

The principal and alternating sums of a function defined  
over a strip in the complex plane

The principal and alternating sum is a function defined over one strip in the complex plane.

## 1. Introduction and summary

remove sum of polynomials of

common with Natural def's "natural" variable  $b_n^{(m)}$

add use of DeMoivre sums

→ added comment on binomial approx., Heron's conjecture that  $b_n \leq \sqrt{D_n}$  for  $n > 1$

Natural sum = rep. of  $\bar{B}_n$  as almost no t  
gives non-triv,

## 2. Naturals and preliminaries

add  $S(r, v) = \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda(D)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \delta_{r,v}(\lambda) \in \Lambda_0(D)$  if  $(r, v) \in \Delta_{\text{fin}}^{\text{reg}}(D)$ ,

definition of shallow integral by integral log p. 13

or allows direct integral. Main numbers integral

### 2.1 Periodic or Bernoulli and Euler polynomials

some properties not already quoted in introduction

definite  $\tilde{B}_n^{(m)} = \int t^m \dots$

### 2.2 Series whose coefficients are moments or generalised moments

Consider series whose coefficients are may be represented as products  
of binomial coefficients multiplying a family of moments.

1. Since ( ) is a norm obtained by dropping term with  $\alpha_{n+1}$

Decompose  $\tilde{B}_n + \tilde{B}'$  immediately, // it differs only from the case where  
 $n=0$  in the term  $b_n^{(n)}(n)$   
(since  $\tilde{B}_n^{(n)}(0) = 0$  and  $b_n^{(n)}(0) \neq 0$ )  
(??)

clear up a) clause (i.b) ( $\Leftrightarrow$  f.s. if second variable is etc.)

b) or asymmetric

(necessary to refer to proof of 1st Euler-Maclaurin theorem)

consider also  $\frac{1}{(1-zt)^k}$  by  $\frac{1}{(1-zt)^k}$ . (all remain in  $\mathbb{R}$ )  
if  $|z| < 1$ ,  $-\delta \leq \operatorname{Re}(t) \leq \delta'$

## 2.3 A class of functions

Define  $M(M; x^-, x^+)$  no class

introduction of functions

add clause stating that  $M(M; x^-, x^+) \subset M(M)$   
 $a \in M \Leftrightarrow a \in M$  for all  $x^- < x < x^+$

Not supposed that  $\int f(z) dz$  converges. If this is the case, then  $f(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z)$  for the subseries of  $f(z)$  finite number of terms

3. The Euler-Maclaurin series and the principal sum

With  $x_-, x_+ \in (-\infty, \infty]$

Definition  $B(n; x_-, x_+)$  class of complex valued function defined on  $[x_-, x_+]$

for wh.  $\int_{x_-}^{x_+} y^{n-1} B_p(y) dy < \infty$  /  $\hat{B}(n; x_-, x_+)$  is that subcase; 2

where numbers  $p$  satisfy

then define  $\overline{f}_0(n; x_-, x_+)$

$\hat{B} =$

Since  $\overline{\overline{f}_0} = \overline{f}_0 \circ \overline{f}_0$

partial sum  $\overline{F} = \overline{f}_0 \circ \overline{f}_0$

Remark that  $\overline{f}_0 = i b_n^{(n)}$  is a special case, as obtained by taking powers like  $\frac{1}{2} \sin(\alpha - \beta) + i \cos(\alpha - \beta)$  do small interval containing  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

In the first theorem it is established that the coefficients ( $c_n$ ) are, under certain conditions, at which both  $\sum c_n n^{\alpha}$  or remainder terms of the series are generalized moments, functions representing generating functions ( $\psi$ ) are bounded and are asymptotically represented by those same one date. (the detailed statement)

(refer to Boole section for clear exposition)

closure condition in which the  $\psi$  are symmetric

Definition. The functions  $\bar{F}(n, m, \rho, \psi, \zeta, z | a)$  are henceforth to be as defined by formulae.

It is now established that the series is one Boole summable by their generating functions.

(again refer to Boole section)

Describe Hardy's result.

It is now established that, subject to certain conditions upon  $\psi$ , if the series converges, yet their oscillatory and monotonic character is classed (again refer to Boole section)

Describe Hardy's result.

Subject to certain conditions upon  $\psi$ , it is convergent and converges (again refer to Boole section).

It can occur that the imaginary axis in the  $w$ -plane is a natural barrier of the function  $F$ ; alternatively it is possible that these functions can be continued analytically along the axis, or that  $F$  repeats these function asymptotically in concave sections

Although the method of Abel summation is a powerful analytical device, it only provides ~~an analytical~~<sup>defines by means of an integral</sup> expression for a sum of the series being treated; the method is certainly difficult to implement in computational practice; the method ~~itself~~<sup>it</sup> is non-approximative in the sense that it does not contain the recursive construction of a sequence of functions converging to the ~~the~~ sum function, one member of which may be accepted as a suitable approximation to the limit. The use of approximating fractions is an approximative method possessing the above property while Borel's method does not.

### Def of approximating fraction

It is possible to show that, <sup>in</sup> the special case "method no 1", the use of approximating fractions and Borel's method produce the same results. The...

It is greatly to be regretted that no results similar to those of the above theorem cannot at present be obtained for general values of  $n$ . The convergence result for approximating fractions upon which the above results are based itself derives from <sup>Shanks, Hadley & R. Neville</sup> terms of <sup>concern</sup> continued fractions associated with ~~expansions~~ series whose coefficients are simple moments of the form  $\frac{1}{(k+1)!}$ ,  $k=1, 2, \dots$  Until <sup>a</sup> ~~more~~ <sup>general</sup> convergence theory concerning series whose coefficients are moment has been established

for a deformed extension. 5) the above theorem must remain in abeyance.

The Borel sum of the series  $\zeta$  is obtained from, in particular, the values of the derivative 5) if knowledge of a decomposition which it may have is not required. Nevertheless it has such a decomposition, and the ~~for~~ same is true of the functions  $\bar{F}^\pm$ .

Subject to auxiliary conditions upon  $\psi$ , the functions  $F^\pm$  may be continued analytically across the imaginary axis in the  $w$ -plane, and are  $\not$  analytic over concave sectors (c.f. claim (1) of Theorem). The required conditions are satisfied by only functions of a well-defined subclass of  $M(M; w^-, z^+)$ , and for the former functions  $\bar{F}^\pm$  derived from the functions of 5) their subclasse, completion results may be given.

The principal sum

The functions  $\bar{F}^\pm$  (Kotzig, Štefan, Štrba) generating ~~Kotzig's~~ ~~that~~ series  $\zeta$  whose coefficients are derived from periodic Bernoulli polynomials are, analytic over a strip in the  $z$ -plane ~~and~~ ~~out~~ over one or half plane in that of the other ( $z$  and  $w$  respectively above). In this section functions  $\bar{F}^\pm$  which generate series  $\zeta$  whose coefficients are derived from direct Bernoulli polynomials are considered.

the second functions of the second type represent a solution to the difference equation considered in the introduction; they may, by means of a decomposition, be expressed as a linear combination of functions of the first type; they are, in general, analytic over strips in the domains of definition with arguments.

Definition (compare Baker's series chapter)

The domains over which the above functions  $F^{\pm}$  are analytic are now established, completion theorems for these functions are obtained, and decompositions are given.

Th. // comment upon domain  $\Delta^{\pm}$ ; envelope as  $x$  ranges over  $\mathcal{B}(x_0, x^*)$

It is now shown that the series  $\mathfrak{F}$  represents the functions  $F^{\pm}$  for certain sectors, that under certain conditions the series converges, that this series is then summable to the functions  $\mathfrak{F}^{\pm}$  and that under certain conditions the series it converges (change from  $A, B, \dots$  in a. stat.).

Th.

Having determined the domains over which the functions  $F^{\pm}$  are analytic, and having established their connections with the series  $\mathfrak{F}$ , it is now shown that they satisfy a system of difference equations which

equivalent to the single difference equation ( ) and, that these  
functions can be constructed recursively by a summation process

~~To //~~ Hold clause stating that although  $F^+$  - only defined directly  
by formula ( ) over strip  $S(-)$ , can nevertheless be  
continued analytically throughout  $S(-)$  by use of difference equations.

~~Having shown that the functions  $F^+$  are solutions of the difference  
equation ( ) (with  $\phi \circ$ ) that equation having the form displayed  
upon the right hand side of formula ( ))~~

As has been mentioned in the introduction, the function  $F'$   
of formula ( ) is, when  $\rho(n) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sign}(n)$  on  $[a, b]$  as an arbitrarily  
interval containing the origin, Norlund's principal sum of the function  
 $\psi$ . For the case in which  $n=1$ , Norlund and  $\psi$  is a <sup>nth order</sup> meromorphic  
function at whose only singularities are poles at the points  $\beta_j$ , with  
corresponding residues  $\gamma_j$ , ( $j=1, \dots, N$ ). Norlund gives the complete  
result

$$F(z) =$$

where

$$\gamma_1(\dots)$$

( $F(z-\omega)$  in his notation is our  $F^-$ ) etc. It will be noticed that  
if the function  $B$  of formula ( ) has poles has odd  $\omega$  the

i) magnitude  $b_j$  at the point  $x = \rho_j$  is in the range  $-\infty < b_j < x^-$   
 $(1 \leq j \leq N)$  form and is constant over  $(x^-, \infty)$  (so that if  
is simply the single function  $\psi^+$  in terms of the decomposition  $f(z)$ )  
the results of formulae (..) are equivalent. On the one hand, the  
completion result of clause (i) of the above theorem deals with functions  
that are possibly not meromorphic, and also concerns completion results  
for sums of general order; on the other hand Nordlund's special  
result concerns functions whose singularities are not confined to  
the real axis.

(Discussion somewhat by behavior of  $\tilde{f}$  as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ ; also variation of  $\tilde{f}$   
as  $\infty$  transforms its analyticity)

Borel's series and the alternating sum

Replace stated theorems by general account

The functions  $\psi$  dealt with may, on the one hand, be entire (for example  $\psi(z) = \frac{1}{2}(e^z + e^{-z})$  is a member of  $M(0; -\infty, \infty)$ ), or the other, they may have the lines  $\operatorname{Re}(z) = x^-, x^+$  as natural barriers; for example, the function

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(z) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(z-x^-)\nu} + \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-(z-x^+)\nu} \right] \sin(\lambda\nu) d\nu d\Lambda(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\pi}{\lambda^2 + (z-x^-)^2} - \frac{\pi}{\lambda^2 + (z-x^+)^2} \right] d\Lambda(\lambda)\end{aligned}$$

where  $\Lambda$  is bounded and nondecreasing and nonanalytic over  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , is such a function. It may also occur that a function  $\psi$  is a member of two classes  $M(M; x^-, x^+)$  with disjoint intervals  $(x^-, x^+)$ : for example  $\psi(z) = \frac{1}{2}$  is a member of both  $M(0; 0, \infty)$  and  $M(0; -\infty, 0)$ .

It is, furthermore, not assumed that the integrals  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(z+iv) dv < \infty$   
 $\in M(S(x^-, x^+))$

although although functions  $\psi$  for which this is true and for which uniformly for  $x$  in every closed subinterval of  $x^- < x < x^+$ ,  
 $\lim_{v \rightarrow \pm\infty} \psi(x+iv) = 0$  as  $|v|$  tends to infinity do have a representation  
 of the form ( ) - Hamburger Math Zeit 10 (1921) 240-254 p. 916??; and are accordingly members of  $M(M; x^-, x^+)$ .

The problem of determining a function  $F^{(n)}$  which satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_{\omega}^n F^{(n)}(x) = \phi(x)$$

( $\Delta_{\omega}^n$  is the operator in a positive integer; and  $\Delta$  is the generalized difference operator:  $\Delta_{\omega} F(x) = \frac{F(x+n)-F(x)}{n}$ ) is, when  $\phi$  is a polynomial, easily solved with the help of the Bernoulli polynomials  $B_{2j}^{(n)}$ . The latter polynomials are defined by means of the expansion

$$\frac{e^{wt}}{(e^t - 1)^n} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2r}^{(n)}(w)}{r!} t^r$$

(summation is with respect to  $r$  which runs from 0 to  $\infty$ ), and satisfy the relation

$$D B_{2r}^{(n)}(w) = r B_{2r-1}^{(n)}(w) \quad \Delta B_{2r}^{(n)}(w) = 2r B_{2r-1}^{(n-1)}(w)$$

$\Delta B_{2r}^{(n)}$  with respect to the indicated argument  $t$

$\Rightarrow$  is the differential operator with respect to the indicated argument  $t$ . It is easily verified by differentiation with respect to  $t$  that the first of relationships (1) holds. Then the value of the sum

$$F(x+hw) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2r}^{(n)}(w+h)}{r!} \phi(x+hw)$$

$(D^{-n}\phi(x))$  for  $n=1, 2, \dots$  is the repeated integral  $I^{(t)}(x)$  which may be expressed as

$$I^{(t)}(x) = \int_a^x \frac{(x-\tau)^{t-1}}{(t-1)!} \phi(\tau) d\tau$$

$\int^{(r)}$  is a ~~repeated~~ fixed  
a ~~very~~ some ~~real~~ complex number)  
for  $r=1, 2, \dots$ ) it is easily verified, by differentiation with respect to  $h$ ,  
that  $\hat{\psi}$  is independent of  $h$ , so that if

$$F^{(r)}(z|w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n^{(r)}(0)}{n!} z^{n-r} \phi(z) w^n$$

then

$$F^{(r)}(z+hw|w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n^{(r)}(h)}{n!} z^{n-r} \phi(z) w^n$$

Using the second of relationships ( ), it follows that

$$\Delta_w F^{(r)}(z|w) = F^{(r+1)}(z|w)$$

for  $n = n, n-1, \dots, 1$ . Since  $F^{(n)}(z|w) = \phi(z)$ , it follows that the function  
 $F^{(n)}$  (the term of the Euler-Maclaurin series)  
defined by formula ( ) is a solution of equation ( ).

The problem of obtaining a solution of equation ( ) when  $\phi$  is not a polynomial is a classic problem of the calculus of finite differences.  
(for a systematic treatment I give here a systematic review of the various  
methods relating to the case in which  $n=1$ ). Of all the proposed methods  
of solution, the most ~~for calculating~~ is that due to Norlund [1]. It  
is evident that, subject to convergence of both integral and sum,  
the function ~~exists~~ satisfies:

$$F^{(1)}(z|w) = \int_a^z \phi(t) dt - w \sum_i \phi(x+iw)$$

satisfies equation ( ) when  $n=1$ . When  $\phi(x) = 1/x$ , for example, this  
direct definition fails; nevertheless, if the upper limits of integration

and summation are replaced by  $\arg(w)r$  and  $r$  respectively,  $r$  being a positive integer, the limit of the resulting difference as  $r$  tends to infinity still defines a function which satisfies equation ( ) when  $n=1$ . The function  $\phi$  is a more general function; it can occur that the artifice just described fails in its intention, when  $\phi$  is a Norlund therefore introduces a converging factor into the integral and sum of formula ( ) and defines the principal sum (Hauptsumme) of  $\phi$  to be

$$F^{(1)}(x|w) = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\arg(w)+\infty} e^{-\gamma t} \bar{B}_{2n}(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \phi(t) dt - w \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-2k\pi i w} \frac{\bar{B}_{2n}(k)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\phi(x+2k\pi i)}$$

$\gamma, \eta > 0$  being suitably chosen. In many cases which he considers, the principal sum  $\phi$  may be exhibited by the use of an extension of Poisson's remainder formula for the Euler-Maclaurin series. The  $n$ th order periodic Bernoulli functions  $\bar{B}_{2n}^{(n)}$  (as Norlund's notation is adhered to; the bar does not denote a complex conjugate) are defined by Norlund for real arguments by setting  $\bar{B}_{2n}^{(n)}(w) = \bar{B}_{2n}(w)$  for  $w < n$  and stipulating that  $\Delta^{n-1} \bar{B}_{2n}^{(n)}(w) = 0$  ( $j=0, 1, \dots$ ) for all real  $w$ .  $\bar{B}_{2n}^{(n)}(w) = \bar{B}_{2n}^{(n)}$  (when  $n=1$ , this definition is that of simple periodicity:  $\bar{B}_{2n}^{(1)}(w+1) = \bar{B}_{2n}^{(1)}(w)$ ; for higher values of  $n$  the definition is that of periodicity in a more general sense). Subject to suitable conditions, the function  $\phi$  of formula ( ) may be expressed in the form

$$F^{(n)}(x|w) = \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \bar{B}_{2n}^{(j)}(0) \frac{2^{-n}}{\phi(x)w} + \int_0^\infty \frac{\bar{B}_{2n}^{(m)}(-t)}{m!} \Delta^m \phi(x+it) dt$$

where  $m \neq 0$  is a positive integer.

It is evident that, subject for appropriate  $\phi$ , equation ( ) has a second solution.

$$F^{(1)}(z - \omega t) = \int\limits_{-1}^{\arg(-\omega)\infty} \phi(t) dt + \omega \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(z - \omega - \omega n)$$

This definition of a solution ~~is~~ may also be extended by the introduction of a suitable convergence factor. For certain  $\phi$ , Nörlund characterises, by means of a completion theorem (Ergänzungssatz), the function  $\Pi^{(1)}(z|\omega) = F^{(1)}(z|\omega) - F^{(1)}(z-\omega|\omega)$ .

The solution of the equation

$$\nabla_w^n G^{(1)}(z|\omega) = \phi(z)$$

( $\nabla_w^n$  is the averaging operation:  $\nabla_w^n G(z) = \frac{1}{2} (G(z+iw) + G(z))$ ) may be

attacked, using Euler polynomials, and periodic Euler functions; in a manner by methods similar to those described above

relating to equation ( ). When  $\phi$  is a polynomial, the solution to equation ( ) may be exhibited as the sum of a terminating Boole's series. Nörlund also introduces a convergence factor into the sum

$$G^{(1)}(z+iw) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_1^{\infty} (-1)^n \phi(z+nw)$$

and ~~each~~ expression, for certain  $\phi$ , exhibits ~~#~~ a solution to equation ( ) in the form of a ~~latter~~ in a form <sup>in which</sup> involving an integral involving a periodic Lüroth function occurs; he also gives a

completeness theorem for two functions  $G^{(1)}(z|w)$  at  $G^{(1)}(z-w|w)$ .

Subject to convergence, the sum

$$S(z) = \sum_i \phi(z+i)$$

satisfies the difference equation  $S(z+1) - S(z) = -\phi(z)$ . Thus, referring to formula ( ), the expression

$$-F^{(1)}(0|1) = -\int_0^\infty \phi(t)dt - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{m+1}^{(1)}}{(m+1)!} \int_0^\infty \frac{B_m^{(1)}(-t)}{m!} \Delta \phi(t)dt$$

may be interpreted as being a sum of the series  $\sum_i \phi(i)$ .

Such expressions occur in the work of Ramanujan's writings on divergent series. According to Hardy, who placed much work on Ramanujan's theory upon a sound basis, the series  $\sum_i \phi(i)$  is said to summable R(a) to S if the, for some positive integer m, the delayed Euler-Maclaurin series  $\sum_i B_{m+1}^{(1)} \phi(i)$

$\sum_i \frac{B_{m+1+m}^{(1)}}{(m+2)!} \phi(i)$  is  $(B, 1)$  summable to  $S'$ ,

then the series  $\sum_i \phi(i)$  is  $(R, \infty)$  summable to S, where

$$(R) \quad S = - \int_0^\infty \phi(t)dt - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{m+1}^{(1)}}{(m+1)!} \phi(0) - S'$$

is the  $(R, \infty)$  sum of the series  $\sum_i \phi(i)$

(the series  $\sum_i \phi(i)$  is  $(B, \infty)$  summable)

upon divergent series. According to Hardy [1], if

Fred. Hardy [1] defined Ramanujan summability as follows: if for some  $a$  and positive integer  $m$ ,  $\phi$  is such that the integral expressions in formula ( ) exist, then  $\sum \phi(n)$  is  $(R', a)$  summable to  $S$  (where  $S = -F^{(1)}(a, 1)$ ). Hardy [1] also showed that for certain  $\phi$  the delayed Euler-Maclaurin series

$$\sum \frac{P_{mn+1}^{(1)}(n)}{(m+n+1)!} \phi(n)$$

by the  $(B', 1)$  method of Borel

is  $(B', 1)$  summable to  $S$ . The last integral in formula ( ) if for a fixed  $x \in (0, \infty)$  a) the series  $\sum f_x(u)/(xu)$  converges for small values of  $u$ , b) the function  $f_x(u)$  so defined is regular for  $u < 0$  and c) the integral

$$S_x = x^{-1} \int_0^\infty \exp(-u^{1/x}) u^{(1/x)-1} f_x(u) du$$

exists, then  $\sum \phi_n$  is said to be  $(B', \frac{1}{x})$  summable to  $S_x$ ; this general method of summation was introduced by Leray [1]; the case in which  $x=1$  was studied in detail by Borel.

