}(\epsilon) \leq \overline{P}(\mu)$ . When  $\mu \subseteq \overline{P}(\epsilon)$ ,  $\overline{P}(\mu) \leq \overline{P}\{\overline{P}(\epsilon)\} = \overline{P}(\epsilon)$ . The last result follows.

(v)  $A \in \mathbb{A}^*(R, S)$ . (According to the  $\epsilon$ - $\mu$  condition,  $\forall \xi \in A[R, S]$ ),

(vi)  $\bar{A}(\xi) = \xi$  for all  $\xi \in A[R, S]$ .

$\xi$  itself includes  $\xi$  and is minimal in the sense that for any  $\epsilon \in A(R, S)$  for which  $\epsilon \neq \xi$  and  $\xi \leq \epsilon$ ,  $I(\xi) \subseteq I(\epsilon)$ ,  $M(\xi, a) \subseteq M(\epsilon, a)$  for all  $a \in I(\xi)$  and  $M(\epsilon, a) \setminus M(\xi, a)$  is nonvoid for at least one  $a \in I(\epsilon)$ :  $\bar{A}(\xi) = \xi$  for all  $\xi \in A(R, S)$ .

(1) Let  $\Phi: R^2 \times S^2 \rightarrow; \bar{T}$  and  $\Theta: R \times S \times S \rightarrow; \bar{T}$  with  $\Theta(a, b, S) = \bar{T}$  for all  $a \in R, b \in S$ . Let  $\overline{P}$  be the class of aggregates  $\epsilon$  for which condition (\*) of (1) holds

$\underline{\Phi}\{a, I(\epsilon), b, M(\epsilon, a)\} \subseteq \underline{\Theta}\{a, b, M(\epsilon, a)\}$  AT&T  
for each  $a \in I(\epsilon)$  and all  $b \in M(\epsilon, a)$ . Then  $\overline{P}(R, S) \in \mathbb{A}^*(R, S)$ .

(2) Let  $\Phi: R^2 \times S^3 \rightarrow; \bar{T}$ ,  $\Upsilon: R \rightarrow; S$ ,  $\Theta$  and  $\Theta: R \times S \times S^2 \rightarrow; \bar{T}$  with  $\Theta(a, b, S, S) = \bar{T}$  for all  $a \in R, b \in S$ . Let  $\overline{P}$  be the class of aggregates  $\epsilon$  for which condition (\*\*) of (2) holds  
 $\underline{\Phi}\{a, I(\epsilon), b, M(\epsilon, a), M\{\epsilon, \Upsilon^{-1}(b)\}\} \subseteq \underline{\Theta}\{a, b, M(\epsilon, a), M\{\epsilon, \Upsilon^{-1}(b)\}\}$   
for each  $a \in I(\epsilon)$  and all  $b \in \Upsilon\{I(\epsilon)\} \cap M(\epsilon, a)$ . Then  $\overline{P}(R, S) \in \mathbb{A}^*(R, S)$ .

## 7.5 Further classes of aggregates

77

**Definition (1)** Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow; J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow; K$  and  $\Xi: R \rightarrow; K$ . An aggregate  $\subseteq A(R)$  for which for all  $a \in R \setminus I(\epsilon)$   $\Omega(a, b) \cap \Xi\{I(\epsilon)\}$  is nonvoid and  $b \in J \setminus \Theta\{I(\epsilon)\}$ ,  $\Omega(a, b) \subseteq K \setminus \Xi\{I(\epsilon)\}$  is said to be  $\Omega$ -independent with respect to  $\Theta, \Xi$  in  $(R, J)$ .

**(2)**  $D(\Omega, \Xi; \Theta, \Xi)$  is the class of such aggregates in  $DA(R, S)$ .

**(3)** When  $\Omega(a, b) = ab$ ,  $\Theta(a) = \Xi(a) = a$  and  $\epsilon \in A(\Omega)$  so that for all  $a \in R \setminus I(\epsilon)$  and  $b \in R \setminus I(\epsilon)$   $a, b \in R \setminus I(\epsilon)$ ,  $ab \notin I(\epsilon)$ ,  $I(\epsilon)$  is said to be independent in  $R$   $|_{R, S; J}$ .