Evidently a Ramanujan sum may be proposed: if the first integral upon the right-hand side of formula  $\overline{\int_1^0}(\phi)$  exists the first integral upon the right-hand side of formula ( ) exists, and for some positive integer  $m$  the series ( ) is  $(B', 1)$  summable to  $S'$ , then  $\sum \phi(n)$  is  $(H, R, a)$  summable to

$$S = - \int_a^0 \phi(t) dt - \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{P_{2n+1}^{(1)}(n)}{(2n+1)!} \phi^{(2n+1)}(0) = S'$$

Equally clearly, use of (B<sub>n</sub>) summation to sum the ~~extended version~~  
~~the series~~ ~~series ( ) in which the argument of φ is  $\frac{w}{2} \operatorname{Re}(z)$  replaced by  $\frac{w}{2}$~~   
~~denote~~  
~~φ(w)~~, may be used to ~~obtain~~ a solution of equation ( ) when  
~~n=1~~, In many cases the ~~Nordlund~~ and the same artifice may be  
~~used to construct a solution of equation ( ).~~

Equations (,) describe the local behaviour of the functions F<sup>(n)</sup>, G<sup>(n)</sup>  
and φ. It is conceivable that F<sup>(n)</sup> exists when φ is a function  
defined over a strip of the form  $x - \operatorname{Re}(z) < x^+$  and having  
the two lines  $\operatorname{Re}(z) = x^{\pm}$  as natural barriers. In such a case  
the Nordlund and Hardy-Ramanujan definitions of φ solutions  
when φ lies in one of the half planes  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 0$ ,  
to equation (,) break down, since the expressions of the form (, ) are  
undefined. In this paper situations of equation (,) when φ is  
defined over a strip ~~are~~ <sup>is one</sup> not constructed. As a preliminary,  
new formulae exhibiting the periodic Bernoulli functions as  
composite moments is obtained:

$$\overline{B}_{m+z}^{(n)}(w) = i^{-z} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{-k}{z} \int_{-1/(2\pi)}^{1/(2\pi)} v^z ds(n, m, k; u/v)$$

where for  $m = m+1, m+2, \dots$ , where .

$$s(n, m, k; u/v) = \frac{(-1)^{m-n-k}}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{m-j-k}{n-j-k} (-1)^j \frac{\overline{B}_j^{(n)}(u)}{j!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{B}_{m-j}(u+vy)}{(m-j)!} e^{-iy} \frac{dy}{y}$$

When  $n=1$ , formulae (,) reduces to the simple form

$$\overline{B}_{m+z}^{(1)}(w) = i^{-z} \int_{-1/(2\pi)}^{1/(2\pi)} u^z ds \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{B}_m(u+vy)}{m!} e^{-iy} \frac{dy}{y} \right]$$

for  $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{T}$  where  $m=2, 3, \dots, z=0, 1$ ,  
 the the ~~two~~<sup>other</sup> periodic Bernoulli functions are (apart from the factors  
 $(mz)!$ ) simple <sup>partial</sup> moments in the commonly accepted sense.

Use of this ~~same~~ formula leads to the next or view from 2) the  
 remainder term of the Euler-Maclaurin series, namely, when  $R(\alpha) > 0$

$$E^+(n, m; z | \omega) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(n, m, k; t)}{(1 + i\omega t)^k} dt$$

where

$$\phi(n, m, k; t) = \frac{(-1)^{m-k}}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} (-1)^j \binom{m-j-k}{m-n} B_j^{(n)}(0) \int_0^{\infty} \overline{B_{m-k-j}(ty)} \frac{d^j \phi(2\pi iy - it)}{y} dy$$

for  $m=n+1, n+2, \dots$ ;  $k=1, \dots, n$ ; the difference between subject to suitable  
 conditions upon  $\phi$  and  $\omega$ .

$$E^+(n, m; z | \omega) - E^+(n, m+1; z | \omega) = \frac{B_m^{(n)}(0)}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^m \phi(z) \omega^m$$

from  
 and the function

$$F^+(n; 0; z | \omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{B_j^{(n)}(0)}{j!} \phi(z) \omega^j + E^+(n, m; z | \omega)$$

satisfies equation ( ). One difference between the Poisson-Nielsen  
 form ~~of~~<sup>if</sup> the remainder term of the Euler-Maclaurin series  
 form, exhibited by formula ( ), and the new form displayed by  
 formulae ( ) is clearly visible immediately apparent: the latter makes appeal  
 to the behaviour of  $\phi$  ~~on~~<sup>over</sup> a strip, but ~~comes~~<sup>comes alone</sup> nevertheless to  
 define It is established that the series ( ) represents  $F^+(n, 0; z | \omega)$

asymptotically as  $\omega$  tends to zero in the sector  $-\frac{1}{2}\pi < \arg(\omega) < \frac{1}{2}\pi$   
 and that this series is  $(B'_1)$  summable to the value  $\delta$ ) this function  
 over the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(\omega) > 0$ . A formula ~~for~~ similar to ( ) defines  
 a function  $\tilde{E}(n, m, \omega | \nu)$  over the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(\omega) < 0$  which has  
 properties similar to those of its counterpart. It can occur that the  
 imaginary axis is a natural barrier for both functions. Alternatively  
 $\text{over a disc } |\operatorname{Im} \omega| < \gamma (0 < \gamma < \infty) \text{ say}$ ,  
 it can occur that the series ( ) converges for sufficiently small  $\omega$ , in  
 which case the two functions are effectively the same and may  
 be obtained alternatively by analytic continuation over  
 the complex plane cut along the two segments of the imaginary  
 axis  $\pm i[0, \infty]$ . Between these two extremes it can occur that  
 both functions may be continued across the imaginary axis;  $\Leftrightarrow$   
 a completion theorem relating the two functions for values of the  
 argument for which they are both defined is given.

Poole's series and the alternating sum are obtained in  
 treated in the same way. In particular, for the periodic Euler  
 functions may be expressed in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{E}_{(m+\epsilon)}^{(n)}(\omega) &\stackrel{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}{=} i^m \sum_{k=1}^m (-k)^{\int_{-1/2\pi}^{1/2\pi}} v^k d\phi(n, m, k; \omega/v) \\
 &\quad (\text{m+e})!
 \end{aligned}$$

where for  $m = m+1, m+2, \dots; z = 0, 1, \dots$ , where

$$\phi(n, m, k; u/v) = \frac{(-1)^{nk}}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=k+1}^{m-1} \binom{m+j+1-k}{m} \frac{B_{m-j-k}(u)}{(m-j-1)!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{m+j}(u+iy) e^{-iy} \frac{dy}{y}$$

and the other form corresponding to ( ) for the remainder terms. Thus  
Boole's series is

$$G^+(n, m; z|w) = \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c(n, m, k; z|t)}{(1+wt)^k} dt$$

where

$$c(n, m, k; z|t) = \frac{(-1)^{mk}}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=k-1}^{n-1} \binom{mj+1-k}{m} P_{mj+k}^{(n)}(0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{E}_{mj}(u+iy)}{(u+j)^k} \frac{z^j (zy)^{-k}}{y} du$$

for  $m = m+1, m+2, \dots, k-1, \dots, n$ .

The treatment given in this paper is more general than that sketched above. The series considered have, in the case for example, the form

$$\int \sum_i \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\phi^{(n)}(w)}{w^i} d\rho(w) \Delta^{-n} \psi(x) dw.$$

and the function  $\phi$  and its associated function satisfy an equation of the form ( ) in which  $\phi$  is the integral transform

$$\phi(z) = \int_0^z \psi(z+w) \phi(w) dw.$$

The above simplified theory is obtained by taking  $\rho(w)$  to be the function  $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sign}(w)$ .

## Notation and preliminaries

With  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$  preselected real numbers  $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2), \Delta(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \rightarrow$  the finite open sector containing the points of the set  $\{z : t_1 \leq \arg(z) < \theta_2, 0 < |z| < \infty\}$ ; with  $\Theta, \phi_{\Theta}, \bar{\Delta}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$  is the finite closed sector (ray) containing the points of the set  $\{z : t_1 \leq \arg(z) \leq \theta_2, 0 < |z| < \infty\}$ .  $i[-\infty, \infty]$  is the set of points belonging to the imaginary axis in the complex plane.  $B_R(i[-\infty, \infty])$  is the ~~sector~~ a domain in the complex plane containing the points  $z$  for which  ~~$t_1 - T \geq \operatorname{Re}(z) \geq \delta, |z| \leq R$~~   $|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \geq \delta, |z| < R$  where  $\delta, (0, \infty)$  arbitrarily small and  $T, (0, \infty)$  arbitrarily large are fixed.

The index of single summation is always  $\beta$ ; if the upper limit is infinity it is omitted from the summation sign; if the lower limit is also zero, it too is omitted:  $\sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} a_{\beta}, \sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} a_{\beta}$  and  $\sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} a_{\beta}$  denote  $\sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} a_{\beta}, \sum_{\beta=1}^{\infty} a_{\beta}$  and  $\sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} a_{\beta}$  respectively. Order relationships (e.g.  $f_{\beta} = O(\beta!)$ ) are tacitly assumed to hold for values of the argument tending to infinity; furthermore, use of simple order relationships such as  $f_{\beta} = O(\beta! \cdot \beta^{\alpha}), f_{\beta} = O(\beta^{\alpha})$ , ... implies that  $\beta, \alpha$  are fixed finite positive real numbers.

Simple integral expressions and Sheth-type integral expressions

① An expression such as  $z^\alpha$ , where  $z$  is complex and  $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$   
 refers to that branch of the function which assumes positive real  
 values for positive real  $z$ .  $x!$  denotes  $\Gamma(x+1)$  for general real values of  $x$ .

The periodic Bernoulli polynomials  $B_{2r}(x) (r=1, 2, \dots)$  are defined  
 for  $x \in [0, 1)$  as the coefficients ~~of  $t^r$~~  in the expansion  

$$\frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} - \frac{1}{t} = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2r}(x)}{(2r)!} t^r$$

$$|t| < 2\pi$$

and by use of the relationship  $B_{2r+2}(x+1) = B_{2r+2}(x) (0 \leq x < 1)$   
 $(r=0, 1, \dots; 0 \leq x < \infty)$  over the finite nonnegative real axis. They are  
 alternatively defined by the formulae  $B_1(x) = x - \frac{1}{2} - \lfloor x \rfloor$  and,  
 for  $r = 1, 2, \dots$

$$( ) \quad B_{2r}(x) = \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{(2r)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2n\pi x)}{n^{2r}}$$

$$( ) \quad \frac{B_{2r+1}(x)}{(2r+1)!} = \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{2^r \pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin((2n+1)\pi x)}{n^{2r+1}}$$

(formula ( ) also defines  $B_r(x)$  for non-integer values of  $x$  in  $[0, \infty)$ )

\*  $B_{2r+1} = B_{2r+1}(0) (r=0, 1, 2, \dots)$  are the Bernoulli numbers:  $B_{2r+1} = 0$   
 $(r=1, 2, \dots)$ .

denote Riemann integrals and Riemann-Stieltjes integrals respectively.

be Ray's method ([1], see [8] §4.15) for transforming the power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(z)$  with complex number coefficients over a sector  $\bar{\Delta}(t_1, \theta_2)$  functions as follows: it is assumed that for  $z \in \bar{\Delta}(t_1, \theta_2)$

- the series  $\sum_i f_i(zu)^{\chi}/(zu)!$  converges for small values of  $u$ ,
- the function  $f_\chi(zu)$  defined by analytic continuation of the sum of this series is regular in  $u$  for all  $u \in (0, \infty)$  and
- the integral

$$S_\chi(z) = \chi^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-uz} (-u^{1/\chi}) u^{(1/\chi)-1} f_\chi(zu) du$$

exists; it is then said to be summable  $(t'_1, \chi)$  to  $S_\chi$  over  $\bar{\Delta}(t_1, \theta_2)$ . The case in which  $\chi = 1$  was studied in detail by Brud ([1] §8.2).

The method of  $\mathcal{Z}^2$ -summation introduced by Hardy ([1] §13.16) functions, in an implementation due to the author ([1] as follows; it is assumed

the series may also be transformed over the sector  $\bar{\Delta}(t_1, \theta_2)$  by the method of  $\mathcal{Z}^2$ -summation introduced by Hardy ([1] §13.16), which, in an implementation due to the author, functions as follows: it is assumed that for  $z \in \bar{\Delta}(t_1, \theta_2)$  a) the series  $\sum_i f_i(zu)^2/(2!)^2$  converges for small values of  $u$ , b) the function  $\hat{f}(zu)$  defined by analytic continuation of the sum of this series is regular in  $u$  for all  $u \in (0, \infty)$  and c) the integral

$$\hat{f}(z) = 2 \int_0^\infty K_0(2u^{\frac{1}{2}}) \hat{f}(zu) du$$

exists ( $K_0$  being a modified Bessel function of the second kind); the series  $\sum f(x)$  is then said to be summable  $(E, \hat{f})$  to  $\hat{S}$  over  $\bar{\Delta}(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ .

The author has introduced extensions of the above methods which permit certain series to be transformed over ~~more~~<sup>two</sup> sectors than those for which the above methods are effective. The first extension functions as follows: it is assumed that the series  $\sum f(x)/x^\lambda$  converges in the neighbourhood of the origin, and that the function  $f_\lambda$  obtained by analytic continuation of the sum of this series is uniformly bounded over  $\bar{\Delta}(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ ; set

$$R_\lambda(z) = z^{-1} \int_0^\infty \exp\{iz\phi(u, z)\} \exp(-u^{1/\lambda}) u^{(1/\lambda)-1} f_\lambda(2u) du$$

where

$$\phi(u, z) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\phi_1 + \phi_2) - \arg(z) \right\}}{\sqrt{\pi} + \phi_2 - \phi_1},$$

the series  $R_\lambda$  is then said to be summable  $(E, \lambda)$  to  $R_\lambda$  over  $\Delta(\phi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi}, \phi_2 + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi})$ . For the second extension it is assumed that the series  $\sum f(x)/x!$  converges in the neighbourhood of the origin and that the function  $\hat{f}$  obtained by analytic continuation of the sum of this series is uniformly bounded over

$\bar{\Delta}(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ ; set

$$\hat{R}(z) = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \exp\left\{i\phi(2, z)\right\} K_0(2u^{\frac{1}{2}}) \hat{f}(2u) du;$$

the series  $\hat{f}_r$  is then said to be summable ( $\tilde{B}^2$ ) to  $\hat{R}$  over  $\Delta(\phi_1 - \pi, \phi_2 + \pi)$ . (That the above functions  $R_F(z)$  and  $\hat{R}(z)$  are well defined for values of  $z$  lying in the associated summability sectors is easily verified).

The approximating fraction (Nähungsbuch I, 1) or Padé quotient ([1], [2] Ch. 5, [3] Ch. 20)  $P_{i,j}$  ( $i, j \geq 0$ ), being a ratio of finite integers) derived from the series  $\hat{f}_r$  with  $f(0)$ , is that irreducible rational function whose numerator polynomial is of degree  $\leq j$  and whose denominator polynomial  $D_{i,j}$  is of degree  $\leq i$  with  $D_{i,j}(0) = 1$ , whose series expansion in ascending powers of  $z$  agrees with  $\hat{f}_r$  for the greatest number of initial terms. The quotients  $\{P_{i,j}\}$  may be placed in a two dimensional array, the Padé table, in which  $i$  and  $j$  correspond to row and column numbers respectively. For convenience in exposition we append the quotient  $P_{0,-1}(z) = 0$  to the Padé table. For a fixed finite integer  $m \geq 0$ , the quotients  $P_{i,m-i}$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots$ ) and  $P_{m-i,i}$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots$ ) lie on forward diagonals in the Padé table.

The Bernoulli polynomials of order  $n$ ,  $\tilde{B}_n(z)$  of order  $n$  and degree  $\alpha$  ( $n, \alpha = 0, 1, \dots$ ) may be defined by the relationship

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{z^k}{e^z - 1} e^{yz} = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{z^k}{k!} \tilde{B}_n^{(k)}(y)$$

holding for  $|z| < 2\pi$ . They satisfy the relationships

$$\tilde{B}_{n+1}(y+1) - \tilde{B}_n(y) = n \tilde{B}_{n-1}(y)$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\tilde{B}_n(n-\alpha) = (-1)^\alpha \tilde{B}_n(y)$

for  $n, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\tilde{B}_n^{(n+1)}(y) = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{n}\right) \tilde{B}_n(y) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{n} - 1\right) \tilde{B}_{n-1}(y)$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  for  $n, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots$  The periodic Bernoulli polynomials,  $\tilde{B}_{2r}$  of order one and degree  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 0, 1, \dots$ ) are defined

on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  by setting  $\tilde{B}_{2r}(y) = \tilde{b}_{2r}(y)$  ( $y \in [0, 1)$ )

and imposing the condition  $\tilde{B}_{2r}(y+1) = \tilde{b}_{2r}(y)$  ( $y \in (-\infty, \infty)$ )

For  $r = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\tilde{B}_{2r}(y) = \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{(2r)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2\pi ny)}{n^{2r}}$$

$$\tilde{B}_{2r+1}(y) = \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{(2r+1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\pi ny)}{n^{2r+1}}$$

(formula ( ) also defines  $\tilde{B}_1(y)$  for non-integer values of  $y$  in  $(-\infty, \infty)$ ; since  $\tilde{B}_1(y) = y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\tilde{B}_1(r) = -\frac{1}{2}$  ( $r = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots$ ), but the sum is the series

in formula ( ) to zero for these values of  $y$ ).

The Euler polynomials  $E_{2j}^{(n)}$  of order  $n$  and degree  $2j$  ( $n=0,1,\dots$ ) may be defined by the relationship

$$\left\{ \frac{2}{e^z+1}, z^n e^{yz} = \sum \frac{z^j}{j!} E_{2j}^{(n)}(y) \right.$$

holding for  $|z| < 2\pi$ . They satisfy the relationships

$$E_{2j}^{(n)}(y+1) + E_{2j}^{(n)}(y) = 2 E_{2j}^{(n)}(y)$$

$$E_{2j}^{(n)}(n-y) = (-1)^j E_{2j}^{(n)}(y)$$

$$E_{2j}^{(n+1)}(y) = \frac{2}{n} E_{2j+1}^{(n)}(y) + \frac{2}{n} (n-y) E_{2j}^{(n)}(y)$$

holding for  $n=1,2,\dots, j=0,1,\dots$ . The periodic Euler polynomials  $\tilde{E}_{2j}$  of order one and degree  $2j$  ( $j=0,1,\dots$ ) are defined over  $(-\infty, \infty)$

by setting  $\tilde{E}_{2j}(y) = E_{2j}^{(1)}(y)$  ( $y \in [0,1]$ ) and imposing the condition

$$\tilde{E}_{2j}(y+1) + \tilde{E}_{2j}(y) = 0 \quad (y \in (-\infty, \infty), j=0,1,\dots). \quad \text{For } \tau = \cancel{0,} 1, 2, \dots$$

$$\frac{\tilde{E}_{2\tau-1}(y)}{(2\tau-1)!} = \frac{4(-1)^{\tau}}{\pi^{2\tau}} \xrightarrow{\text{cov}} \frac{\cos\{(2\tau+1)\pi y\}}{(2\tau+1)^{2\tau}}$$

$$\frac{\tilde{E}_{2\tau}(y)}{(2\tau)!} = \frac{4(-1)^{\tau}}{\pi^{2\tau+1}} \xrightarrow{\text{cov}} \frac{\sin\{(2\tau+1)\pi y\}}{(2\tau+1)^{2\tau+1}}$$

(formula ( ) also defines  $\tilde{E}_0(y)$  for non-integer values of  $y$  in  $(-\infty, \infty)$  since  $\tilde{E}_0(y) = 1$ ,  $\tilde{E}_{\tau+1} = \frac{\tilde{E}_{\tau}(y)}{2\tau+1}$  but not at integer values)

Theorem A. ~~For~~ For  $\tau = n+1, n+2, \dots$  the functions set

$$\bar{B}_{\tau}^{(n)+}(u) = n \binom{\tau}{n} i^{n-\tau+1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(u+i\nu)_{n-1} N^{\tau-n}}{e^{2\pi(N-i\nu)} - 1} d\nu$$

For  $\tau = n+1, n+2, \dots$ :

a) are analytic over the ~~for  $\tau = n+1, n+2, \dots$~~   $\bar{B}_{\tau}^{(n)+} \in A\{H_i^+\}$  and

$B_{\tau}^{(n)+}$  is differentiable for over  $(-\infty, \infty)$  ( ~~$\tau = n+1, n+2, \dots$~~ ) functions

b)  $\bar{B}_{\tau}^{(n)-}$  defined by reversing the sign of  $i$  above behave in a similar way have similar properties over  $H_i^-$  and  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .

b) Individually the functions  $\bar{B}_{\tau}^{(n)\pm}$  satisfy relationships of the form ( );

b) over for  $u \in (-\infty, \infty)$

$$\bar{B}_{\tau}^{(n)}(u) = \bar{B}_{\tau}^{(n)-}(u) + \bar{B}_{\tau}^{(n)+}(u)$$

c) For  $\tau = n+1, n+2, \dots$  and  $u \in (-\infty, \infty)$

$$\bar{B}_{\tau}^{(n)}(u) = n \binom{\tau}{n} i^{n-\tau+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{\tau} w(t) dt$$

where  $w(t) = n t^{-n} (u+it)_{n-1} \hat{w}^+(t)$  with  $\hat{w}^+(t) = \{ e^{2\pi(t-iu)} - 1 \}^{-1}$  over  $0 < t < \infty$  and  $w^-(t) = \{ 1 - e^{2\pi(iu-t)} \}^{-1}$  for  $-\infty < t < 0$ .

d) For  $m = n+1, n+2, \dots$ ,  $\tau = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $u \in (-\infty, \infty)$ ,

$$\bar{b}_{m+\tau}^{(n)}(u) = i^{-\tau} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{-k}{\tau} \int_{-1/(2\pi)}^{1/(2\pi)} v^{\tau} J_{k,\tau}(k, m, n; u/v) dv$$

Where,

$$\phi(k, m, n; u|v) = \frac{(-1)^{n-k}}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{m-j-k}{m-n} (-1)^j b_j^{(n)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b_{m-j}^{(1)}(u+vy) e^{-iy} \frac{dy}{y}$$

$\phi(k, m, n; u)$  being of bounded variation over  $(-(2\pi)^{-1}, (2\pi)^{-1})$ .

(iv) For  $m = n+1, n+2, \dots$  and  $y \in H^\pm$

$$\int_0^\infty \bar{b}_{m-1}^{(n)}(u-t) e^{yt} dt = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{-1/(2\pi)}^{1/(2\pi)} \frac{ds(k, m, n; u|v)}{(1+iyv)^k}$$

B. i) Set

$$\bar{E}_z^{(n)+}(u) = \frac{(-2)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} i^{-2} \int_0^\infty v^{z-1} (u+iv)_{n-1} \cos \{\pi(u+iv)\} dv$$

For  $z = n+1, n+2, \dots$

a)  $\bar{E}_z^{(n)+} \in A\{H_i^+\}$  and  $\bar{E}_z^{(n)+}$  is differentiable over  $(-\infty, \infty)$ ;

- a) the results of clause A(iib) hold for the above functions  $\bar{E}_z^{(n)+}$  and similarly defined function  $\bar{E}_z^{(n)-}$   
 b) individually the functions  $\bar{E}_z^{(n)\pm}$  satisfy relationships of the form  
 ( ).

(ii) For  $z = n+1, n+2, \dots$  and  $w \in (-\infty, \infty)$

$$\bar{E}_z^{(n)}(w) = i^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^z w(t) dt$$

where  $w(t) = \frac{(-2)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (u+it)_{n-1} \cos \{\pi(u+it)\}$

(iii) For  $m=n+1, n+2, \dots$ ,  $\tau=0$  and  $u \in (-\infty, \infty)$

$$\bar{e}_{m+2}^{(n)}(u) = i^{\tau} \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{-k}{\tau} \int_{-\pi/(2\pi)}^{\pi/(2\pi)} v^{\tau} c_{\text{ds}}(k, m, n; u/v) dv$$

where

$$c_{\text{ds}}(k, m, n; u/v) = \frac{(-1)^{m+k} 2^{n-1} \pi^{-1}}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=k-1}^{m-1} \binom{m+j+1-k}{m} b_{n-j-1}^{(n)}(u) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{m+j}(u/v) e^{-iy} \frac{dy}{y}$$

$c_{\text{ds}}(k, m, n; u)$  being of bounded variation over  $(-\pi/(2\pi), \pi/(2\pi))$ ,

(iv) For  $m=n+1, n+2, \dots$  and  $y \in H^{\pm}$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \bar{e}_{m+1}^{(n)}(u-t) e^{\mp \eta t} dt = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{-\pi/(2\pi)}^{\pi/(2\pi)} c_{\text{ds}}(k, m, n; u/v) \frac{dv}{(1+i\eta v)^k}$$

Proof. The relationship

$$\bar{b}_n^{(n)}(u) = (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-r-1}{r-n} (-1)^r \bar{b}_{n-r}^{(n)}(u) \bar{b}_{n-r}^{(n)}(u)$$

is easily verified for  $n=n, n+1, \dots$  It is evidently correct when  $n=1$ . Assuming it to hold for some  $n \geq 1$ , we are made of the recursion

$$\bar{b}_{n+1}^{(n+1)}(u) = \left(\frac{n-2-1}{n}\right) \bar{b}_{n+1}^{(n)}(u) + \left(\frac{u}{n}-1\right) \bar{b}_{n+1}^{(n)}(u).$$

To show that the function represented on the right-hand side of formula ( ) with  $n$  replaced by  $n+1$  is with  
 Denote the same expression on the right-hand side of formula ( )

by  $\phi_z^{(n)}(u)$ , with  $0 < u < n$ . Form the combination  $(1 - \frac{u}{n})\phi_z^{(n)}(u) + (\frac{u}{n} - 1)\phi_{z-1}^{(n)}(u)$   
 and in the second component replace all terms of the form  
 $(\frac{u}{n} - 1)b_z^{(n)}(u)$  by their equivalent expressions derived from formula  
 ( ); the combination is shown to be  $\phi_z^{(n+1)}(u)$ . The similar  
 combination of functions  $\bar{b}_z^{(n)}(u)$  (i.e.  $b_z^{(n)}(u)$  if  $0 < u < n$ ) is equivalent  
 to  $\bar{b}_{z-1}^{(n+1)}(u)$ : relationship ( ) holds with  $n$  replaced by  $n+1$  and  
 $0 < u < n$ . With  $n-1 < u < n$ , form the combination  $\phi_z^{(n+1)}(u) + \phi_{z-1}^{(n)}(u)$ :  
 the result is shown to be  $\phi_z^{(n+2)}(u+1)$ . But  $\bar{b}_z^{(n+1)}(u) + \bar{b}_{z-1}^{(n)}(u) =$   
 $\bar{b}_z^{(n+2)}(u+1)$  also: the range of validity has been extended  
 from  $0 < u < n$  to  $0 < u < n+1$ . Continue this process, and modify it,  
 to show that relationship ( ) holds with  $n$  replaced by  $n+1$  and  
 all  $u$ , (Relationship ( ) is given in slightly concealed form by  
 Norlund ([p. 155 eqn.(10)]) i.e.  $\phi_\infty^{(n+1)}(u)$  represents  $b_\infty^{(n+1)}(u)$  for  $0 < u < n+1$ .  
 Evidently  $\Delta^{n+1}\phi_\infty^{(n+1)}(u) = 0$  for all real  $u$ :  $\phi_\infty^{(n+1)}(u)$  represents  $\bar{b}_\infty^{(n+1)}(u)$   
 for all real  $u$ . (Relationship ( ) is given in slightly concealed form by  
 Norlund ([p. 155 eqn (10)]).

For  $z=1, 2, \dots$  and  $-\infty < u < \infty$

$$\bar{b}_z(u) = - \sum' (2i\pi)^{-z} e^{2i\pi zu};$$

or  $\bar{b}_z(u) = \bar{b}_z^+(u) + \bar{b}_z^-(u)$ , where

$$\bar{b}_z^+(u) = - i^{-z} \sum'_n (2i\pi)^{-z} e^{2i\pi nu}$$

and  $\overline{b}_z(u) = (-1)^z \overline{b}$

Setting For  $z=1, 2, \dots$ , set

$$\overline{b}_z^+(u) = -i^{-z} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\pi)^{-z} e^{2i\pi n u}, \overline{b}_z^-(u) = -i^z \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\pi)^z e^{-2i\pi n u}.$$

analytic

$\overline{b}_z^+(u)$  and  $\overline{b}_z^-(u)$  are defined for  $\Re \operatorname{Im}(u) > 0$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(u) < 0$  respectively  
and are continuous for real  $u$  and, when  $z \neq 1$  are differentiable for real  $u$ ;  
 $(z=1, 2, \dots)$ . ~~also~~  $b_z(u) = b_z^+(u) + b_z^-(u)$  for real values of  $u$  ( $z=1, 2, \dots$ ).

Define functions  $\overline{b}_z^{(n)\pm}(u)$  by means of formulae similar to ( ) in which

$\overline{b}_{z-2}$  is replaced by  $\overline{b}_{z-2}^\pm$ : again  $\overline{b}_z^{(n)\pm}(u) = \overline{b}_z^{(n)+}(u) + \overline{b}_z^{(n)-}(u)$  ( $z=n, n+1, \dots$ )

and, ~~as~~ the similar relationship holds for the functions

$\overline{B}_z^{(n)}$  and  $\overline{B}_z^{(n)\pm}$  ( $z=n, n+1, \dots$ ). Using Euler's ~~expression~~ formula for the

~~Q~~  $\Gamma$ -function,  $\overline{B}_z^{(n)}$  has the representation ( ). The results  $\leftarrow$   $\rightarrow$

clauses (ii) and (iii) follow from the above remarks; that of clause (iv) may  
be easily be verified. The result of clause (v) follows from formula ( )

and its companion concerning the function  $\overline{B}_z^{(n)-}$ .

From formula ( )

$$\overline{b}_{j+z+1}(u) = i^{-z} \int_{-1/(2\pi)}^{1/(2\pi)} v^z \operatorname{er}(j, 1, 1; u/v)$$

~~m+n?~~

for  $j=1, 2, \dots$ ,  $z=0, 1, \dots$  where  $\operatorname{er}(j, 1, 1; u/v)$  has a saltus of magnitude  
 $-i^{-z-1} v^z e^{iu/v}$  at the point  $v=(2\pi)^{-1}$  ( $v=\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots$ ) and no other  
point.  $\Rightarrow$  variation in the range  $-1/(2\pi) \leq v \leq 1/(2\pi)$ . Again by use of  
~~(it is, of course, of bounded variation in this range)~~  
formula ( ).

$$\operatorname{er}(j, 1, 1; u/v) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{b}_{j+1}(u+vy) e^{-\frac{i}{y} vy} dy$$

for  $j=1, 2, \dots$ ,  $u \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $v \in [-(2\pi)^{-1}, (2\pi)^{-1}]$ . Combining formulae (1), (2) and using an elementary decomposition of  $\binom{z-j-1}{z-n}$  in terms of coefficients of the form  $\binom{-k}{z}$ , formulae (3) are derived. Since the relevant functions  $\psi(j, 1, z, u|v)$  are of bounded variation in  $z$  over the range  $-(2\pi)^{-1} \leq v \leq (2\pi)^{-1}$ , the same is true of the functions defined by formula (1).

Norlund has shown that for  $m=n+1, n+2, \dots$  and  $y \in H^+ \cap D_{2\pi}$

$$\int_0^\infty \bar{b}_{m-1}^{(n)}(u-t)e^{-yt} = \sum \bar{b}_{m+j}^{(n)}(u)(-y)^j$$

The series on the right-hand side of (4) is, from the results of the preceding clause, also generated by the expression on the right hand side of relationship (1) when  $y \in D_{2\pi}$ . This relationship (with  $e^{-yt}$  for  $e^{+yt}$ ) persists for values of  $y$  for which both constituents are defined, namely over  $H^+$ . The further result of clause 4 is demonstrated in the same way (the expression upon the right hand side of relationship (1) is now represented as a function analytic over the cut plane  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \pm i[2\pi, \infty]$ ).

Part B of the theorem is demonstrated in the same way. The counterparts to formulae (1), (2), (3) are in succession

$$\bar{E}_z^{(n)}(u) = 2^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{(n-j-1)!} b_j(u) \bar{E}_{z^{n-j-1}}(u)$$

$$E_{zz}^{(n+r)}(u) = \frac{2}{n} [E_{zz}^{(n)}(u) + (n-r) E_{zz}^{(n)}(u)]$$

$$\bar{e}_z(u) = 2 \sum \left\{ (2j+1)\pi i \right\}^{-z-1} e^{(2j+1)u\pi i}$$

and relationships (,) with  $b$  replaced by  $e.$  (rel) given by Finland  
p153 eq (27))

Lemma 1 Let the function  $\phi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$  be such that the moment

$$b_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \phi(t)$$

exists for arbitrarily large positive integer  $\gamma$ . Let  $l$  be a positive integer. For  $m=0, 1, \dots$ , let  $f^{(m)+}$  be the function defined over  $H_l^+$  by means of the formula

$$f^{(m)+}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(m+1)}{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(l)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^m {}_2F_1(m+l, 1; m+1; -zt) \phi(t)$$

and define similarly  $f^{(m)-}$  over  $H_l^-$  similarly. Let for  $m=0, 1, \dots$  set

$$f^{(m,n)}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{-k-1} (-1)^{m+k} \binom{-l}{m+k} f_{m+n} z^k.$$

Let for  $m=0, 1, \dots$  let  $\ell^{(m)}$  be the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+k} \binom{-l}{m+k} f_{m+n} z^k$$

and let  $\ell^{(m)}$  be the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-l}{m+k} f_{m+n} z^k.$$

The order relationships involving  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  given below are assumed to

(i)  $\alpha > l$  (analytic in  $H_l^+$  for  $z \neq 0$ )

(ii)  $\alpha < l$  (analytic in  $H_l^-$  for  $z \neq 0$ )

b) Let  $\phi$  be nonanalytic at every point of  $[x, \bar{x}] \subseteq [-\infty, \infty]$ . Then

the segments of the real axis made up of the points of the  $\omega_j$ 's are natural barriers of the function  $f^{(m)+}(m=0, 1, \dots)$ ; they cannot be

continued analytically across these segments.

c) Let  $\omega$  be analytic over an open domain  $D$  in the complex plane containing all points of the segment  $(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq (-\infty, \infty)$ . Then  $f^{(m)\pm}$  as defined over  $H_i^\pm$  by formula ( ) can be continued analytically across the segments  $(\alpha, \beta)^{-1}$  of the real axis, a corresponding result also holds for  $f^{(m)-}$  and, denoting the two functions directly defined and obtained by analytic continuation by  $f^{(m)\pm}$  respectively,

$$f^{(m)\pm}(z) = f^{-}$$

$$f^{(m)+}(z) = f^{(m)-}(z) - \frac{2\pi i(-1)^{\ell}}{\ell!} \mathcal{D}^\ell \omega(\lambda)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}\lambda = z^{-1}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $d/d\lambda$ , for  $\lambda \in D$  and  $m=0, 1, \dots$ . If  $\omega$  as defined over  $D$  is a polynomial of degree less than  $\ell$ ,  $f^{(m)\pm}$  and  $f^{(m)-}$  are the same function in the sense that  $f^{(m)\pm}$  as continued analytically across  $(\alpha, \beta)^{-1}$  into  $H_i^-$  is  $f^{(m)-}$ , and conversely.

(ii) a) For  $m, r = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $z \in H_i^+$

$$f^{(m+r)}(z) = f^{(m, r)}(z) + z^r f^{(m+r)+}(z)$$

and a similar result holds for the function  $f^{(m)-}$  over  $H_i^-$

b) let  $\omega$  be constant on  $i(-\infty, \infty) \setminus \{0\}$ , real valued and

nondecreasing over  $[0, \infty)$ ). For  $m=0, 1, \dots$ ,  $f^{(m)\pm}$  are obtained from each other by analytic continuation across the ~~negative~~<sup>real</sup> real axis (and across  $(-\infty, 0)$  if the segment  $(-\infty, 0)$  if  $\alpha > 0$ )  
 If they are the same function,  $f^{(m)}$  say, and  $f^{(m)}$  is semi-convergent of over  $H_-$  in the sense that in conjunction with formula ( )

$$|f^{(m+1)}(z)| \leq |(-\ell) f_m(z)|$$

for  $z \in H_+$  and  $z \in H_-$ .

Furthermore, when  $z \in (-\infty, 0)$ , the partial sums  $f^{(m,z)}(z)$  oscillate about the value of  $f^{(m)}(z)$ :

$$f^{(m, 2\pi)}(z) < f^{(m)}(z) < f^{(m, 2\pi + \pi)}(z)$$

for  $m, z = 0, 1, \dots$

b)  $f^{(m)}$  represents for  $m=0, 1, \dots$   $f^{(m)}$  represents  $f^{(m)\pm}$  asymptotically as the argument tends to zero in the sectors  $\Delta(0, \pi)$ , and  $f^{(m)}$  and  $\Delta(\pi, 2\pi)$  respectively

c) Let  $\alpha$  be constant over  $(-\infty, 0)$  and  $(0, \infty)$  where  $\max|\alpha|, B = \delta^{-1}$  ~~at~~  $(0, \infty)$ . For  $m=0, 1, \dots$ ,  $f^{(m)\pm}$  are obtained from each other by analytic continuation across the segments ~~but~~<sup>obtained by removing  $(-\infty, 0) \setminus [B\pi, \pi]$ , they are the same function,  $f^{(m)}$  say, and  $f^{(m)}$  converges to  $f^{(m)}$  over  $D_\delta$ .</sup>

If, in addition,  $\varphi(\alpha, z)$  is real valued and nondecreasing

(iii) Let  $\int_{2D+1} = 0$  ( $D=0, 1, \dots$ )

a) When  $z \in H_1^+$

$$\int^{(2m+1)+} (z) = z \int^{(2m+2)+} (z)$$

for  $m=0, 1, \dots$  and

$$\int^{(2m)+} (z) = \hat{\int}^{(m, 2z)} (z) + z^{2z} \int^{(2m+2z)+} (z)$$

for  $m, z=0, 1, \dots$ . Similar formulae hold for the functions  $f^{(m)-}$ .

b) For  $m=0, 1, \dots$   $\hat{\int}^{(m)}$  represents  $f^{(m)+}$  and  $f^{(m)-}$  asymptotically as the argument ( $z$  in formula ( )) tends to zero in the sectors  $\Delta(0, \pi)$  and  $\Delta(\pi, 2\pi)$  respectively.

c) Let  $f_{2D} = O((z, \frac{1}{2})! \cdot \frac{1}{z})$ , let  $\omega$  be a nondecreasing and nondecreasing, and let  $l=1$ .

For  $m=0, 1, \dots$   $\hat{\int}^{(m)}$  is semi convergent over  $\Delta(\frac{1}{4}\pi, \frac{3}{4}\pi)$  and  $\Delta(\frac{5}{4}\pi, \frac{7}{4}\pi)$  in the sense that in conjunction with formula ( )

$$|\int^{(2m+2z)+} (z)| \leq \left| \left( \frac{-l}{2m+2z} \right) f_{2m+2z} \right|$$

for  $z=0, 1, \dots$  and  $z \in \bar{\Delta}(\frac{1}{4}\pi, \frac{3}{4}\pi)$ ; a similar result holds for the functions  $f^{(m)-}$  over  $\bar{\Delta}(\frac{5}{4}\pi, \frac{7}{4}\pi)$ . (Semi convergence over  $i(0, \infty)$  and  $i(0, \infty)$  holds for general values of  $l$  and  $\omega$ ).

Furthermore, when  $z \in i(0, \infty)$ , the partial sums  $\hat{\int}^{(2m, z)}$  oscillate

about the value of  $f^{(2m)+}(z)$ :

$$\hat{f}^{(2m, 2r)}(z) < f^{(2m)+}(z) < \hat{f}^{(2m, 2r+1)}(z)$$

for  $m, r = 0, 1, \dots$ ; similarly, when  $z \in i(-\infty, 0)$ , the partial sums  $\hat{f}^{(2m,+)}(z)$  oscillate about the value of  $f^{(2m)-}(z)$ .

If the  $\{f_{2r}\}$  are further restricted by the condition  $f_{2r} = O(\gamma^{-2r})$  ( $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$ ) then  $f^{(2m)\pm}$  are both obtained by analytic continuation.  $\square$

The function  $f^{(2m)}$  to which  $\hat{f}^{(2m)}$  converges over  $D_\delta$ ; ~~also~~<sup>more</sup>,  $z \in i(-\delta, \delta)$ ,

$$\hat{f}^{(2m, 2r)}(z) \leq f^{(2m, 2r+1)}(z) \leq f^{(2m)}(z) \leq f^{(2m, 2r+3)}(z) \leq f^{(2m, 2r+4)}(z)$$

and for  $z \in (-\gamma, \gamma)$

$$\hat{f}^{(2m, \tau)}(z) \leq f^{(2m, 2r+1)}(z) \leq f^{(2m)}(z)$$

both sets of inequalities holding for  $m, r = 0, 1, \dots$ .

(iv) a) Let  $f_0 = O((2\pi)_V!^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}})$ , then  $f^{(2m)}$  is  $(B, 1)$  summable to  $f^{(2m)+}$  over  $i(0, \infty)$  and to  $f^{(2m)-}$  over  $-i(0, \infty)$ , and is  $(\bar{B}, 1)$  summable to  $f^{(2m)+}$  over  $H_i^+$  and to  $f^{(2m)-}$  over  $H_i^-$  ( $m = 0, 1, \dots$ ).

b) Let  $\sigma$  also be a function of a second variable  $x$ , and for all  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$  let  $\sigma$  be analytic over an open domain  $\mathcal{D}$  in the complex  $x$ -plane with  $f_{2r+1} \neq 0$  and  $f_{2r} = O((2\pi)_V!^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}})$ . If

an infinite set of points ~~in~~ with a limit point in  $\mathbb{D}$  let  
 let  $\phi$  be real valued and nondecreasing. If  $\phi$  is  $\omega$  then  $f^{(m)}$  is  
 both  $\text{al}(B^2)$   
 $\forall (B', 2)$  summable to  $f^{(m) \pm}$  over  $i(0, \infty)$  and to  $f^{(m) -}$  over  $-i(0, \infty)$ , and is  
 both  $\text{al}(\bar{B}^2)$   
 $(\bar{B}, 2)$  summable to  $f^{(m) +}$  over  $H_i^+$  and to  $f^{(m) -}$  over  $H_i^-$

$(m=0, 1, \dots)$  (so that  $f^{(m) \pm}$  and  $f^{(m) -}$  are both equivalent to a single  
 function  $f^{(m)}$  in the sense of  $\omega$  as described in some (i.e.))

b) Let  $\phi$  be constant over  $(-\infty, 0)$  and let  $f_0 = 0((z+u), \frac{1}{2})$

for fixed  $u \in \mathbb{C}_{\neq 0}$ . Then  $f^{(m)}$  is  $(B', 2)$  summable to  $f^{(m)}$  over  $(-\infty, u)$   
 and is  $(\bar{B}, 2)$  summable to  $f^{(m)}$  over  $H_i^+ \cup (-\infty, 0) \cup H^- \setminus (0, \infty)$

Proof. The above results are stated in a form suitable for later use. Nevertheless  
 their proofs are made a little clearer by a change of notation.

Proof. The existence of the single moment  $(\cdot)$  for arbitrary range

$\Rightarrow$  implies that all moments  $\frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}$  exist for  $(0, 0, 1, \dots)$  exist.

Define the function  $\bar{F}^{(m) \pm}$  over  $H_i^{\pm}$  by means of the formula

$$\bar{F}^{(m) \pm}(\lambda) = \frac{\Gamma(m+l)}{\Gamma(m+1) \Gamma(l)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^m (\lambda - t)^{-l} {}_2F_1(m+l, 1; m+1; (\lambda - t)^{-1}t) dt$$

so that

$$F^{(m) \pm}(\lambda) = \frac{\Gamma(m+l)}{\Gamma(m+1) \Gamma(l)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^m (\lambda - t)^{-l} {}_2F_1(1-l, 1; m+1; (\lambda - t)^{-1}t) dt$$

The hypergeometric function occurring in the latter integral's  
 a polynomial of degree  $l-1$  in  $(\lambda - t)^{-1}t$ . Evidently  $F^{(m) \pm}$  is  
 analytic over  $H_i^{\pm}$ , and since  $\frac{d}{d\lambda} F^{(m) \pm}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{2} F^{(m) \pm}(\lambda)$ , when  $\lambda = z^{-1}$ ,  
 $F^{(m) \pm}$  is analytic over  $H_i^{\pm}$ . Functions  $F^{(m) \pm}$  are similarly

defined over  $H_i^+$  by a formula similar to ( ); but that  $f^{(m)\bar{\epsilon}}$  is analytic over  $H_i^{++}$  may be demonstrated in the same way.

From formula ( )

$$\lambda^{-l} F^{(1)\bar{\epsilon}}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} (\lambda - t)^{-l} dt(\lambda)$$

It is known ( ) that  $\lambda^{-l}$  is similarly defined.