An attempt to prove that the class  $D(\Omega, \Xi; \Theta, \Xi)$  is complete in  $(R, S)$  by use of the methods used above fails. Selecting  $a \in R \setminus I(\epsilon)$ , it follows, since  $I(\epsilon) = \bigcap_{\mu \in U} I(\mu)$  that  $\mu \in U$  exists for which  $a \in R \setminus I(\mu)$ .

Assuming that  $\Theta: R \rightarrow; J$ ,  $\Theta\{I(\mu)\} = \bigcap_{\mu' \in U} \Theta\{I(\mu')\}$ . Selecting  $b \in J \setminus \Theta\{I(\mu)\}$  it follows that  $\mu' \in U$  exists for which  $b \in J \setminus \Theta\{I(\mu')\}$ . But it is possible that  $\mu \neq \mu'$  and a conclusion of the form  $\Omega(a, b) \subseteq K \setminus \Xi\{I(\mu)\}$  may not be drawn.

**Definition (1)** Let  $\Theta: R \rightarrow; J$ ,  $\Omega: R \times J \rightarrow; K$  and  $\Xi: R \rightarrow; K$ . An aggregate  $\subseteq A(R)$  for which for all  $a \in R$  and  $b \in J$ ,  $\Omega(a, b) \cap \Xi\{I(\epsilon)\}$  is nonvoid only when either  $a \in I(\epsilon)$  or  $b \in \Theta\{I(\epsilon)\}$  is said to be  $\Omega$

semi factored with respect to  $\Theta, \Xi$  in  $R$ . ~~Semi factored in  $R$~~

(2)  $SF(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R, S)$

$\Theta, \Xi$ ) is the class of such aggregates in  $A[R, S]$

"(3) When  $\Theta(a, b) = ab$ ,  $\Theta(a) = \Xi_a(a) = a$  and  $a \in A[R]$

so that for all  $a, b \in R$ ,  $ab \in I(a)$  only when either  $a$  or  $b$  is in  $I(a)$ ,  $I(a)$  is said to be semi-factored in  $R$ .

The proof of completeness of the class  $SF(\Omega; \Theta, \Xi | R, S)$

breaks down as above

are respectively

(1) Denote by  $I_F(R)$ ,  $I_{SF}(R)$ ,  $I_{QF}(R) \subseteq I_c(R)$  the

sets of  $a \in A[R]$  for which  $I(a)$  is factored, semi factored, square free and closed in  $R$ .

(i) respectively. Then  $I_F(R) \subseteq I_{SF}(R) \subseteq I_{QF}(R) \subseteq I_c(R)$ .

If both  $a$  and  $b$  belong to  $I(a)$  whenever  $ab \in I(a)$  then that either  $a$  or  $b$  belongs to  $I(a)$  when both do

~~is obvious~~:  $I_F(R) \subseteq I_{SF}(R)$ . If  $a \in I_{SF}(R)$ , then

~~is obvious~~:  $I_{SF}(R) \subseteq I_{QF}(R)$ . If  $a \in I_{QF}(R)$ :

~~is obvious~~:  $I_{QF}(R) \subseteq I_c(R)$ .

That  $I_{QF}(R) \subseteq I_c(R)$  has been shown above.