When  $l=1$  it is known ( ) that if  $\sigma$  is as described in clause

(ib) then the segment  $[\omega, \zeta]$  of the real axis is a natural barrier of the function  $\lambda^{-1} F^{(1)\bar{\epsilon}}(\lambda)$  and  $\lambda^{-l} F^{(1)\bar{\epsilon}}(\lambda)$  is evidently a constant multiple of the  $(l-1)^{th}$  derivative of  $\lambda^{-1} F^{(1)\bar{\epsilon}}(\lambda)$ :

Thus the above segment is a natural barrier of the function

$\lambda^{-l} F^{(1)\bar{\epsilon}}$  defined by formula ( ) for general  $l=1, 2, \dots$ . Setting

$$F^{(m,n)}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-i)^n \binom{-l}{m,i} f_{m,i} \lambda^{-i}$$

for  $m, n = 0, 1, \dots$  it follows from the recursion for hypergeometric functions of contiguous orders that

$$\lambda^l F^{(m+1,n)}(\lambda) = F^{(m,n)}(\lambda) + \lambda^{1-l} F^{(m+1,n)}(\lambda)$$

for  $m, n = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $\lambda \in H_i^+$ .  $F^{(m)\bar{\epsilon}}(\lambda)$  is a linear function of  $F^{(n)\bar{\epsilon}}(\lambda)$

with coefficients that are rational functions of  $\lambda$ . The segment

$[\omega, \zeta]$  is a natural barrier of all functions  $F^{(m)\bar{\epsilon}} (m=0, 1, \dots)$ . Relationship ( ) may now be used to prove clause (ib).

Formula ( ) is a contour integral

In formula ( ), the contour of integration (i.e. the real axis) may, under the conditions of clause (ic), be deformed in such a way that the segment  $\{x, t\}$  becomes a path lying in  $H_i^+ \cap D$ . The value of  $F^{(0)-}(\lambda)$  is, for  $\lambda \in H_i^-$ , thereby unchanged; furthermore,  $F^{(0)-}$  is now expressed for values of  $\lambda \in H_i^+$  lying below the deformed contour.  $F^{(0)-}$  may be continued analytically into  $H_i^+ \cap D$ . For a fixed  $\lambda \in H_i^+ \cap D$ , the deformed contour may be restored to its original position and, if a small circle surrounding the fixed value is left in position, the value of  $F^{(0)-}$  for this fixed value is left unchanged. That component of the expression yielding the value of  $F^{(0)-}$  which involves an integral of the form ( ) is, since  $\lambda$  is now in  $H_i^+$ ,  $F^{(0)+}(\lambda)$ . Thus

$$F^{(0)+}(\lambda) = F^{(0)-}(\lambda) + \frac{2\pi i (-1)^m}{(\ell-1)!} \int_{\gamma}(\lambda)$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Using formula ( ), it follows that

$$F^{(m)+}(\lambda) = F^{(0)-}(\lambda) + \frac{2\pi i \lambda^{m-1}}{(\ell-1)!} \int_{\gamma}(\lambda)$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ; and the result of clause (ic) follows from formula ( ).

The result of clause (iiia) is a direct consequence of relationships (1), (2).

From formula (1)

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\lambda}^{(m)}(\lambda) &= \frac{\Gamma(m+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(m)} \int_0^\infty t^m (1-\frac{\lambda}{t})^{-\lambda} \int_0^t u^{m-1} (1-\frac{\lambda-u}{t})^{\lambda-1} du dt/t \\ &= \frac{\lambda \Gamma(\lambda+m)}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(m)} \int_0^\infty \int_0^t u^{m-1} \left\{ \frac{\lambda-u}{\lambda-t} \right\}^\lambda (\lambda-u)^{-1} du dt/t \end{aligned}$$

for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$

when  $\lambda = \frac{t}{2} - iy$  ( $y \in (0, \infty)$ ). For all such  $\lambda$  and  $u, \Re(u) > 0$ ,  $\Im(u) < 0$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-u} \right| \leq 1 + \frac{|u|}{|\eta|} \quad \left| \frac{\lambda-u}{\lambda-t} \right| \leq 1 + \frac{|t|}{2}.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^2 \{ F^{(m)}(\lambda) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \binom{m}{m+k} \} = 0$$

Hence,  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^2 \{ F^{(m)}(\lambda) - F^{(m,0)}(\lambda) \} = 0$  as  $\lambda$  tends to infinity in the half-plane  $\Im(\lambda) > 0$ . The results of clause (iiia) follows immediately

in the half-plane  $\Im(\lambda) > 0$  for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  and that this also occurs when  $m = 0$  is a consequence of relationship (1). The results of clause (iiia) follows immediately

that  $F^{(m)}$  are the same function, say, which has a representation by the form (1) over  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty]$  when  $\omega$  is as described

in clause (iiic), follows from clause (iic). A formula similar to (1) holds for  $F^{(m)}$ , and in this formula the lower limit  $t = -\infty$  may be replaced by  $t = \alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in H^+$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-u} \right|^{\alpha} \left| \frac{\lambda-u}{\lambda-t} \right|^{\beta} \leq 1 \quad \text{when } \alpha \in H^+$$

for all  $u > 0, t \in [0, \infty], \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . Hence,  $|F^{(m)}(\lambda)| \leq C_m$  ( $m=2, \dots$ ) and that this relationship also holds when  $m=0$  may be verified directly, or may also the first result of clause (iic). The second result ~~also~~ follows from relationship (1).

Under the conditions of clause (iib),  $f_0 = O(\tau^{-2})$  and  $f^{(m)}$  converges to  $f^{(m)}$  over  $D_\gamma$ . The additional result concerning this convergent series may be demonstrated by the use of formula (1).

The result of clauses (iiicff) follows from formula (1), and that of clause (iib) is a consequence of clause (iia).

When  $f_{m+1} = O(\tau^{-0.5}, \dots)$ ,  $\zeta$  and  $\omega$ , where  $\omega(t) = \frac{1}{2}[\zeta(t) - \zeta(-t)]$  generate the same moments; ~~but the  $\omega$  that may be obtained by  $\zeta$ , in formula (1) still holds for  $\tau > 0, t, \dots$~~  when  $\zeta$  is replaced by  $\omega$ , in formula (1) still holds for  $\tau > 0, t, \dots$  when  $\zeta$  is replaced by  $\omega$ . Since  $\zeta$  is non-decreasing the  $\{\zeta(t)\}$  are moments of Hamburger's moments problem and, since  $f_0 = O(\tau^{1-\frac{1}{2}\delta})$  for some  $\delta \in (0, \infty)$ , the associated Hamburger moment problem is determinate; ~~there is only~~

one bounded  $\varphi_{\text{min}}$  is the only bounded nondecreasing function, normalized by a condition such as  $\varphi(0)=0$  to generate the moments  $\{\varphi_n\}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is bounded and nondecreasing,  $\varphi(-t) = -\varphi(t)$  for all  $t \in (-\infty, 0)$ . Formula (7) now yields  $\varphi$ . The results of clause (ivc) may be verified as above.

Under the conditions of clause (iva), the function  $f_1$  produced (see formula (1) and the accompanying text) produced by the transformation

of the series  $f^{(m)}$  is, when  $z \in i(0, \infty)$  and  $|u| < 1/\sqrt[3]{|z|}$ ,

$$f_1(zu) = \frac{\Gamma(m+1)}{\Gamma(m+1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^m F_1(m+1; m+1; uzt) ds(t)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(m+1)}{\Gamma(m+1)\Gamma(l)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^m e^{nut} {}_1F_1(l; m+1; uzt) ds(t)$$

(the confluent hypergeometric function in the latter integrand is, of course, a polynomial of degree  $l-1$  in  $uzt$ ).

If  $z \in i(0, \infty)$ ,  $f_1(zu)$  is an analytic function of  $u$  for  $|u| < 1/\sqrt[3]{|z|}$ ,

and is regular for  $u \in \mathbb{C}$ . The function  $S_1$  of formula (1) yields the function  $f^{(m)+}$  of formula (1). The further results of clause (iva) are demonstrated in the same way.

Denoting the function  $\varphi$  considered in clause (vib) by  $\varphi(x, t)$ , it may be shown, as in the proof of clause (ivc), that  $\varphi(x, t) = -\varphi(x, -t)$  ( $-\infty < t < \infty$ ) for every  $x$  except at every point  $x_0$  of the infinite set  $\{x_0\}$  mentioned, expanding  $\varphi(x, t)$  in a power series about the mid-point

$x=x'$ , it is easily demonstrated that  $\phi(x, -t) = -\phi(x, t)$  ( $-\infty < t < \infty$ ) for all  $x$  sufficiently close to  $x'$  and indeed, throughout D. The function  $f_x$  (see formula ( ) and the accompanying text) produced by the transformation of the series  $f^{(2m)}$  is, when  $x \neq 0$  (when  $x \in (-\infty, 0)$ ), obtained by  $f_x(zn^{\frac{1}{2}})$ , where  $f_x$  is given by (b) and by replacing  $m$  by  $2m$  in formula ( ). Again, the function  $\psi_x$  (when  $x \in (0, \infty)$ ), given by formula ( ) yields the function  $f_x^{(2m)}$  for of formula ( ), and the further results of clause (ivb) are demonstrated in the same way.

A number of results further to those of Lemma 1 are easily derived: if  $\phi$  is constant over  $[-\infty, \infty]$  ( $\sim 0$ ) and real valued and nondecreasing there (so that  $f^{(m)}_+$  may be regarded as the same function  $f^{(m)}$ , defined over  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-\infty]$  by formula ( ))  $\sqrt{f^{(m)}}$  is some-~~constant~~ constant over  $H_-$  in the sense that in conjunction with formula ( )

$$|f^{(m+2)}(z)| \leq |(-l)_{m+1}|$$

for ~~some~~  $m, r=0, 1, \dots, n-1$   $z \in H_-$ . Furthermore, when  $z \in (-\infty, 0)$ , the partial sums  $f^{(m+r)}(z)$  oscillate about the value of  $f^{(m)}(z)$ ;  $f^{(m+2r)}(z) < f^{(m)}(z) < f^{(m+2r+1)}(z)$  for  $m, r=0, 1, \dots$ . If, in addition,  $\phi$  is also constant over  $(0, \infty]$ , then for  $z \in (-\infty, 0)$ ,

$$f^{(m+2r)}(z) \leq f^{(m+2r+1)}(z) \leq f^{(m)}(z) \leq f^{(m+2r+3)}(z) \leq f^{(m+2r+1)}(z)_L$$

and for  $z \in (0, \beta^{-1})$   $f^{(m, \infty)}(z) \leq f^{(m, \pi\alpha)}(z) \leq f^{(m)}(z)$  with  $m, \alpha = 0, 1, \dots$  in both cases. If only the moments  $f_0 = 0, 1, \dots, l$  exist, then the functions  $F^{(n)}(z)(\lambda)$  are uniformly bounded on the half-plane  $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda) \geq \delta \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda) \leq -\delta$  respectively.

$\square$  relationship  $\rightarrow$  an analogue of relationship (v) holds over  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty]$ ,  $f^{(m)}$  represents  $f^{(m)}$  asymptotically as  $z$  tends to zero in the sector  $\Delta(0, \pi)$ , and is semi-convergent

~~if~~

$\square$  if, alternatively, b) and

If  $s, t \in BV(\alpha, \infty)$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ), and  $K_0 f_0 = O((\alpha + \kappa)^{1-\frac{1}{\beta}})$  (a weaker condition than that imposed in clause (iv)) and  $b=1$ , then  $f^{(m)}$  is both  $(B'_2, 2)$  and  $(B^2)$  summable to  $f^{(m)}$  over  $(-\infty, 0)$ , and both  $(\bar{B}, 2)$  and  $(\bar{B}^2)$  summable to  $f^{(m)}$  over  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty]$  ( $m = 0, 1, \dots$ ).

Definition  $\psi \in M(M; x^-, x^+)$  means that

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{j=1}^A z^j \psi_j(z)$$

where, for  $j=1, \dots, A$

a)  $\psi_j \in A\{S(x^-, x^+)\}$  and

b) for all  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{4}(x^+ - x^-))$  and  $x' = \frac{1}{2}x^- + \delta, \frac{1}{2}x^+ - \delta$

$$\int_{x'}^{x''} \int_{x'}^{x''} \sum_{j=1}^M \psi_j(x+y) \phi(x) \phi(y) dx dy \geq 0$$

for every function  $\phi$  which is real and continuous in  $[x', x'']$

Theorem (i)  $\psi \in M(M; x^-, x^+)$  if and only if  $\psi \in A\{S(x^-, x^+)\}$

and with

$$\phi(v) = \sum_{j=1}^A v^j \phi_j(v)$$

$\phi_j$  being real valued and nondecreasing over  $(0, \infty)$  ( $j=1, \dots, A$ ),

$$\sum_{j=1}^M \psi_j(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-zv} d\phi_j(v)$$

the integral converging absolutely for  $z \in S(x^-, x^+)$ .

(ii)  $\psi \in M(M; x^-, x^+)$  if and only if  ~~$\psi$  has the decomposition~~

$$\psi(z) = \psi^+(z) + \psi^-(z) \text{ where } \psi^+ \in M(M; x^-, \infty), \psi^- \in M(M; -\infty, x^+);$$

$\psi^\pm$  then have the representations

$$\psi^\pm(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-2v} d\sigma^\pm(v), \quad \psi^\pm(z) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-2v} d\sigma^\pm(v)$$

where, in terms of formula ( ),  $\sigma(v) = \psi^\pm(v) \int_0^v v \in [0, \infty)$

(iii)  $\psi \in M(M; x^-, x^+)$  if and only if  $\psi(z) = \psi^+(z) + \psi^-(z)$  where

$$\psi^\pm(z) = \sum_{j=1}^4 i^j \psi_j^\pm(z)$$

and, with  $\psi_j \in A\{\mathcal{S}(x^-, \infty)\}$ ,  $\psi_j \in A\{\mathcal{S}(-\infty, x^+)\}$

$$(-1)^r \Delta^{M+z} \psi_j^+(x) \geq 0 \quad \Delta^{M+z} \psi_j^-(x) \geq 0$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, 4$ ,  $r = 0, 1, \dots$  and all  $x \in (x^-, \infty)$  and  $x \in (-\infty, x^+)$   
respectively;  $\psi^\pm$  are then the same functions as those of clause

(ii) a)

$$\psi_j^+(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-2v} d\sigma_j^+(v), \quad \psi_j^-(z) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-2v} d\sigma_j^-(v)$$

where  $\sigma^\pm(v) = \sum_{j=1}^4 i^j \sigma_j^\pm(v) \int_0^v v \in [0, \infty)$ .

From a theorem due to Mercer (J. Math. Soc. Japan) [1]

Proof. The function  $\sum_{\nu} \psi_{\nu} \in A\{S(x^-, x^+)\}$  and condition b) of Definition ... is satisfied if and only if  $\sum_{\nu} \psi_{\nu}$  has a representation of the form ( ) in which the appropriate function  $\psi_{\nu}$  is real valued and nondecreasing over  $(0, \infty)$ , the integral converging for  $x \in S(x^-, x^+)$ ; since  $\psi_{\nu}$  is nondecreasing, the abscissae of convergence and absolute convergence are the same. The result of clause (i) is now a consequence of the defining relationship ( ). That of clause (ii) follows from the decomposition of  $\psi$  into two functions of the form ( ).

The function  $\sum_{\nu} \psi_{\nu}^+$  too

From a theorem of Bernstein and Nödder, the functions  $\sum_{\nu} \psi_{\nu}^+$  satisfy the appropriate conditions of the form ( ) if and only if they have representations of the form ( ) as described. The result of clause (iii) now follows from that of clause (ii).

## The Euler-Maclaurin series

In this section an extension of the Euler-Maclaurin series is introduced and its properties are described.

Notation.  $\rho$  and  $\psi$  being suitable functions, set  $e_{\rho}^{(\rho, n)}$  to be the coefficients

$$e_{\rho}^{(\rho, n)} = \int_0^n \overbrace{\varPhi_{n, j}^{(n)}}^{\frac{1}{(n-j)!}} d\rho(y)$$

for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ,  $j=0, 1, \dots$ . In  $n=1, 2, \dots, j=0, 1, \dots$  let  $e_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, j)}$  and

$\hat{e}_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, j)}$  be the series

$$\sum e_{j, j, \omega}^{(\rho, n)} \varphi^{j, \omega} \psi(x) \omega^j$$

and

$$\sum e_{j+1, j, \omega}^{(\rho, n)} \varphi^{j+1, \omega} \psi(x) \omega^j$$

respectively, and for  $n=1, 2, \dots, j, \tau=0, 1, \dots$  let

$$E_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, j, \tau)}(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1} e_{j, j, \omega}^{(\rho, n)} \varphi^{j+i, \omega} \psi(x) \omega^i$$

$$\hat{E}_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, j, \tau)}(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1} e_{j+1, j, \omega}^{(\rho, n)} \varphi^{j+i, \omega} \psi(x) \omega^i$$

Theorem. Let  $\rho \in BV(0, \infty)$ , and let  $\hat{s}, s$  be a fixed the interval  $[\hat{s}, s] \subseteq [0, \infty]$  be fixed. Let  $\psi_r$  ( $r=1, \dots, 8$ ) be eight functions such that, the integer  $j \geq 0$  being fixed,

$$(-1)^j \psi_r(x) \geq 0 \quad \&$$

for  $r=1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8$  and all  $x' \in (\hat{s}, \infty)$ , and

$$\psi_r(x') \geq 0$$

for  $r=5, \dots, 8$ ;  $\tau=0, 1$ , and all  $x' \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . Let  $\psi$  be the function defined over  $(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S})$  by means of the formula

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{r=1}^8 i^r \psi_r(x)$$

and over the strip  $\mathbb{S} < \operatorname{Re}(x) < \mathbb{S}$  by analytic continuation of this function.

(i) a) For  $r=J, J+1, \dots (r>0)$ ,  $\tau=0, 1, \dots$  and  $\mathbb{S} < \operatorname{Re}(x) < \mathbb{S}$

$$e_{r+\tau}^{(p, n)} \psi(r) = i^\tau \sum_{l=1}^n \left(\frac{-l}{r}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^\tau d\sigma(p; \psi, x; n, l; t)$$

where  $\sigma(p; \psi, x; n, l) \in \mathcal{BV}(-\infty, \infty)$  is given by the formula by the formula

$$\sigma(p; \psi, x; n, l) = \frac{(-1)^{n+l}}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \binom{r-j-l}{r-n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{B}_j(y) \tilde{B}_{r-j-n}(y+tw)}{j! (r-j+n)!}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{n+l}}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \binom{r-j-l}{r-n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{B}_j(y) \tilde{B}_{r-j-n}(y+tw)}{j! (r-j+n)!} \frac{\psi(x+iw) - \psi(x)}{w} \frac{d^j w}{i^j j!} dw$$

(where  $d = d/dz$ )

for  $t \neq 0 \in (-\infty, \infty)$ , and,  $\sigma(p; \psi, x; n, l, 0) = 0$ ,

$$\psi(p; \psi, x; n, l; \pm \infty) = \frac{(-1)^{n+l}}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \binom{r-j-l}{r-n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{B}_j(y) \tilde{B}_{r-j-n}(y \mp (2\pi)^{-1} w)}{j! (r-j+n)!} e^{\pm i w} \frac{dw}{w} * \sum_{r=1}^8 \psi_r(x)$$

$$G(\rho; \psi, x; n, l; \pm\infty) = \frac{(-1)^{n-l}}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \binom{r-j-l}{r-n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{B}_j(y) \tilde{P}_{r-j+1}(y \pm (2\pi i)w)}{j!(r-j+1)!} e^{-iyw} dy dt \frac{dt}{w} \frac{dy}{w}$$

b) If the above conditions imposed upon the  $\psi_j$  hold with  $j=0$ , and  
 $|n| \leq 1$  if  $\int_0^\infty |c_j(y)| \frac{dy}{y} < \infty$ , then the representation (2) of formula  
(1, 2) also holds for  $r=0, \tau=0, 1, \dots$

(iii) Let  $E_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, j)+}$

(iv) For  $j=K, K+1, \dots (K=\max(j, r))$  let  $E_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, j)+}$  be the function defined over the strip  $\hat{s} < x < \hat{s}$  and the half-plane  $w \in H^+$  by means of the formula

$$E_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, j)+}(w) = \sum_{l=1}^n \int_{-1-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{ds G(\rho; \psi, x; n, l; t)}{(1+iwt)^l}$$

and define functions  $E_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, j)-}$  over the strip  $\hat{s} < x < \hat{s}$  and  $w \in H^-$  similarly.

Define the functions  $E_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, j)+} (j=0, 1, \dots, K-1)$  and  $j=0$  if  $J=0$ .  
 in the above) by means of the formula

$$E_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, K-r)+}(w) = e^{\frac{(\rho, n)}{J-r} \cdot \frac{iK-r}{2} + (n) + iw} E_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, K-r+1)+}(w)$$

for  $r=1, \dots, K$ , and the functions  $E_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, j)-} (j=0, 1, \dots, K-1)$  similarly

a) For ~~every~~ fixed  $w \in H^+$ , the functions  $E_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, j)\pm}(w)$  have a representation of the form (1, 2) as described (with different  $\eta_n$ )

above with  $j=0$ ; the functions for  $j=0, 1, \dots, k-1$  they have a representation are described with  $j=k-j$ . A similar result holds for the functions  $E_{\rho; u; \infty}^{(n, j)-}$ .

b) For  $j, \tau = 0, 1, \dots, \hat{s} < \operatorname{Re}(x) < \hat{s}$  and  $\omega \in H^+$

$$E_{\rho; u; \infty}^{(n, j)+}(\omega) = E_{\rho; u; x}^{(n, j; \omega)}(\omega) + \omega^\tau E_{\rho; u; \infty}^{(n, j+\tau)+}(\omega)$$

and a similar form relationship holds for the functions  $E_{\rho; u; x}^{(n, j)-}$

c) For  $j = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $\hat{s} < \operatorname{Re}(x) < \hat{s}$

$$E_{\rho; u; \infty}^{(n, j)+}(\omega) \sim E_{\rho; u; x}^{(n, j)}(\omega)$$

as  $\omega$  tends to zero in  $\Delta(-\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$ ;  $E_{\rho; u; \infty}^{(n, j)}$  also represents  $E_{\rho; u; \infty}^{(n, j)-}$  asymptotically in a similar sense over  $\Delta(\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{3}{2}\pi)$ .

d) If the conditions of clause (ib) hold,  $E_{\rho; u; \infty}^{(n, 0)\pm}(\omega)$  have by the form direct representations of the form ( ), and the use of formulae ( )  
such as the form to define these functions of the form ( ) to define these functions may be dispensed with.

(iii) For  $0 < x \leq n$  either

A: Let  $\rho^{(n-y)} = \rho(y)$

B: Let  $\rho^{(n-y)} = \varphi_0(\frac{y}{2}) - \rho(y)$

a)  $\int e_{2j+1}^{(\rho, n)} = 0$  ( $j=0, 1, \dots$ ) in case A, and  $e_{2j+2}^{(\rho, n)} = 0$  in case B ( $j=0, 1, \dots$ )

(iii) Let  $m' = m \bmod(2)$ . For  $0 \leq x < n$ , either

A: let  $\rho(n, y) = \rho(y)$  or

B: let  $\rho(n, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \rho(y+j)$

a)  $\varepsilon_{2n+n'}^{(\rho, n)} = 0$  in case A, and  $\varepsilon_{2n+n'+1}^{(\rho, n)} = 0$  in case B ( $j=0, 1, \dots$ )

b) In equation ( )

$$(*) \quad \omega(\rho; \psi, x; m, m+l; t) = -\omega(\rho; \psi, x; m, m, l; t)$$

for  $l=1, \dots, n$ ,  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and all  $m > 0$  for which  $m-n$  is odd in case A and  $m-n$  is even in case B

c) Let the functions  $E_{\rho+4, \omega}^{(n, j)}$  be as defined in clause (ii), and let  $x \in R(S_n^{\infty})$

In case A:

a) For  $j=0, 1$ :

$$E_{\rho+4, \omega}^{(n, 2j+n')}(\omega) = \omega E_{\rho+4, \omega}^{(n, 2j+n+1)}$$

b) For  $j \geq 2$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $j \neq -1, 0$ :  $j=1-n', 2-n', \dots, 2=0, 1, \dots$   
 $E_{\rho+4, \omega}^{(n, 2j+n')}(\omega) = E_{\rho+4, \omega}^{(n, 2j+n+1)}(\omega) + \omega E_{\rho+4, \omega}^{(n, 2j+2+n')}(\omega)$

c) For  $j \leq -2$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $j \neq -1, 0$ :  $j+1-n', 2-n', \dots$

$$E_{\rho+4, \omega}^{(n, 2j+n')}(\omega) = E_{\rho+4, \omega}^{(n, 2j+n+1)}(\omega)$$

as  $\omega$  tends to zero in  $\Delta(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  consistently,

In case B the result is ~~also~~ valid with  $j$  replaced by  $2j+1$ , and the results for  $j$  with  $2j+1$  replaced by  $2j$ .

d) If for conditions ( ) hold, relationship (+) holds for all  $m \geq 0$   
for which  $m-n$  is odd in case A or  $m-n$  is odd in case B.

b) Let the functions  $E_{\rho; \gamma, x}^{(n, j)\pm}$  be as defined in clause (ii), and let  $\hat{s} < \operatorname{Re}(z) < \tilde{s}$ .

In case A

i) For  $j = 0, 1, \dots$

$$E_{\rho; \gamma, x}^{(n, 2j+1)}(\omega) = \omega E_{\rho; \gamma, x}^{(n, 2j+2)}(\omega)$$

ii) For  $j, \tau = 0, 1, \dots$

$$E_{\rho; \gamma, x}^{(n, 2j)}(\omega) = \hat{E}_{\rho; \gamma, x}^{(n, 2j+1)}(\omega) + \omega^{\frac{1}{2}} E_{\rho; \gamma, x}^{(n, 2j+2)}(\omega)$$

iii) For  $j = 0, 1, \dots$

$$E_{\rho; \gamma, x}^{(n, 2j)}(\omega) \sim \hat{E}_{\rho; \gamma, x}^{(n, 2j)}(\omega)$$

as  $\omega$  tends to zero in  $\Delta(-\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$

In case B the above results (a)-(c) hold with  $2j$  replaced consistently by  $2j+1$

c) If, in case B,  $d\rho(u) = 0$ , then  $E_0^{(P, n)} = 0$  also, and

$E_{\rho; \gamma, x}^{(n, 0)}(\omega) = \omega E_{\rho; \gamma, x}^{(n, 1)}(\omega)$ ; furthermore, condition (?) automatically holds??

(iv) Functions  $E_{\rho; \gamma, x}^{(n, j)-}$  may be defined over  $H^-$  by a formula similar to ( ), and to all of the above results stated for  $E_{\rho; \gamma, x}^{(n, j)+}$  over  $H^+$  also there corresponds a result holding for  $E_{\rho; \gamma, x}^{(n, j)-}$  holding over  $H^-$ ; the asymptotic results corresponding to ( , ) hold over the sectors  $\Delta(\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{3}{2}\pi)$ ,  $H^-$ :  $E^+(\omega) = E^-(\omega)$ .

Proof. It follows from a result of Hansdorff [1], S. Bernstein [1] and Widder that the conditions (1) and (2) imply that

$$\sum_{j=0}^J \psi^{(j)}(x) = (-1)^j \int_0^\infty e^{-xv} d\sigma^{(j)}(v) \quad (j=0)$$

for  $x=1, \dots, 4$  and  $\Re x < \infty$ , and

$$\sum_{j=0}^J \psi^{(j)}(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{xv} d\sigma^{(j)}(v)$$

for  $x=5, \dots, 8$  and  $-\infty < x < \infty$ . The function represented where the  $\sigma^{(j)}$  are real valued and nondecreasing over  $[0, \infty]$  and such that the indicated integrals exist for the stated values of  $x$ . The functions represented by formulae (1), (2) are analytic for  $\Re x < \infty$  and  $-\cos \rho(x) < \Re x < \infty$  respectively, and their successive derivatives are represented by absolutely convergent integral expressions obtained by differentiation of the exponential functions involved. Changing the variable of integration in formula (1), and using formula (2), it follows that for  $\Re x < \infty$

$$\sum_{j=0}^J \psi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-xv} d\sigma(v)$$

where

$$\sigma(v) = (-1)^J \sum_{i=1}^J i^J \sigma^{(i)}(v) + \sum_{i=5}^8 i^J \sigma^{(i)}(v)$$

and hence that for  $j = J+1, \dots, 8$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{J+i} \psi(x) = (-1)^{J+i} \int_{-\infty}^\infty v^i e^{-xv} d\sigma(v)$$

where " $\text{ds}(\psi; x; j, v) = (-1)^{j-j} v^j e^{-xv} d(v)$ " ( $-\infty < v < \infty$ ), and  $\epsilon(\psi, j) \in \mathcal{BV}(-\infty, \infty)$ .

It follows from the result of Lemma 2, clause (ii) that

$$\epsilon_{m+1}^{(p, n)} = i^{-p} \sum_{l=1}^n \binom{-l}{l} \int_{-1/(2\pi)}^{1/(2\pi)} u^l \text{ds}(p; m, l, \cancel{\text{m}}, n; u)$$

The function

$$\epsilon(p; m, l, m; u) = \frac{(-1)^{n-l}}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-l} \binom{n+m-j-l-1}{m-1} (-1)^j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^m \frac{B_j(y) B_{n+m-j}(y+u) e^{iyu}}{j! (n+m-j)!} \frac{dy}{u} du$$

has a saltus of magnitude

$$(-1)^{n-l} i^{-n-m} u^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-l} \binom{n+m-j-l-1}{m-1} \int_0^m \frac{\tilde{B}_j^{(n)}(y) e^{iyu}}{j!} c(dy) (iu)^{-l}$$

at the point  $u = (2\pi)^{-1}$  ( $j = \dots -1, 0, 1, \dots$ ) and no other point

of variation in the range  $-(2\pi)^{-1} \leq u \leq 2\pi$  and hence  $\epsilon(p; m, l, m) \in \mathcal{BV}(-\infty, \infty)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ ) (see formulae (1), (2)).

It follows from the result of clause (ii) of Lemma 2 that for  $n, m = 1, 2, \dots, 0, 1, \dots$

$$(+)\epsilon_{m+1}^{(p, n)} = i^{-p} \sum_{l=1}^n \binom{-l}{l} \int_{-1/(2\pi)}^{1/(2\pi)} u^l \text{ds}(p; m, l, m; u).$$

$n, m = 1, 2, \dots, 0, 1, \dots$

Hence

$$e_{m,n}^{(\rho, n)} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} q_l(u) = i \sum_{l=1}^n (-l) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{f_{\text{vector}}(\rho; n, l, m, u)}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v dt \phi(t, x; m, v)$$

or, setting  $t=uv$ , formula ( ) holds as described, with

$$\phi(\rho; u, x; m, l; t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(\rho; m, l, m; \frac{t}{v}) d\phi(t, x; m, v)$$

Replacing  $\phi(\rho; m, l, m; \frac{t}{v})$  and  $\phi(t, x; m, v)$  by

inserting the expressions ( , ) derived for  $\phi(\rho; m, l, m; \frac{t}{v})$  and  $\phi(t, x; m, v)$  in the above formula, and replacing the variable  $t$  integration w by  $vw$  in formula ( ), formula ( ) is derived.

If the auxiliary condition of clause (ib) also holds, the sum of the absolute values of the terms ( ) over the range  $-(2n)^{-1} \leq n \leq n \leq (2n)^{-1}$  is bounded when  $m=0$ , and  $\phi(\rho; n, l, 0) \in BV(-(\pi)^{-1}, (\pi)^{-1})$ .

( $n=1, 2, \dots ; l=1, \dots, n$ )

If  $\int = 0$  in the initial conditions of the theorem, formulae ( ; ) & also hold when  $m=0$  for these values of  $n$  and  $l$ , and the result of clause (ib) follows.

Setting  $r=0$  in formula

Taking  $\phi(t)$  in the result of clause (i) & Lemma 1<sub>2</sub> to be  $\phi(\rho; u, x; n, l, t)$  and setting  $r=1, \sqrt{n}$  in that result, it follows that for a fixed  $n \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S})$ , the function  $E_{\rho, \phi, x}^{(n, i)}$  of formula ( ) is

analytic over  $H^+(n=1,2,\dots, j=1,2,\dots)$ . Furthermore, formula

( ) may be rewritten as

$$E_{p;0,x}^{(n,j)+}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\omega v} d\tilde{g}(v)$$

where

$$d\tilde{g}(v) = (-1)^m \int_0^m \sum_{l=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{(1+i\omega v t)} g_0(n,l,m;t) ds(v)$$

Since  $(1+i\omega v t)^{-l}$  is uniformly bounded for fixed  $\omega \in H^+$  and  $v, t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ , and  $g$  has the decomposition established in the proof ( ), it follows where the  $g^{(r)}$  are such that formulae  $\Rightarrow$  the former  $\Leftarrow$  absolutely convergent integral representations of the form ( ) hold when  $r=1,\dots,4$  and corresponding representations which when  $r=5,\dots,8$ , with  $x \in S(\hat{s}, \check{s})$  in both cases, it follows that  $\tilde{g}$  also has a decomposition of the form ( ), where the ~~and~~ appropriate  $g^{(r)}$  also have properties similar to those implied by the representation ( ). The first result of clause (iiia) follows immediately. The second, by  $r$ -fold differentiation of relationship ( ).

The result of clause (iib) follows partly from the way in which the functions  $E_{p;0,x}^{(n,j)+}$  have been defined when  $j < k$  (namely by use of relationship ( )) and partly from an extension of the result of clause ( ) of Lemma 1.

~~When  $j > k$ ,~~  
The result(s) clause (ii) follows by considering linear combinations of functions with which the multi-j clause (iiib) of Lemma 1 is associated. The further results, concerning the case in which  $j < k$ ,

~~Again, the result of clause (iid) is a consequence.~~

follows from the remark that if the series  $\sum c_i f_{d,i} z^i$  represents the function  $f(z)$  asymptotically in a certain sector, the series  $\sum c_i f_{d,i} z^i$  represents the function  $f_0 + zf(z)$  in the same way.

~~Defining the result of clause (iid) is a consequence of that of clause (iib).~~

~~The~~ ~~the~~ ~~case~~ that the case coefficients  $c_{j,j}^{(p,n)}$  have the special forms described in clause (iiia) follows from formula ( ).  
~~The results of clauses b(iib-a) are immediate results of clause b(iib) following~~  
~~from those of clauses (iii a,b) of Lemma 1.~~

~~The periodic~~ Although the periodic Bernoulli functions  $B_{2n}^{(p)}$  satisfy relationship ( ) for  $n \geq 1$  (with  $d=n$ ) for  $0 < \alpha < n$ , they have a saltire at the point this relationship is false when  $z=2\pi$  ( $d=-1, 0, 1, \dots$ ). However, by imposing the condition  $c_{p,0}(0)$  (which implies that  $c_{p,(2n)} = 0$  ( $d=-1, 0, 1, \dots$ )), it follows that  $c_{2n}^{(p,n)} = 0$  when  $d \neq 0$  also, not only when  $d > 0$  but also when  $d < 0$ .

Functions  $E_{p;n,x}^{(n,j)}$  are equally well defined on  $\mathbb{H}$  by a formula analogous to ( ), and the results stated in clause (ii) follows immediately.

In case A

in case A

If follows from formulae (i, ii) that ~~is correct for all  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$~~  for all  $t \in (-1, n+1)$

so  $\sigma(\rho; \psi, x; r, l; t)$  is expressible for all  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$  in the form

$$2(-1)^{n-1} i^{n-m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m \left[ \binom{n+m-2j-1}{m-1} (-1)^j \binom{j}{m-j} \int_0^{2n} \frac{B_{2j}^{(n)}(y)}{(2j)!} \sin(2\pi y) d\varphi(y) \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left[ \binom{n+m-2j-1}{m-1} (-1)^j \binom{j}{m-j} \int_0^{2n} \frac{B_{2j+1}^{(n)}(y)}{(2j+1)!} \cos(2\pi y) d\varphi(y) \right]$$

$$2(-1)^{n-1} i^{n-m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m \left[ \binom{\frac{1}{2}(n-l)}{m-j} \binom{n+m-2j-l-1}{m-1} (-1)^j \binom{j}{m-j} \int_0^{2n} t_j^{(l)}(y) d\varphi(y) \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{j=0}^m \left[ \binom{\frac{1}{2}(n-l)}{m-j} \binom{n+m-2j-l-1}{m-1} (-1)^j \binom{j}{m-j} \int_0^{2n} t_j^{(l)}(y) \left\{ \sigma(\psi, x; m; 2\pi y t) + (-1)^{n-m} \sigma(\psi, x; m; -2\pi y t) \right\} d\varphi(y) \right]$$

where  $t_j^{(l)}(y) = \frac{1}{2}$  where

$$t_j^{(l)}(y) = \int_0^{2n} \frac{B_{2j}^{(n)}(y)}{(2j)!} \sin(2\pi y) d\varphi(y), \quad t_j^{(l)}(y) = \int_0^{2n} \frac{B_{2j+1}^{(n)}(y)}{(2j+1)!} \cos(2\pi y) d\varphi(y)$$

in expression ( )

In case B, a similar formula holds:  $i^{n-m+1}$  and  $(-1)^{n-m}$  must be replaced by  $i^{-m}$  and  $(-1)^{n-m+1}$ , and  $\sin(2\pi y)$  and  $\cos(2\pi y)$  must be replaced by  $\cos(2\pi y)$  and  $-\sin(2\pi y)$  respectively. The results of clause (iii b) are evidently correct. The successive results stated in clause (iii c) follows from those of clauses (iii a, b) of Lemma 1.

Theorem . Let  $n, p, \alpha, \beta$  and the functions  $\{E_{\rho; \alpha, x}^{(n, j) \pm}\}$  be as described in Theorem , and let  $x \in S(\hat{s}, \tilde{s})$

- (i) For  $j = 0, 1, \dots$
- $\sum_{\rho; \alpha, x}^{(n, j)}$  is a) summable  $(B'_1)$  to  $E_{\rho; \alpha, x}^{(n, j) +}$  over  $[0, \infty)$  and to  $E_{\rho; \alpha, x}^{(n, j) -}$  over  $(-\infty, 0]$  and b) summable  $(\bar{B}_1)$  to  $E_{\rho; \alpha, x}^{(n, j) +}$  over  $H^+$ , and to  $E_{\rho; \alpha, x}^{(n, j) -}$  over  $H^-$  with  $n \equiv n' \pmod{2}$  and let  $n' = n + m(j)$ . In case  $n' \neq n \pmod{2}$  the conditions of clause (ii) of Theorem hold.
  - Let the conditions of clause (i) of Theorem hold. In case A of that clause and for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 1-m', 2-m', \dots$ ,  $E_{\rho; \alpha, x}^{(n, 2jm'-1)}$  is a) summable  $(B'_2)$  and summable  $(\bar{B}^2)$  to  $E_{\rho; \alpha, x}^{(n, 2jm'-1) +}$  over  $[0, \infty)$  and to  $E_{\rho; \alpha, x}^{(n, 2jm'-1) -}$  over  $(-\infty, 0]$  and b) summable  $(\bar{B}_2)$  and summable  $(\bar{B}^2)$  to  $E_{\rho; \alpha, x}^{(n, 2jm'-1) +}$  over  $H^+$ , and to  $E_{\rho; \alpha, x}^{(n, 2jm'-1) -}$  over  $H^-$ .

In case B, the above results hold with  $\beta_j$  replaced consistently by  $2\beta_{j+1}$ .

- (ii) The results corresponding to those of clause (i) may be formulated for the functions  $E_{\rho; \alpha, x}^{(n, j) \pm}$  by replacing  $[0, \infty)$  and  $H^+$  by  $(-\infty, 0]$  and  $H^-$ ; results corresponding to those of clause (ii) for these functions may be obtained in a similar manner.

Proof. For formula (4)  $\epsilon_{m+j}^{(p,n)} \stackrel{(m+j)}{=} \epsilon$  is expressible as the sum of  $k$  linear combinations  $\epsilon_j^{(\ell)}$  ( $\ell = 1, \dots, m$ ) for each of which an order

Proof. Setting

$$\epsilon_j^{(\ell)} = i^{-\ell} \left( -\ell \right)_j^{\infty}$$

relationship of the form  $\epsilon_j^{(\ell)} = O((2\pi)^{-\ell})$  holds. Since  $\psi$  is analytic over  $S(\hat{s}, \tilde{s})$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^\ell \psi(x) = O(\omega! s^{-\ell})$ , where  $\delta \in (0, \min\{Re(z) - \hat{s}, \tilde{s} - Re(z)\})$ . Hence for  $m < \max(1, j)$ ,  $\epsilon_{p+s,z}^{(n,m)}$  may be expressed as a linear combination of  $m$  series of the type considered in clause (i) (with  $s = i\omega$ ) by Lemma ..., and is  $(B', 1)$  summable as described in clause (ia) by the present theorem. If the series  $\sum f_{\alpha i}$  is summable  $(B', 1)$  to  $S$ , the series  $\sum f_{\alpha i}$  is  $(B', 1)$  summable to  $f_0 + i(L \lceil j \rceil)$ . Use of this property of  $(B', 1)$  summability extends the result proved so far to values of  $m$  nonnegative values of  $m < \max(1, j)$ ; and clause (ia) has been dealt with. The remaining results of the theorem are demonstrated in the same way.

signs (ii) the partial sums of  $\hat{\xi}_{\psi, \mu}^{(B)}$  oscillate about  $R_{\tilde{p}, 4, 0}^{(2j, 0)}$  and (iii).

$\hat{\xi}_{\psi, \mu}^{(B)}$  is semi-convergent  $|R_{\tilde{p}, 4, 0}^{(2j, 2j)}(1)| + \infty$  in the sense that  
~~(for some restriction)~~

$$|R_{\tilde{p}, 4, 0}^{(2j, 2j)}(1)| < \left| \frac{B_{2j+2}}{(2j+2)!} \psi(n) \right| \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots)$$

Hardy (in [3]) has also shown that without the above restrictions  
a-d) upon  $\psi$ , but with  $\psi$  assumed analytic in the finite  
part of the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(n) > -\delta$  ( $\delta \in (0, \infty)$ ) and  $\psi(n) = O(n^s)$   
for large  $n$  in this region, the intercalated version of  $\hat{\xi}_{\psi, \mu}^{(k)}$

$$\frac{B_{2j+2}}{(2j+2)!} \psi(n) + 0 + \frac{B_{2j+4}}{(2j+4)!} \psi(n) + 0 + \dots$$

(this is simply  $\hat{\xi}_{\tilde{p}, 4, \mu}^{(2j)}$  with the zero terms reinserted and the argument set equal to unity) is  $(B'_1)$  summable to  $R_{\tilde{p}, 4, 0}^{(2j, 0)}$   
at the point  $\psi(1)$  (in a sense readily to be understood  
from the <sup>theory</sup> description of  $(B'_1)$  summability given in §2, and  
referring to a which refers to a sector of  $(B'_1)$  summability  
over a sector, rather than at a single point). He remarks that  
 $\hat{\xi}_{\psi, \mu}^{(j)}$  itself is  $(B^2)$  summable, when  $\mu = 0$ ,  $(B^2)$  summable (again  
in a sense slightly different from that of §2) to

Theorem . Let  $[\hat{s}, \tilde{s}] \subset [-\infty, \infty]$  and  $x \in (\hat{s}, \tilde{s})$ , let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$  be two functions, such that, the integer  $J \geq 0$  being fixed,  $\varphi_1$  satisfies ~~that~~ conditions of the  $\lim(\cdot)$  over  $(\hat{s}, \infty)$  and  $\varphi_2$  conditions of the  $\lim(\cdot)$  over  $(-\infty, \tilde{s})$ . With  $m \geq \max(1, J)$  and  $\phi' \in [0, 2\pi)$ , let  $\varphi(x) = e^{i\phi'} \{ \varphi_1(x) + (-1)^{m-J} \varphi_2(x) \}$ . With  $\rho$  to be further specified below, let the functions  $F_{\rho; \varphi, x}^{(n, m)}$  be as defined in Theorem clause ( ) of Theorem . Let  $\phi'' \in [0, 2\pi)$  and  $n' = n \bmod(2)$ .