Definition (1) aggregate  $a$  for which  $I(a) = R$  for which, when  $c \in I(a)$ ,

An half factored set  $I(a)$  is defined by the

defn:  $ab = cd$  only

condition that  $ab = cd$  when  $c \in I(a)$  ~~defn~~ only

is said to be half factored in  $R$

when either  $a$  or  $b$  is in  $I(a)$  ~~A completeness proof~~

(2)  $I_{HF}(R)$  is the class of such aggregates in  $A[R]$ .

breaks down as above.  $I_{SI}(R) \cap I_{SF}(R) \subseteq I_{HF}(R)$ . Suppose

that  $a \in I_{SI}(R) \cap I_{SF}(R)$  and that  $ab = cd$  where  $c \in I(a)$  <sup>above</sup>

Since  $a \in I_{SI}(R)$ ,  $cd = c' \in I(a)$ . Since  $c \in I_{SF}(R)$  and  $ab = c'$  ~~factored in  $R$~~  breaks down as

(A proof of completeness of the class of aggregates that are half  $\rightarrow$

**Definition** .  $\mathbb{I}_F(R)$ ,  $\mathbb{I}_{SF}(R)$ ,  $\mathbb{I}_{QF}(R)$  and  $\mathbb{I}_C(R)$  are [72]  
 respectively the classes of  $s \in A'[R]$  for which  $I(s)$  is  
 factored, semi-factored, square free and closed in  $R$

$$(i) \quad \mathbb{I}_F(R) \subseteq \mathbb{I}_{SF}(R) \subseteq \mathbb{I}_{QF}(R) \subseteq \mathbb{I}_C(R)$$

If both  $a$  and  $b$  belong to  $I(s)$  whenever  $ab \in I(s)$ ,  
 then either  $a$  or  $b$  belongs to  $I(s)$  when  $ab \in I(s)$ :

$\mathbb{I}_F(R) \subseteq \mathbb{I}_{SF}(R)$ . If  $s \in \mathbb{I}_{SF}(R)$ , then  $a^2 \in I(s)$  only  
 when  $a \in I(s)$ :  $\mathbb{I}_{SF}(R) \subseteq \mathbb{I}_{QF}(R)$ . That  $\mathbb{I}_{QF}(R) \subseteq \mathbb{I}_C(R)$   
 has been shown above.

**Definition (1)** An aggregate  $s$  for which  $I(s)$   
 for which, when  $ceI(s)$  and  $de \in R$ ,  $ab=cd$  only  
 when either  $a$  or  $b$  is in  $I(s)$  is said to be half-  
 factored in  $R$

(2)  $\mathbb{I}_{HF}(R)$  is the class of such aggregates in  $A'[R]$ .

~~(ii)  $\mathbb{I}_{SI}$~~  A proof of completeness of the class of  
 aggregates that are half factored in  $R$  breaks down  
 as above

$$(iii) \quad \mathbb{I}_{SFI}(R) \cap \mathbb{I}_{SF}(R) \subseteq \mathbb{I}_{HF}(R)$$

Suppose that  $s \in \mathbb{I}_{SI}(R) \cap \mathbb{I}_{SF}(R)$  and that  $ab=cd$   
 where  $ceI(s)$ . Since  $s \in \mathbb{I}_{SI}(R)$ ,  $cd=c'eI(s)$ . Since  $s \in \mathbb{I}_{SF}(R)$  and  
 $ab=c'$

Where  $c' \in J(s)$ , either  $a$  or  $b$  is in  $J(s)$ :  $s \in I_{HF}(R)$ .

Definition

$\checkmark I_{min}(R)$  is the class of aggregates  $\{s \in A(R)\}$  for which  $J(s) \subseteq R J(s)$ .  $I_{min}(R) \cap I_{HF}(R) \subseteq I_{SF}(R)$ . Suppose that  $s \in I_{min}(R) \cap I_{HF}(R)$  and that  $ab = c'$  where  $c' \in J(s)$ .

Since  $s \in I_{min}(R)$ ,  $c \in J(s)$  and  $d \in R$  exist such that

$c' = cd$ . Since  $s \in I_{HF}(R)$ , either  $a$  or  $b$  is in  $J(s)$ :  $s \in I_{SF}(R)$ .

$(P \in C(R, S) \text{ if and only if } P(\xi) \text{ exists for all } \xi \in A(R, S))$

also some properties of PNPs etc?  $\rightarrow$  preceding section  
on completeness)