(i) Let  $\rho(n-y) = \rho(y)$  for  $0 \leq y \leq n$ , and

$$e^{-i\phi''} (-1)^j \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}n} B_{2j}^{(n)}(y) \sin(2\pi y) d\rho(y), e^{-i\phi''} (-1)^j \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}n} B_{2j+1}^{(n)}(y) \cos(2\pi y) d\rho(y)$$

~~for all  $j, n > 0$~~

be real and nonnegative for  $j=1, 2, \dots$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n$  in the second. Let  $m = 2j+n'-1$  in the first integral at  $j=0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{1}{2}n-1 \rfloor$  in the second. Let  $m = 2j+n'-1$

a) The series  $E_{\rho; \varphi, x}^{(n, m)}$  is semi convergent over  $\bar{\Delta}(-\frac{1}{4}\pi, \frac{1}{4}\pi)$

in the sense that ~~for  $j \geq m$~~  relationship ( ) holds and

$$|\omega^{2r} E_{\rho; \varphi, x}^{(n, m+2r)}(\omega)| \leq |e^{(n, r)}| \omega^{m+2r} |\varphi(x)|.$$

when  $\omega \in \bar{\Delta}(-\frac{1}{4}\pi, \frac{1}{4}\pi)$ , ( $r=0, 1, \dots$ )

b) When  $\omega \in [0, \infty)$ , the partial sums of  $E_{\rho; \varphi, x}^{(n, m)}$  oscillate about the value  $\mathbb{E}_{\rho; \varphi, x}^{(n, m)+}(u)$ : setting  $c = \exp[i\{\frac{1}{2}(m-n') - \phi' - \phi''\}]$

$$c = \exp \left[ i \frac{\pi}{2} (n+m) - \phi' - \phi'' \right]$$

$$c E_{\rho; u, x}^{(n, m; 2\pi)}(\omega) < c E_{\rho; u, x}^{(n, m)}(\omega) < c E_{\rho; u, x}^{(n, m; 2\pi)}(\omega)$$

for  $\omega_0, 1, \dots$ , where  $\omega_0$  for  $\tau = 0, 1, \dots$

c) If the conditions of clause (i) of Theorem 8 hold, if  $J=0$  and ~~and~~  $n$  is odd, the results of a), b) above also hold with  $m=0$ .

(ii) Let  $\rho(n-y) = 2^y \rho\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) - \rho(y)$  for  $0 \leq y \leq n$ , and let the values

$$\epsilon \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}n} \sum_j \rho_j(y) \cos(2\pi y j) dy \right), \quad \epsilon^{\text{if}} =$$

of the integrals (1), with  $\cos(2\pi y j)$  and  $\cos(2\pi y j')$  interchanged and  $(-1)^j$  replaced by  $(-1)^{j+j'}$  in the second, be nonnegative as described in connection with expression (1). Let  $m = 2^j + n'$  ( $j \geq 1-n'$ ). For the  $a, c$  (with  $n$  even in the case  $J=0$ ,  $m \neq 0$ ) newly defined value of  $m$ , the results a) ~~b)~~ above hold.

(iii) Results similar to those stated above also hold with regard to the functions  $E_{\rho, u, x}^{(n, m; 2\pi)}$  etc over  $\bar{\Delta}(\frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{5\pi}{4})$  and  $(-\infty, 0]$ .

Proof. The function  $e^{\frac{i\pi}{2}m} \psi(x)$  has a representation of the form (1).

Proof. The function  $(-1)^{m+J} e^{i\phi'} \psi(x)$  has a representation of the form (1) in which  $\phi$  is nondecreasing over  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . Subject to the conditions stated in clause (i), it follows from formulae (1) that after ~~the~~ differentiation in formula (1),  $C \in (\rho; u, x; n, m, l, t)$

is non-decreasing for  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , for  $l=1, \dots, n$ . The methods of proof used to establish the results of clause (i) of Lemma 1 are easily extended so as to concern linear combinations of series of the type  $\sigma_l$ , with positive real coefficients, of the series of the type considered, and the results of clause (ii) of the present theorem follow immediately.

When  $\hat{\psi}(0) = \hat{\psi}(1) = 0$ ,  $\hat{\psi}(x) = -\frac{1}{2}$  ( $0 < x < 1$ ), the coefficients of even powers of the argument in the  $\hat{\psi}_{k,\mu}^{(j)}$  are identically zero, and this series becomes the restricted Euler-Maclaurin series

$$\hat{\psi}_{k,\mu}^{(0)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2n+2}}{(2n+2)!} \hat{\psi}^{2n+2}(n)$$

This series, and its delayed form,

$$\hat{\psi}_{k,\mu}^{(k)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k+2n+2}}{(2k+2n+2)!} \hat{\psi}^{2k+2n+2}(n)$$

( $1 < k < \infty$ ) have been studied in detail by Hardy (L 35).

Using properties of the periodic Bernoulli polynomials, he showed that if a)  $\hat{\psi}(n)$  is real for  $0 \leq n < \infty$  and, b)-for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , b)  $\sum \hat{\psi}^{2j+1}(n)$  tends to zero as  $n$  tends to infinity, c)  $\int_0^\infty |\hat{\psi}^{2j+2}(n)| dn < \infty$ , and d)  $\hat{\psi}^{2j+2}(n)$  is of fixed sign for all  $n \in [0, \infty)$  (this condition implies (see the footnote to p327 of L 3) that, depending upon the sign of  $\hat{\psi}^{2j+2}(n)$ , either  $(-\hat{\psi})^j \hat{\psi}(n) \geq 0$  for all  $n \in [0, \infty)$  and  $j = 2j+1$ ,  $2j+2, \dots$  or  $(-\hat{\psi})^j \hat{\psi}(n) < 0$  for these  $n$  and  $j$ ) the following results hold:

(i) when  $\mu = 0$  and  $k = 1$  the terms of  $\hat{\psi}_{k,\mu}^{(j)}$  alternate in

Let  $\rho$  be as described in Theorem 1.

Theorem . With  $\Re s = -\infty$  and  $\Im s = \infty$ , let the functions  $\psi_z$  and  $\psi$  be as described in Theorem , and let an interval  $[z_1, z_2] \subset (-\infty, \infty)$  exist such that  $\psi_z(-\infty) = O(e^{z_1 x})$ ,  $\psi_z(\infty) = O(e^{-z_2 x})$  for

with  $\psi = \psi_z$  for the resulting function

large real positive real  $x$  ( $z=1, \dots, 8$ ). Let  $\beta = \max\{|a_1, \beta^*\}|/2\pi$ .

if formula ( ), let  $E_{\rho, \psi, x}^{(n, m)}$  be as defined in then ( $\ell=1, \dots, n$ ) in formula ( )

(i) The functions  $\delta(\rho; \psi, x; n, m, \ell)$  are constant over  $[-\infty, \infty)$

and  $(\gamma, \infty]$  ( $m = \gamma, \gamma+1, \dots (m > 0)$ ), and if  $\gamma = 0$  and conditions ( )

also hold, this is also true of the functions  $\delta(\rho; \psi, x; n, 0, \ell)$ .

(ii) <sup>For  $m \geq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n+1) \rfloor$ .</sup> The functions  $E_{\rho, \psi, x}^{(n, m)}$  are the same function in the sense that  $E_{\rho, \psi, x}^{(n, m)}+$  is obtained from  $E_{\rho, \psi, x}^{(n, m)}$  by analytic continuation across

<sup>for  $m \geq \lfloor \gamma \rfloor$ ,</sup> the segment  $i(-\gamma, \gamma)$  of the imaginary axis, and thus function has

the representation

$$E_{\rho, \psi, x}^{(n, m)}(w) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \int_{-\gamma}^{\gamma} \frac{ds(\rho; \psi, x; n, m, \ell)}{(1 - iwt)^{\ell}}$$

(iii) a)  $E_{\rho, \psi, x}^{(n, m)}$  converges to  $E_{\rho, \psi, x}^{(n, m)}$  over  $\mathbb{D}_{\psi, x}$  ( $m = 0, 1, \dots$ )

(iv) ~~Let  $n = n' \bmod(2)$ . Let  $\psi$  be as described in Theorem , and~~

~~Let  $n = n' \bmod(2)$  and  $m = m'$ .~~

a) Let  $\rho(n-y) = \rho(y)$  for  $0 \leq y \leq n$ . Then for  $m = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + j$  and  $m-2j+n'-1$  ( $j \geq 1-n'$ ) then  $\forall w \in (-\gamma, \gamma)$ , the partials even and odd order

~~partial sums of~~  $\hat{E}_{\rho, \psi, x}^{(n, m)}$  are members of monotonically increasing and decreasing sequences respectively in the sense that, for  $s = 0, 1, \dots$

b) Let  $\rho(n-j) = \rho(j)$  for  $0 \leq j < n$ . Then for  $j=1-n', 2-n'$ , ...,  $\sum_{p>4,x}^{(n, 2j+n'-1)}$

converges to  $E_{p>4,x}^{(n, 2j+n'-1)}$  over  $D_{\#1/2}$ .

c) Let  $\rho(n-j) = 2\rho(\frac{j}{2}) - \rho(j)$ , the preceding result with  $2j$  replaced by  $2j+1$  holds.

(iv) Let the conditions of clause (i) of Theorem — be satisfied, with  $m$  and  $c$  as in that clause.

a) When  $w \in (-\infty, \infty)$  the even and odd order partial sums of  $\sum_{p>4,x}^{(n,m)}$  are members of ~~monotonically increasing and decreasing sequences of~~ sequences of arbitrary senses opposing type respectively, in the sense that the sense that

$$cE_{p>4,x}^{(n,m;2z-1)}(w) < cE_{p>4,x}^{(n,m;2z+1)}(w) < cE_{p>4,x}^{(n,m)}(w) < cE_{p>4,x}^{(n,m;2z+2)}(w) < cE_{p>4,x}^{(n,m;2z)}(w)$$

for  $z=0, 1, \dots$

b) When  $w \in i(-\infty, 48)$ , the partial sums of  $\sum_{p>4,x}^{(n,m)}$  form a monotonic sequence in the sense that

$$cE_{p>4,x}^{(n,m,z-1)}(w) < cE_{p>4,x}^{(n,m,z)}(w) < cE_{p>4,x}^{(n,m)}(w)$$

for  $z=0, 1, \dots$

(v) Let the conditions of clause (ii) of Theorem — be satisfied, with  $m$  and  $c$  as in that clause. Then the, with these modifications, the results of (a), b) above hold.

c) If the conditions (i) of Theorem — also hold,  $\int_0^{\pi/2} \omega^{-m} d\omega$   
the results of a, b) above also hold with  $m=0$

Proof. Under the conditions imposed upon the  $\psi_{\bar{z}}$ , formula (1) may be replaced by

$$\psi_{\bar{z}}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{-z} e^{-zv} d\psi_{\bar{z}}(v).$$

The function  $\psi_{\bar{z}}$  occurring in formula (1) is constant over  $(-\infty, \alpha)$  and  $(\beta, \infty)$ . The result of clause (i) now follows directly from formula (1). That the functions  $E_{p+q, x}^{(n, m) \pm}$  ( $m, n \geq 1$ ) both have a representation of the form (1) now follows from formula (1). The function  $E_{p+q, x}^{(m, m)}$  so defined is analytic over  $D_{1/2} \cap D(\gamma_0)$  and analytic continuation outside of this function occurs over the segment  $i(-\gamma^{-1}, \gamma^{-1})$ , if course possible. For  $m < k$ ,  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E_{p+q, x}^{(m, m) \pm}$  is a linear function of  $E_{p+q, x}^{(n, m) \pm}$  with coefficients polynomials in  $n, m$  as coefficients; but  $E_{p+q, x}^{(n, m) \pm}$  reduce to a single function (or all nonnegative  $m$ ).  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E_{p+q, x}^{(m, m)}$  thus reduces to the Taylor expansion of  $E_{p+q, x}^{(m, m)}$  and naturally converges to the value of this function over  $D(\gamma_0)$ . This is the result of clause (ii),  $a$ . The results of clauses (iii) are refinements of those of (made possible by the more restrictions imposed upon  $\psi_{\bar{z}}$ ) of those of clauses (i, ii). Theorems (i, ii) are derived in the same way.

Theorem. Let  $\phi, [\hat{s}, \hat{t}], \gamma_1$ , and  $\psi$  be as defined in Theorem 3, and  $x \in \mathfrak{S}(\hat{s}, \hat{t})$ .

- i) Let  $\omega_\phi$  be nonanalytic over an interval of the form  $[x, \infty)$  ( $x < 0$ ) in any one of the integral representations for  $\Sigma^0 \psi_2(x)$  of formulae (1). The imaginary axis is a natural barrier for all functions  $E_{\rho; u, x}^{(n, m)+}$  defined in clause (1) of Theorem 3: analytic continuation of these functions across this boundary is impossible.
- ii) In all of the representations for  $\Sigma^0 \psi_2(x)$  of formulae (1) let  $\omega_\phi$  be analytic over  $(-\infty, 0]$  the sector  $\Delta(-\phi, \phi)$ , with  $\lim \{\sqrt{y} \omega_\phi(y)\}$   $\neq 0$ ,  $\ell$   $\omega_\phi$  being arbitrarily large  $y \in (0, \infty)$  being arbitrarily large, with  $y \in (0, \infty)$  arbitrary,  $y \rightarrow \infty$   $\nu$  tends to infinity in this sector.
- The function  $E_{\rho; u, x}^{(n, m)}$  may be defined in clause (1) of Theorem 3 by analytic continuation across both the positive and negative parts of the imaginary axis ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ).  
Denoting by  $E_{\rho; u, x}^{(n, m)+}$  the functions directly defined over  $\mathbb{H}^+$  in clause (1) of Theorem 3 and also obtained by analytic continuation as above,  $E_{\rho; u, x}^{(n, m)+}$  is analytic over  $\Delta(-\frac{1}{2}\pi - \phi, \frac{1}{2}\pi + \phi)$ , and

$E_{p,4,x}^{(n,m)}$  represents this function asymptotically as its argument tends to zero in this sector ( $m > 0, l = -$ ).

c) With  $E_{p,4,x}^{(n,m)-}$  similarly defined, if this function is analytic over  $\Delta\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi-\phi, \frac{3}{2}\pi+\phi\right)$ , and  $E_{p,4,x}^{(m,n)}$  also represents this function as above over this sector ( $m < 0, l = -$ )

(i) Let  $n' = m \text{ mod } (2)$ . If  $\rho(n-y) = \rho(y)$  ( $0 < y < n$ ),  $E_{p,4,x}^{(n,2jm'-1)}$  represents  $E_{p,4,x}^{(m,2jm'-1)\pm}$  as described above (noted), and if  $\rho(n-y) = 2\rho(\frac{1}{2}n) - \rho(y)$  ( $0 < y < n$ ) this result with 2-j-replaced by 2j holds.

Hence, under the conditions of clause (i), the functions  $\sigma_{\nu}^{(n,m,l)}$  in formula (1) are nonanalytic (either over  $[V, \infty)$  or  $(-\infty, V]$ ); the same is true of the function  $\sigma_{\nu}(4, x)$  of formula (2). Hence all functions  $\sigma(\rho, n, m, l)$  occurring in formulae (1, 2) are nonanalytic over  $(-\infty, \infty)$ , and, by a simple extension of the result of clause (i) by Lemma 9, the result of clause (ii) follows.

The conditions imposed upon  $\sigma_{\nu}^{(k)}$  in clause (ii) are also satisfied by the derivatives  $D_{\sigma_{\nu}}^{(k)}(x)$  ( $k = 1, \dots, n$ ). Thus  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \sigma_{\nu}^{(k)}(x + it) = \sigma_{\nu}^{(k)}$  and  $\sigma_{\nu}(\rho, n, m, l; t) = 0$ .  $\sigma(\rho, n, m, l)$  is analytic over the sectors  $\Delta(-\phi, \phi)$  and  $\Delta(\pi-\phi, \pi+\phi)$  ( $m \geq \max(1, l)$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ ).

and  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \rho_{n,m}(l; \lambda) = 0$  for these values of  $m$  and  $\lambda$  so it tends to infinity <sup>in</sup> over the two sections. The result of clause (ii.a) follows simply from clause (i) of Lemma 1 and those of clauses (iibc)  
~~and clause (i)~~  
~~from clause (i) to the remaining~~  
and clause (iic) from clauses (i) and (ii) respectively of that lemma.

Theorem . Let  $\phi$  be as described in Theorem 1 with  $n=1$ , let  $[\hat{s}, \hat{s}^+] \subseteq [-\infty, \infty]$  and let  $\gamma, \psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  be as described at the commencement of Theorem . Let  $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$ . Subject to conditions given below which ensure their existence, denote the approximating functions derived from the series  $\sum_{p=1}^{(1,m)} P_{p,i,j}$  and those derived from the series  $\sum_{p=1}^{(1,m)} \hat{P}_{p,i,j}$  by  $E_{p,i,j}^{(1,m)}$  and  $\hat{E}_{p,i,j}^{(1,m)}$  respectively.

- (i) Let  $m = \max(\gamma, 1)$ ,  $D_1 \psi(x) = \psi_1(x) - (-1)^m \psi_2(x)$  and  $x \in (\hat{s}, \hat{s}^+)$ . Let  $e^{i\phi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{2i\omega u} d\phi(u)$ ,  $(-1)^m e^{i\phi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-2i\omega u} d\phi(u)$

be real and nonnegative for  $\omega = 0, 1, \dots$ .

- a) All diagonal sequences of the form  $\{P_{i,k+i}^{(m)}\}$ ,  $\{\hat{P}_{k+i,i}^{(m)}\}$  with increasing  $i$  and  $k=0, 1, \dots$  fixed converge uniformly to  $E_{p,i,i}^{(1,m)}$  over any bounded domain lying in  $H^+$  and to  $\hat{E}_{p,i,i}^{(1,m)}$  over any bounded domain lying in  $H^-$ .

- b) Let  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  also satisfy the conditions given at the commencement

- c) Theorem , with  $\gamma$  as described, so that  $E_{p,i,i}^{(1,m)}$  are the same function  $E_{p,i,i}^{(1,m)}$ . The diagonal sequences of the form  $\{P_{i,2k+i}^{(m)}\}$ ,  $\{\hat{P}_{2k+i,i}^{(m)}\}$  with increasing  $i$  and  $k=0, 1, \dots$  fixed now converge

uniformly to  $E_{p>4,x}^{(1,m)}$  over any bounded domain lying in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{i[\bar{x}^-, \bar{x}^+]\}$ ,  
 $i \in (-\infty, -\gamma^{-1}] \cup [\gamma]$ .

c) Let  $\zeta = 0$ ,  $\sum' \left| \int_0^{\rho(y)} e^{\varphi(y)} dy \right| < \infty$  and  $\psi(x) = \psi_1(x) - \psi_2(x)$ .

The results of a, b) above now hold with regard to the functions

$E_{p>4,x}^{(1,0)+}$  and  $E_{p>4,x}^{(1,0)-}$  respectively.

(ii) Let  $\zeta \psi(x) = \psi_1(x) + (-1)^j \psi_2(x)$ , let  $\rho(1-y) = \rho(y)$  ( $0 \leq y \leq 1$ ), let

$$e^{i\psi} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin(\pi \rho y) d\rho(y)$$

be real and nonnegative for  $\rho=0, 1, \dots$ , and let  $2m+1 \geq \max(j, i)$

a) For  $2m+1 \geq \max(i, j)$ ,  $P_{2i+r, 2j+s}^{(2m+1)}(\omega) = \hat{P}_{i+r, j+s}^{(2m+1)}(\omega^2)$  ( $i, j=0, 1, \dots; r, s=0, 1$ )

b) All diagonal sequences of the form  $\{P_{i,ki+i}^{(2m+1)}\}, \{\hat{P}_{ki+i, i}^{(2m+1)}\}$  with

increasing  $i$  and  $k=0, 1, \dots$  fixed converge uniformly to  $E_{p>4,x}^{(1,2m+1)+}$

over any bounded lying in  $H^+$  and to  $E_{p>4,x}^{(1,2m+1)-}$  over any bounded  
 domain lying in  $H^-$ .

c) Let  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \gamma$  and  $E_{p>4,x}^{(1,m)}$  be as defined in clause (ib). All

diagonal sequences of the form  $\{P_{i,k+i}^{(2m+1)}\}, \{P_{k+i,i}^{(2m+1)}\}, \{\hat{P}_{i,k+i}^{(2m+1)}\}, \{\hat{P}_{k+i,i}^{(2m+1)}\}$

with increasing  $i$  and  $k=0, 1, \dots$  fixed now converge uniformly to

$E_{p>4,x}^{(1,2m+1)}$  over any bounded domain lying in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{i[\bar{x}^-, \bar{x}^+], i \in [-\gamma, \gamma]\}$ .

(iii) Let  $\psi(x) = \psi_1(x) - (-1)^j \psi_2(x)$ , let  $\rho(1-y) = \rho(\frac{1}{2}) - \rho(y)$  ( $0 < y < 1$ ),

let

$$e^{i\phi} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{m+1}(2\pi ny) d\rho(y)$$

be real and nonnegative for  $n=0, 1, \dots$ , and let  $2m \geq \max(j, 1)$ .

- a) With  $\vartheta_{m+1}$  consistently replaced by  $\vartheta_m$ , all results of clause (a-c) hold.
- b) Under the additional assumptions of clause (b-c), they also hold with  $\vartheta_{m+1}$  replaced by zero.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem —, it may be shown that under

the conditions of clause (i),  $e_{m+1}^{(p+1)} \rightarrow \psi(x) = c f_0$ , where  $c$  is a

nonzero constant, which need not at first have the form ( ) in which

$c$  is a bounded nondecreasing function. As was demonstrated in

the proof of Theorem —, the  $\{f_k\}$  in this case satisfy the

relationship  $f_k = O(n!^{-\frac{1}{2}})$  (where  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). The author has shown,

concerning the approximating functions  $\{f_{k,i}\}$  derived from them, that under these conditions all diagonal sequences of

a series whose coefficients  $\{f_{k,i}\}$  are as just described, all diagonal

sequences of the forms  $\{P_{i,k+i}\}$ ,  $\{P_{k+i,i}\}$  with increasing  $i$  at  $k=0, 1, \dots$

fixed, converge uniformly, to the function defined by the integral expression  $(?)$  over  $H^+$ , and behave over  $H^-$  in the same way. The approximating

fractions derived from the series with coefficients  $\{c_{b_i}\}$  (where  $a_i$  is a course  $\{c_{P_{i,j}}\}$ ). The result of clause (ia) follows immediately. If, in the preceding discussion,  $b_i = O(\varepsilon^{-1})$  for some  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , the diagonal sequences  $\{P_{i,k}(\varepsilon)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  with increasing  $i$  and  $k=0, 1, \dots$  fixed converge uniformly over any bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{[\delta, \infty] \cup (-\infty, -\delta], [\gamma, \infty]\}$  to the function defined by the integral  $f$ , and the result of clause (ib) follows. The conditions imposed upon  $c$  in clause (ic) are special constitute a special case of those given in clause (i) of Theorem 1 with  $c_{P_{i,j}}^{(P_{i,j})} \neq 0$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $c_{P_{i,j}}^{(P_{i,j})} = c f_{j,i}(z=0, \varepsilon=0)$  where the  $\{b_i\}$  are as described above, and the additional result of clause (ic) follows. If the approximating fractions derived from the series  $\sum b_i z^i$  are  $P_{i,j}$ ,  $f_{j,i} = O(\varepsilon^{-1}, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0)$ , those from the series  $\sum \widehat{b}_i z^i$  and  $\sum \widehat{f}_{j,i} z^i$  are  $\widehat{P}_{i,j}$  at  $\widehat{P}_{i,j}$  respectively, and  $f_{j,i} = 0$  ( $j=0, 1, \dots$ ), then  $P_{i+2, j+2}(z) = \widehat{P}_{i,j}(z^2)$  ( $i, j=0, 1, \dots; z, \varepsilon \neq 0, 0$ ).

Using the theory developed in the proof of Theorem 1, the remaining results of the present theorem follow from the remarks

Theorem . Let  $\rho$  satisfy the conditions imposed in Theorem 1.

Theorem . Let  $\varphi, \psi$  and  $\eta$  be as defined in Theorem 1. Then  $\psi$  will be

(i) Subject to conditions to be imposed upon  $\eta$ , and with  $m > n - 1$ , we have

$$R_{\rho+4,x}^{(n,m)'}(\omega) = \int_0^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{B_{m+n-1}(y-t)}{(m+n-1)!} \psi(x+w t) d\rho(y) dt$$

$$R_{\rho+4,x}^{(n,m)''}(\omega) = - \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^m \frac{B_{m+n-1}(y-t)}{(m+n-1)!} \psi(x+w t) d\rho(y) dt$$

a) In the representation of formula ( ), let  $\psi_x(z) = 0 (z \geq 0)$ , then for

$$\omega \in H^+, E_{\rho+4,x}^{(n,m)+}(\omega) = R_{\rho+4,x}^{(n,m)'}(\omega), \text{ and, for } \omega \in H^-, E_{\rho+4,x}^{(n,m)-}(\omega) = R_{\rho+4,x}^{(n,m)''}(\omega).$$

b) In the representation of formula ( ), let  $\psi_x(z) = 0 (z \leq 0)$ . The

results of a) with  $R_{\rho+4,x}^{(n,m)'} \text{ and } R_{\rho+4,x}^{(n,m)''}$  interchanged hold

With  $\eta = 0$  and subject to further,

(ii) Subject to conditions to be imposed upon  $\eta$  and with coefficients

$e_{\rho,n}^{(n)}$  defined by a formula similar to ( ), set

$$S_{\rho+4,x}^{(n)'}(\omega) = (-1)^n \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} (-1)^d e_{\rho-n+d}^{(n)} I_{n-d}.$$

$$S_{\rho+4,x}^{(n)'}(\omega) = (-1)^n \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-d-1}{n-1} \int_0^\infty \psi(x+y\omega + d\omega) d\rho(y).$$

$$= \sum_{d=1}^n (-1)^d e_{-d}^{(n)} I_d'(x, \omega)$$

$$S_{\rho+4,x}^{(n)''}(\omega) = \sum_{d=1}^n \binom{n-d-1}{n-1} \int_0^\infty \psi(x+y\omega - n\omega - d\omega) d\rho(y) - \sum_{d=1}^n e_{-d}^{(n)} I_d''(x, \omega)$$

Where

$$\tilde{I}'_n(x, \omega) = \int_0^\infty \int_{t_{n-1}}^\infty \dots \int_{t_1}^\infty \psi(x+wt) dt dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1}$$

$$\tilde{I}''_n(x, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^{t_{n-1}} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} \psi(x+wt) dt dt_1 \dots dt_{n-1}$$

(a) In the representation by formula ( ), let  $\psi_\varepsilon(x) = O(\varepsilon \geq 5)$ , and in formula ( ), let  $\psi_\varepsilon(v) = O(v^{\frac{n-1}{2}})$  for some  $\delta \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\varepsilon \leq 4$ . Then for  $\omega \in H^+$ ,

$$E_{p;4,x}^{(n,\omega)+}(\omega) = S_{p;4,x}^{(n)'}(\omega) \text{ and, for } \omega \in H^-, E_{p;4,x}^{(n,\omega)-}(\omega) = S_{p;4,x}^{(n)''}(\omega).$$

b) ~~In the representation by formula~~  
 The result of the preceding clause ~~are~~ also hold if  
 b) ~~if we interchange the first bracketed conditions ( $\varepsilon \geq 5$ ) and ( $\varepsilon \leq 4$ )~~  
~~and also the functions  $S_{p;4,x}^{(n)}$  and  $S_{p;4,x}^{(n)''}$~~  in the preceding clause

Proof. For large  $y \in (-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $B_{m+2,n} \tilde{B}_n(t_y) = O(|t_y|^{m-1})$ . When subject to the conditions of clause (a),  $\psi(t)$  is regular for  $\operatorname{Re}(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(x)$ , and so for large  $t \in \Delta(-\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$ ,  $\psi(x+it) = O(|t|^{m-1}) = O(|t|^{-m+1})$  (mod). Thus when  $m > j_{m-1}$ ,  $R_{p;4,x}^{(n,m)''}$  is well defined over  $H^+$ .

Norlund has shown that for  $\eta \in H^+ \cap D_{\frac{1}{2}\pi}$  and  $r = n+1, n+2, \dots$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{B}_r(y-t)}{r!} e^{-\eta t} dt = \sum \frac{\tilde{B}_{r+j+1}^{(n)}(y)(-\eta)^j}{(r+j+1)!}$$

hence thus,  
 Using the moment representation ( ), for the same  $\eta$  at  $r$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{B_r(y-t)}{t^l} e^{-yt} dt = \sum_{n=1}^m \int_{-1/(2\pi)}^{1/(2\pi)} \frac{ds(n, r, t; y; u)}{(1+iyu)^l}$$

Norlund's integral representation of formula ( ) provides the analytic continuation of the function  $\phi_{r,n}(y)$  over  $H^+$  of the function defined by the sum of the series  $\phi_{r,n}(y)$  over  $D_{2\pi}$ . The integral expression ( ) offers analytic continuation throughout the complex plane except at the points  $y = \pm 2\pi i$  ( $D = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) where, of course, the function has  $n^{\text{th}}$  order poles. The limit as  $y$  tends to zero in  $H^+$  of the value of the left hand integral in relationship ( ) exists. Changing Substituting  $y = v\omega$  ( $v \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $\omega \in H^+$ ), multiplying relationship ( ) throughout by  $\int_0^{2\pi} (-1)^{m-j} v^{m-j} e^{-xv} ds(v) d\varphi(v)$  and integrating over the intervals  $[0, n]$  towards and  $[0, \infty]$  with respect to  $y$  and  $v$  respectively, it is found that

$$R_{p+q, x}^{(n)m}(\omega) = (-1)^{m-j} \sum_{l=1}^m \int_0^{\infty 1/(2\pi)} \int_{-1/(2\pi)}^{1/(2\pi)} \frac{v^{m-j} e^{-xv}}{(1+ivu)^l} ds(p; n, m, m-1, l; u) ds(v)$$

where the function  $s(p; n, m, m-1, l)$  is defined by formula ( ). The first result of clause (ia) is now denied as in the proof of clause ( ) of theorem . The second result of this clause and those of its successor, are denied in the same way.

Under the conditions imposed upon the  $\psi_i$  in clause (iii),

$\psi(t) = O(t^{-n-\delta})$  for large  $t \in \Delta(-\frac{1}{2}n, \frac{1}{2}n)$ ; the sum and integrals in formula ( ) for  $S_{p, q, x}^{(n)}(\omega)$  exist when  $\omega \in H^+$ . Since  $\psi$  satisfies the conditions imposed in Theorem 1 with  $n=0$ , the function  $E_{p, q, x}^{(n, 0)+}$  of formula ( ) is well defined on  $H^+$ , and  $E_{p, q, x}^{(n, 0)+}$  may be defined in terms of the  $E$ 's it by use of formula ( ). Relationship ( ) may be verified directly, and the remaining results of the theorem are obtained similarly.

Theorem Let  $\rho'$  and  $s'$  be such that with  $B'$  constant over

$(x^-, x^+)$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{B'(z)}{(z-x)^{s'}} \right| dz < \infty$$

$\rho = 1, 2, \dots$  and  
 for all  $z \in \mathbb{S}(x^-, x^+)$ , and let

$$M' \eta(z) = \int_{-\infty}^z \frac{dB'(u)}{(z-u)^s},$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{S}(x^-, x^+)$ . Let  $h = \max(0, 1 + [-\operatorname{Re}(s')])$  and set  $M = M' + h$ ,  $B(z) = (-1)^h \prod_{l=0}^{h-1} (s+l) B'(x)$   
 for  $z \in \mathbb{S}(x^-, x^+)$ . For  $m = M+1, M+2, \dots, k-1, \dots, m$  define

functions  $w^\pm(k, m, n; \rho, \omega, \psi; \psi, z)$  over  $\Delta(\pi \mp \frac{\pi}{2} - \arg(z - x^+))$ ,  
 $\pi \mp \frac{\pi}{2} - \arg(z - x^-))$  when  $\operatorname{Im}(z) \geq 0$  and over  $\Delta(\pm \pi - \arg(z - x^+))$   
 $\mp \frac{\pi}{2} - \arg(z - x^-))$  when  $\operatorname{Im}(z) \leq 0$  (the real segments  $\mp(0, \infty)$ )  
 in particular belongs to the segments over which  $w^\pm$  is defined  
 by

$$w^\pm(k, m, n; \rho, \omega, \psi; \psi, z | t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\rho - it}^{\rho + it} \sum_{j=k}^{m-n} \binom{m-j-n-k}{m-n} \frac{t^{\frac{j}{2}}}{M+j(s)} T^\pm(j, n; M, s; \rho, \omega, \psi; \psi, z | t)$$

where, in the product the term corresponding to  $\lambda = s$ , if it occurs, is to be omitted  
 a) if  $M+j-s$  is not a nonnegative integer.

$$T^{\pm}(j, n; M, s; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | \lambda) =$$

$$\frac{1}{\pi t} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{x^-} - \int_{x^+}^{\infty} \right] \int_{\omega}^{\beta} i \operatorname{act} [\pi \{u + i(z-x)t\}] d\rho(u) dB(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi t} \left\{ B(\infty) - B(-\infty) \right\} \left\{ \rho(\beta) - \rho(\omega) \right\}$$

Challenging

i) The functions  $w^{\pm}(k, m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z)$  are analytic over their domains of definition

ii) Setting  $w(k, m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | t) = w^{\pm}(k, m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | t)$   
for  $t \in \pm(0, \infty)$ ,

$$\int_{m+2}^{m-n+2} (n; \rho, \alpha, \beta)^{\rho} e^{-tz} dt =$$

$$i^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( -\frac{k}{z} \right) (-1)^k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{\infty} w(k, m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | t) dt$$

for  $m = M_0, M_0 + 1, \dots (m > n)$  and  $z = 0, 1, \dots$

(iii) For  $m = M_0, M_0 + 1, \dots (m > n)$

$$F^{\pm}(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | \omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{w(k, m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | t) dt}{(1+i\omega t)^k}$$

for  $\omega \in H^{\pm}$  respectively.

$$T^{\pm}(j, n; M, s; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | t) =$$

$$-\int_{x^+ - i\infty}^{\infty (0+)} \int_{-i\infty}^x \int_{-\infty}^{i\infty} \int_{\infty}^{\beta} b_{n-j}^{(n)}(y) y^{M+j-s-n} \csc^2 \left[ \pi \{ u + i(z-x-iy)t \} \right] dy d\beta(x)$$

b) if it is true that  $M+j > n$

the symbol  $\int_{(0+)}^{\infty}$  denoting integration over a contour of Hantel

type, commencing at  $i\infty$ , encircling the origin in an anti-clockwise direction, and returning to  $i\infty$ , the symbol  $\int_{-i\infty}^{(0+)}$

having a similar meaning, while

b) if  $M+j-s$  is a nonnegative integer

$$T^{\pm}(j, n; M, s; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | t) =$$

$$\frac{i}{s} \int_{x^+ - i\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-i\infty}^x \int_{-\infty}^{i\infty} \int_{\infty}^{\beta} b_{n-j}^{(n)}(y) y^{M+j-s-n-2} \csc^2 \left[ \pi \{ u + i(z-x-iy)t \} \right] dy d\beta(x)$$

c) and if  $M+j=s$

(iv)  $\forall m=0, 1, \dots$   
~~the functions defined in the preceding clause may be~~  
 $F^\pm(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | \omega)$   
 continued analytically across the two open segments  $\pm i(0, \infty)$

d) the imaginary axis in the  $\omega$ -plane, and ~~setting~~ defining  
 the concave open domain  $\Delta^\pm$  by

$$\Delta^+(z) = \Delta(-\arg(z - x^+), \pi - \arg(z - x^-))$$

$$\Delta^-(z) = \Delta(\pi - \arg(z - x^+), 2\pi - \arg(z - x^-))$$

when  $\operatorname{Im}(z) > 0$  and

$$\Delta^+(z) = \Delta(-\pi - \arg(z - x^-), -\arg(z - x^+))$$

$$\Delta^-(z) = \Delta(-\arg(z - x^+), \pi - \arg(z - x^-))$$

when  $\operatorname{Im}(z) \leq 0$ ,  $\overline{F}^\pm(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | \omega) \in A_\omega(\Delta^\pm(z))$ . (~~m=1, 2, \dots~~)

(v) For values of  $\omega \in \{\Delta^+(z) \cap \Delta^-(z)\} \cap H_i^\pm$

$$\overline{F}^+(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | \omega) = \overline{F}^-(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | \omega) + \omega^m \overline{\Pi}(M, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | \omega)$$

where

$$\overline{\Pi}(M, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | \omega) = 2\pi i \omega^{M+n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \oint \frac{w^k}{w - (k, M+n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | \lambda)} d\lambda$$

$\oint$  being  $d/d\lambda$ , where  $\lambda = i/\omega$  ( $m=0, 1, \dots$ ).

where  $\oint = d/d\lambda$  and  $\lambda = i/\omega$  ( $m=M, M+1, \dots$ )

(vi) a)  $\overline{f}^\pm(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | \omega)$  represents  $\overline{F}^\pm(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | \omega)$   
 asymptotically as  $\omega$  tends to zero in the  $\Delta^\pm(z)$

b) If  $\rho \in \hat{B}(n; \alpha, \beta)$ ,  $\exists$  in the preceding clause may be  
 replaced by  $\hat{F}(n; \alpha, \beta)$  ( $\text{or } \rho \in \tilde{B}(n; \alpha, \beta)$ )

Prof. The immovable singularities  $\overset{\text{in } \mathbb{H}^+}{(if there are any)}$  of the term  $T^+$  defined by integral expressions of the form ( , ) are confined to the values of  $t$  for which  $u + i(z - x) \arg z = \tau$  ( $\tau = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots$ ) where  $u$  and  $x$  range over all values at which  $\rho$  and  $B$  have points of increase. These singularities are, <sup>when  $\text{Im}(z) > 0$</sup>  confined to the complement in  $\mathbb{H}^+$  of the sector  $\Delta\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \leq -\arg(z - x^+), \frac{\pi}{2} - \arg(z - x^-)\right)$ , ~~when  $\text{Im}(z) < 0$~~  and of  $\Delta(-\pi - \arg(z - x^+), -\frac{\pi}{2} - \arg(z - x^-))$  when  $\text{Im}(z) < 0$ ; the ~~function~~ term is analytic over the sector itself. Thus  $w^+$  is analytic over its domain of definition; and the same also follows with regard to  $w^-$ . (This ~~is~~ definition; and the same also follows with regard to  $w^-$ .)

If  $\rho$  and  $B$  are both analytic over intervals in  $[x_0, \beta]$  and  $[-\infty, x^-]$ ,  $[x^+, \infty]$ ,  $w^\pm$  may <sup>of course</sup> ~~be shown~~ be analytic over <sup>more</sup> extensive regions.

Subject to the conditions imposed upon  $\rho$  and  $B$ ,

$$2) \quad \psi(z) = \frac{(-1)^{m-n+z-M}}{\Gamma(s)} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{x^-} \int_0^\infty - \int_{x^+}^\infty \int_0^\infty \right] e^{-\frac{m+z-M-n+s-1}{2}(z-u)v} dv du,$$

when  $m \geq M+n$  ~~( $m > M+n$ )~~ at  $v=0, u=\infty$   
 for  $z \in S(x^-, x^+)$ . Also

$$\bar{b}_{m+n}^{(n)}(u) = (-1)^n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{k}{n} \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{m+j-n-k}{m-n} b_{n-j}^{(n)}(u) \bar{b}_{m-n+j+k}^{(n)}(u)$$

and

$$\bar{b}_{m-n+j+2}^{(1)}(n) = - \sum_{j=1}^n (2i\omega\pi)^{-m+n-j-2} e^{2i\omega\pi n} - (-1)^{m-n+j+2} \sum_{j=1}^n (2i\omega\pi)^{-m+n-j-2} e^{-2i\omega\pi n}$$

Hence, when  $m > n$ ,

$$\bar{f}_{m+2}(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta) \stackrel{m-n+2}{=} \varphi(z) =$$

$$(-1)^M \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{k}{n} \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{m+j-n-k}{m-n} e^{z+m-n-j+2} \binom{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4}{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4}$$

where

$$t_1 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^x b_{m-j}^{(n)}(u) u^{m+j-M-n+s-1} e^{-(z-x)u} (2i\omega\pi)^{-m+n-j-2} e^{2i\omega\pi u} d\rho(u) dx du$$

$t_2$  is derived from  $t_1$  by replacing the symbols  $\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^x$  by

$-\int_{-\infty}^0 \int_x^\infty$ ,  $t_3$  is derived from  $t_1$  by multiplication by  $(-1)^{m-n+j+2}$  in the exponential term

and changing the sign of  $w$ , and  $t_4$  is obtained from  $t_3$  in the same way that  $t_2$  was derived from  $t_1$ . Setting  $v = 2i\omega\pi t$

$$t_1 = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^x b_{m-j}^{(n)}(u) t^{m+j-M-n+s-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (2i\omega\pi)^{s-M-j} \frac{1}{2i\omega\pi} \{iu - (z-x)t\} d\rho(u) dx dt$$

When  $M+j-s$  is not a nonnegative integer

$$(2i\omega\pi)^{s-M-j-1} = i \frac{\Gamma(s-M-j)}{2i\omega\pi} \int_{(0+)}^\infty (-h)^{M+j-s} e^{-2i\omega\pi h} dh$$

the contour of integration being of Hankel type. Also  $\int_{(0+)}^\infty (2i\omega\pi) e^{2i\omega\pi \{iu - (z-x)t\}} =$

$-\frac{\pi}{2} \csc^2 \left\{ \Re \{u + i(z-x)t\} \right\}$ . Consequently

$$t_1 = -\frac{\pi i P(s-M-j)}{2\Gamma(s)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b_{n-j}(u) t^{m+2-M-n+s-1} (-h)^{M+j-s} \csc^2 \left[ \Re \{u + i(z-x)t + h\} \right] d(u) dt$$

or changing the variable of integration to  $y = \frac{iw}{t}$  in the third integral to  $y = ih/t$

$$t_1 = \frac{\pi i}{2\Gamma(s-l)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b_{n-j}(u) t^{m-n+j+s-1} y^{M+j-s} \csc^2 \left[ \Re \{u + i(z-x-iy)t\} \right] dy dt$$

If  $M+j-s$  is a nonnegative integer

$$(\mathcal{D}\pi)^{s-M-j-1} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(M+j-s+1)} \int_0^{\infty} h^{M+j-s} e^{-\frac{i\pi}{2}mh} dt$$

and formula ( ) has the alternative formulation

$$t_1 = -\frac{\pi}{2(s-1)! (M+j-s)!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b_{n-j}(u) t^{m+2-M-n+s-1} h^{M+j-s} \csc^2 \left[ \Re \{u + i(z-x)t + h\} \right] d(u) dh dt$$

or, again

$$t_1 = \frac{\pi i^{M+j-s-1}}{2s\Gamma(s)(s-l)} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b_{n-j}(u) t^{m-n+j+s-1} y^{M+j-s} \csc^2 \left[ \Re \{u + i(z-x-iy)t\} \right] dy dt$$

If  $M+j=s$ , then, since  $\sum_i e^{2i\pi \{iu - (z-x)t\}} = \frac{1}{2} [icot \{ \Re \{u + i(z-x)t\} \}]$

$t_1$  may be evaluated without use of  $\mathcal{P}$ -function integrals

$$t_1 = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^m j^{(s)}} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b_{n-j}^{(n)}(u) t^{m+i+j-n-1} \left[ i \cot \left[ \frac{\pi}{M} \{ u + i(z-x)t^2 \} \right] - 1 \right] c_p(u) dx dt$$

The terms  $t_2, t_3$  and  $t_4$  may be treated in the same way, and the result of clause (ii) follows.

The derivative  $\omega^{(M)}$  considered in the theorem has a representation of the form ( ) and is  $M(M; x^-, x^+)$ . As is easily verified, the functions  $\omega(k, m, n; p, \alpha, \beta; t, z)$  of clause ( ) of the theorem --- in the present case are differentiable where  $w_{mn}$  and their derivatives are the corresponding  $w$ -functions.  $\omega$  The result of clause (iii) follows from clause ( ) of the theorem.

The results of clauses (iv-vi) are consequences of clause ( ) of Theorem.

The result of clause (iv) follows directly from clause ( ) of Theorem; that of clause (v) with ~~m=Mn~~ from clause ( ) of Theorem in conjunction with the recursion ( ), and those of clause (vi) from clause ( ). Theorem. (It should perhaps be pointed out that the functions  $w^{\pm}(Mm, n; p, \alpha, \beta; t)$  are analytic over  $\mathbb{I}(0, \infty)$ ; they may, however, possess a non-integrable singularity at the origin.)

Definition. With  $n \geq 1$ , and  $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq [-\infty, \infty]$  and  $\rho \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\alpha, \beta)$  functions  $\tilde{\rho}$  and  $\hat{\rho}(r)$  ( $r = 1, \dots, n$ ) are defined as follows. <sup>a)</sup> If  $[\alpha, \beta] \subseteq (\alpha, \beta)$  then, with  $n' = -[-\beta]$ ,  $m'' = -[\alpha]$  and  $\rho$  expanded over the range  $[-m', \alpha]$  if  $\alpha > m''$ , by setting  $\rho(y) = \rho(x)$  over the interval, and over the range  $(\beta, m'')$  by setting  $\rho(y) = \rho(\beta)$  over the interval,  $\hat{\rho}(y)$  is defined over  $[-m'', n'-n]$  by defining the function ~~over~~ <sup>by recalculation</sup> over the interval  $i \leq y < i+1$  by means of the formula

$$\tilde{\rho}(y) = (-1)^n \sum_{j=0}^{i+m''} \binom{n+j}{j} \rho(y-j)$$

for  $i = -m'', -m''+1, \dots, -1$ , and by means of the formula

$$\tilde{\rho}(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-n-i-1} \binom{n+i}{j} \rho(y+n+j) + (-1)^n \sum_{j=0}^{m''-1} \binom{n+i}{j} \rho(-j)$$

for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n'-n-1$  and setting

$$\tilde{\rho}(n'-n) = \rho(\beta) + (-1)^n \sum_{j=0}^{m''-1} \binom{n+i}{j} \rho(-j).$$

ii)  $\rho(r)$  is defined over  $[0, r]$  by means of the formula

$$\hat{\rho}(r/y) = \rho'(r/y) + \rho''(r/y)$$

for  $r = 1, \dots, n-1$  and

$$\hat{\rho}(n/y) = \rho(y) + \rho'(n/y) + \rho''(n/y)$$

where

$$\rho'(r/y) = (-1)^{n+r+1} \sum_{j=0}^{m''-1} \binom{n-r+j}{j} \rho(y-j-1)$$

when  $0 \leq y \leq 1$  and  $\rho'(r/y) = \rho'(r/1)$  when  $1 < y \leq r$ , and

$$\rho''(r/y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-n-1} \binom{n-r-j}{j} \rho(y+n-r-j-1)$$

When  $r-t \leq y \leq r$  and  $\rho''(r/y) = \rho''(r/r-t)$  when  $0 \leq y < r-t$ , all for  $t=1, \dots, n$ .

- b) if  $\alpha > 0, \beta > n$ ,  $\rho$  is extended over the range  $(\beta, n+1]$  as in a) above.
- (i)  $\tilde{\rho}$  is defined over  $[0, n+1]$  by means of formula (1) alone and
- (ii) the functions  $\hat{\rho}(r/y)$  are defined over  $[0, r]$  ( $r=1, \dots, n$ ) by deleting all references to the functions  $\rho'(r)$ ,
- c) if  $\alpha < 0, \beta < n$ ,  $\rho$  is extended over the range  $[-m'', \alpha]$  as in a) above.
- (i)  $\tilde{\rho}$  is defined over  $[0, -m'']$  by means of formula (1) alone and
- (ii) the functions  $\hat{\rho}(r/y)$  are defined over  $[0, r]$  ( $r=1, \dots, n$ ) by deleting all references to the functions  $\rho''(r)$
- d) if  $\alpha \geq 0$ , from the functions  $\tilde{\rho}$  and  $\hat{\rho}(r)$  ( $r=1, \dots, n-1$ ) are undefined and  $\hat{\rho}(n)$  is simply  $\rho$ .

Coefficients  $f_\nu$  are defined by setting

$$f_\nu = \int_{-\infty}^{\beta} B_\nu(y) dy$$

$$f_\nu(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\beta} \frac{B_\nu^{(n)}(y)}{D_n} d\rho(y)$$

for  $\nu=0, 1, \dots$ .

Derivatives of nonnegative index are defined by setting

$$\mathcal{D}^\nu \psi(x) = d^\nu \psi(x) / dx^\nu$$

for  $\nu=0, 1, \dots$ . With  $\psi$  assumed analytic in a strip of the form  $S(x^-, x^+)$  and  $a$  a fixed point in this strip, derivatives of negative order

are defined by setting

$$\mathcal{D}^{-r} \psi(x) = \int_a^x \frac{(x-z)^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \psi(z) dz$$

for  $r=1, 2, \dots$ , where the path of integration is the straight line joining  $a$  and  $x$ .

The function  $F(n, p, \omega)$  ~~F~~ is defined by means

With  $m > 0$  fixed,  
In case a) above, the function  $F^+$  is defined by means of the formula

$$F^+(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x/\omega) = \sum_{r=0}^{m+n-1} f_D(\rho; \alpha, \beta) \mathcal{D}^{r-n} \psi(x) \omega^r + \omega^{m+n} \left[ \sum_{r=1}^n E^+(r, m; \tilde{\rho}(r), 0, r; \psi, x/\omega) + E(0, m; \tilde{\rho}, \alpha, \beta-n; \psi, x/\omega) \right]$$

where

$$E(0, m; \tilde{\rho}, \alpha, \beta-n; \psi, x/\omega) = \omega^{-m} \left[ \int_{\alpha}^{\beta-n} \psi(x+y\omega) d\tilde{\rho}(y) - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta-n} \frac{y^j}{j!} d\tilde{\rho}(y) \mathcal{D}^j \psi(x) \omega^j \right];$$

In case b), ~~in~~ the parameter  $\alpha$  in the function  $E$  is replaced by zero consistently; in case c), for the parameter  $\beta-n$  is treated in the same way; in case d) the expression in square brackets in formula ( ) is replaced by  $E^+(n, m; \rho; \alpha, \beta; \psi, x/\omega)$  alone. Functions  $F^-$  are defined in terms of functions  $E^-$  in the same way.

The series  $\mathcal{Y}_M$  ascending powers of  $n$  is defined by the formula

$$\mathcal{Z}(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x/\omega) = \sum f_D(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta) \mathcal{D}^{r-n} \psi(x) \omega^r$$

similarly

$$\tilde{F}(n; \rho, \alpha, b; \psi, x | v) = \sum_i f_{2i} (n; \rho, \alpha, b) \psi^{2i} \psi(x) \omega^{2i}$$

$$\hat{F}(n; \rho_m, \rho_s; \psi, x | v) = \sum_i \hat{f}_{2i+1} (n; \rho, \alpha, b) \psi^{2i+1} \psi(x) \omega^{2i+1}$$

Theorem. Where relevant, the following abbreviations are used in the clauses  $\alpha' = \min(0, \alpha)$ ,  $\beta' = \max(0, \beta - n)$ ,  $\infty' = \infty - \operatorname{Re}(x)$ ,  $\infty'' = x^+ - \operatorname{Re}(x)$  are used in the following clauses

$\rho \in \rho_{\alpha, \beta}(x, s)$  unless

A. Let  $\forall [\alpha, \beta] \subset (-\infty, \infty)$ , and  $\gamma \in \Pi(M; x^-, x^+)$ .

(i) Let  $x \in S(x^-, x^+)$  be fixed, and set  $\omega^+(n; \alpha, \beta; x) = \min(x'/\alpha', x''/\beta')$ ,  $\omega^-(n; \alpha, \beta; x) = \max(x''/\alpha', x'/\beta')$ . The functions  $F^t(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \gamma, x)$  are analytic over the strips  $S(0, \omega^+(n; \alpha, \beta; x))$  and  $S(\omega^-(n; \alpha, \beta; x), 0)$  in the  $\omega$ -plane respectively.

(ii) Let  $\omega^+ = (\infty^+ - \infty^-)/(\beta' - \alpha')$ ,  $\hat{x}^-(\omega) = x^- - \alpha' \operatorname{Re}(\omega)$ ,  $\hat{x}^+(\omega) = x^+ - \beta' \operatorname{Re}(\omega)$ ,  $\tilde{x}^-(\omega) = x^- - \beta' \operatorname{Re}(\omega)$ ,  $\tilde{x}^+(\omega) = x^+ - \alpha' \operatorname{Re}(\omega)$ . With  $\omega \in S(0, \omega^+)$  fixed,  $F^+(\omega; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \gamma)$  is analytic over the strip  $S(\hat{x}^+(\omega), \tilde{x}^+(\omega))$  in the  $\omega$ -plane, and with  $\omega \in S(-\omega^+, 0)$  fixed,  $F^-(\omega; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \gamma)$  is analytic over the strip  $S(\tilde{x}^-(\omega), \hat{x}^+(\omega))$ .

B. Over the half-planes over which  $\gamma$  is defined below let

$\psi(x) = O(e^{-\gamma |\operatorname{Re}(x)|})$  as  $|\operatorname{Re}(x)| \rightarrow \infty$  in that half-plane; over the semi-infinite interval over which  $\rho$  is defined below let  $\rho(y) = O(e^{-\gamma' |y|})$  as  $|y| \rightarrow \infty$  in that interval; set  $P = \gamma'/\gamma$ .

- (i) Let  $\psi \in M(M; x^-, \omega)$ , and let  $x$
- Let  $\alpha > -\infty, \beta = \infty$
  - With  $\omega \in S(0, \min(\Gamma, \omega'/\alpha'))$  fixed,  $F^+(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; t, x)$
  - With  $x \in S(x^-, x^+)$  fixed,  $F^+(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; t, x)$  is analytic over the strip  $S(0, \min(\Gamma, x'/\alpha'))$  in the  $\omega$ -plane
  - With  $\omega \in S(0, \Gamma)$  fixed,  $F^+(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta, t; \omega)$  is analytic over the strip  $S(x^- - \alpha' \operatorname{Re}(\omega), \infty)$  in the  $x$ -plane
- Let  $\alpha = -\infty, \beta < \infty$
  - With  $x \in S(x^-, x^+)$  fixed,  $F^- \in A_{\omega} \{ S(\max(-\Gamma, x''/\beta'), 0) \}$
  - With  $\omega \in S(-\Gamma, 0)$  fixed,  $F^- \in A_x \{ S(x^+ - \beta' \operatorname{Re}(\omega), \infty) \}$
- (ii) Let  $\psi \in M(M; -\infty, x^+)$
- Let  $\alpha > -\infty, \beta = \infty$
  - With  $x \in S(x^-, x^+)$  fixed,  $F^- \in A_{\omega} \{ S(\max(-\Gamma, x''/\beta'), 0) \}$
  - With  $\omega \in S(-\Gamma, 0)$  fixed,  $F^- \in A_x \{ S(-\infty, x^+ - \alpha' \operatorname{Re}(\omega)) \}$
  - Let  $\alpha = -\infty, \beta < \infty$
  - With  $x \in S(x^-, x^+)$  fixed,  $F^+ \in A_{\omega} \{ S(0, \min(\Gamma, x''/\beta')) \}$
  - With  $\omega \in S(0, \Gamma)$  fixed,  $F^+ \in A_x \{ S(-\infty, x^+ - \beta' \operatorname{Re}(\omega)) \}$

C Let  $\psi \in M(M; -\infty, \infty)$  with  $\psi(x) = O(e^{P(\operatorname{Re}(x))})$  as  $\operatorname{Re}(x) \rightarrow \infty$   
 $(0 \leq P, P' < \infty)$   
and  $\psi(x) = O(e^{-P''\operatorname{Re}(x)})$  as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ . Let  $\alpha = -\infty, \beta = \infty$  with  
 $\rho(y) = O(e^{-\gamma'y})$  as  $y \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\rho(y) = O(e^{\gamma'y})$  as  $y \rightarrow -\infty$ . Set  
 $\omega^+ = \min \left\{ \frac{\gamma'}{P'}, \frac{\gamma''}{P''} \right\}$  and  $\omega^- = \max \left\{ -\frac{\gamma'}{P''}, -\frac{\gamma''}{P'} \right\}$ . For all  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ , the  
functions  $F^+ \in A_w \{ S(0, \omega^+) \}$  and  $F^- \in A_w \{ S(\omega^-, 0) \}$ . For ~~any~~ <sup>fixed</sup>  $\gamma$   
~~w \in S(0, \omega^+)~~ ~~fix~~,  $F^+$  is an ~~e~~  $w \in S(0, \omega^+)$  and  $w \in S(\omega^-, 0)$   
respectively,  $F^+$  and  $F^-$  are entire functions of  $w$ .

D. If in any of the above results in which it has been shown that for a fixed  $w$ ,  $F^+$  is analytic over a strip of the form  $S(0, \omega^+)$  in the  $w$ -plane, or in the representation ( ) is non-analytic over some interval of the form  $(V, \infty)$  or  $(-\infty, -V)$  ~~for that~~, then the imaginary axis is a natural barrier of  $F^+$  in the  $w$ -plane; a similar remark ~~concerns~~ holds for the function  $F^-$

E. Let  $\mathcal{D}'$  have the representation ( ) by theorem , and let  $-\infty < \alpha < \beta < \infty$ .

(i) For  $x \in S(x^-, x^+)$  fixed the results of clause Ai) will hold, but the function  $F^\pm$  can be continued analytically across the imaginary

axis  
 (ii) Denote by  $F^+$  the functions directly defined by formulae such as ( ) and ( ), and obtained by analytic continuation.

Let  $\Delta^\pm(x)$  be the regions defined in clause ( ) of Theorem.

Let  $d_1^{(n)} = \text{Im}(x)/\beta'$ ,  $d_2^{(n)} = \text{Im}(x)/\alpha'$ . Let  $\mathbb{D}(n, x)$  be the regions defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{D}(n, x) = & \left\{ \omega : \omega \in \Delta(\arg(x^+ - x), 0) \cup \Delta(-\pi, \arg(x^- - x) - \pi); \text{Im}(\omega) \geq d_1^{(n)} \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \omega : \omega \in \Delta(0, \arg(x^- - x)) \cup \Delta(\pi + \arg(x^- - x), \pi); \text{Im}(\omega) \leq d_2^{(n)} \right\}\end{aligned}$$

If  $\text{Im}(x) > 0$ , and by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{D}(n, x) = & \left\{ \omega : \omega \in \Delta(0, \arg(x^+ - x)) \cup \Delta(\pi + \arg(x^- - x), \pi); \text{Im}(\omega) \leq d_1^{(n)} \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \omega : \omega \in \Delta(\arg(x^- - x^+), 0) \cup \Delta(-\pi, \arg(x^- - x) - \pi); \text{Im}(\omega) \geq d_2^{(n)} \right\}\end{aligned}$$

If  $\text{Im}(x) < 0$ . Then functions  $F^+ \in A_\omega \{ \Delta^\pm(x) \setminus \mathbb{D}(n, x) \}$ .

(iii) With  $x \in (x^-, x^+)$  fixed, set  $\omega^+ = \min(x''/\beta', x'/\alpha')$ ,  $\omega^- = \max(x'/\beta', x''/\alpha')$ . Then  $F^+ \in A_\omega \{ \mathbb{C} \setminus \{[-\infty, 0] \cup [\omega^+, \infty] \}$  and  $F^- \in A_\omega \{ \mathbb{C} \setminus \{[-\infty, \omega^-] \cup [0, \omega^+] \} \}$

(iv) Set

$$\Pi(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x | \omega) = 2\pi\omega^{M+n-1} \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^r \frac{(-1)^\ell}{(\ell-1)!} \omega^{\ell-1} W(n, r, \ell; \rho, \beta, x | \omega)$$

where  $\lambda = i\omega$ ,  $\lambda' = d_1/d\lambda$  and

$$W(n, r, l; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x | \mathbf{k}) =$$

$$\left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{M-j} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{x^-} \int_0^\infty \int_{x^+}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_0^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{B_{M-j}^{(n)}(y) z^{M-j-1}}{(z-y)^j (M-j)!} \csc^2 \right]$$

$$\pi \left\{ q + 2 + (x-s)iz \right\} d\rho(y) dz d\mathcal{B}(s)$$

Over regions in the  $\omega$ -plane in which the functions  $F^\pm$  are, according to the results of the preceding two clauses, both analytic, the relationship

$$F^+(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x | \omega) = F^-(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x | \omega) + \Pi(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x | \omega)$$

prevails.

When  $n=1$  and  $M=0$ ,  $\Pi$  has the simpler representation

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x | \omega) &= \pi i \left\{ \rho(\beta) - \rho(\alpha) \right\} \left\{ B(\infty) - B(-\infty) \right\} \\ &+ \pi \left[ \int_{x^+}^{\infty} - \int_{-\infty}^{x^-} \right] \int_0^{\infty} \cot \left[ \pi \left\{ \frac{x-s}{\omega} + y \right\} \right] d\rho(y) d\mathcal{B}(s) \end{aligned}$$

F. Let  $\psi \in M(M, -\infty, \infty)$ , with  $\psi(x) = O(e^{-\theta |\operatorname{Re}(x)|})$  as  $|\operatorname{Re}(x)| \rightarrow \infty$ , and let  $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (-\infty, \infty)$ .

(i) For  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  fixed, the functions  $F^\pm$  directly defined by formulae such as ( ) and ( ) represent the same function,  $F$  say, which

is analytic and  $F \in A_0 \{ \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm i[2\pi/8, \infty]\} \}$ .

(ii) For any fixed  $\omega \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm i[2\pi/8, \infty]\}$ ,  $F$  is an entire function of  $x$ .

G Let  $\psi \in M(M; x^-, x^+)$  have the decomposition  $\psi = \psi^+ + \psi^-$  of clause (i) of Lemma 1, and let  $R'$  and  $R''$  be the functions defined by in clause (i) of Theorem 1. Set

$$F'(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x|w) = \sum_{r=0}^{m+n-1} \int_D (\rho; \alpha, \beta)^{r-n} \psi(x) w^r \\ + w^{M+n} \left[ \sum_{r=1}^m R'(n; \rho(r), 0, r; \psi^+, x|w) + E(0, m; \tilde{\rho}, \alpha, \beta-n; \psi^+, x|w) \right]$$

where  $\{\rho(r)\}$ ,  $\tilde{\rho}$  and  $E$  are to be interpreted, according to the values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , as in Definition 1; define  $F''$  in terms of  $R''$  in a similar way.

Under any of the conditions under which  $F^+$ , given in the above clauses, under which  $F^+$  exists, this function has the decomposition

$$F^+(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x|w) = F'(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi^+, x|w) + F''(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi^-, x|w).$$

$F^-$  has a similar decomposition in which  $\psi^+$  and  $\psi^-$  are interchanged.

*Proof.* The functions in formula ( ), the functions occurring in the terms involved in the first part, are analytic in  $x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{S}(x^-, x^+)$  and in  $w$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ . The functions  $E^+$  are analytic for all  $w \in \mathbb{S}(x^-, x^+)$  and  $w \in H^+$ . When  $\alpha < 0$ ,  $\beta < n$ , the last term in formula ( ) is analytic in  $x$  and  $w$  for all  $x, w$  such that  $x + \omega w, x + (\beta - n)w \in \mathbb{S}(x^-, x^+)$ ; similar statements may be made concerning this function. It may be made when either  $\alpha > 0$  or  $\beta > n$ ; and when both latter conditions hold, the last term may be disregarded. The results of part A follows from these considerations.

(if it should be taken into account)

Under the conditions of part B, the integral in formula ( ) extends over a semi-infinite range, and the stated conditions suffice to ensure that this integral exists under the conditions of part C the integral concerned extends over an interval  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .

The result of part D is a consequence of part E.  $\rightarrow$  Theorem 5. Under the conditions of part clauses D.i-iii), the functions  $E^+$  are, for  $x \in \mathbb{S}(x^-, x^+)$  fixed, analytic over the domain  $\Delta'(x)$  in the  $w$ -plane; when  $\alpha < 0$  or  $\beta < n$ , the integral in formula ( ) represents a function which is analytic over  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{D}(n, x)$ . The results of clauses D.i-ii) follows immediately. The results of clause Div) follows from those of part E.  $\rightarrow$  Theorem 5.

Under the conditions of part E, F, the functions  $E^+$  in formula ( ) are, for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ , analytic in  $\mathbb{D}_{2\pi/3} \cap H^+$ ; they may be continued analytically across that diameter part of the imaginary axis, the segment  $i(-2\pi/3, 2\pi/3)$  of the imaginary axis and yield

thereby the similarly defined functions  $E^-$ . The function defined by formula (C) is analytic for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $w \in \mathbb{C}$ . The functions  $F^\pm$  are the same ( $F^{\pm\text{reg}}$ ) and, since the  $E$  functions  $E^\pm$  are analytic in  $w$  for all  $w \in D_{2\pi/3}$ , this is also true of  $F$ . The further remarks of part F follow immediately.

The decomposition described in part G is a consequence of that described in part C of Theorem 1.

It is to be remarked that the function  $F^+(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; z, x|w)$  may be well-defined where  $F^+(n-1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; z, x|w)$  is not; to cite an extreme example, when  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $n-1 \leq n$ , the former function is defined, when  $x \in \mathcal{G}(x^-, x^+)$ , for all  $w \in \mathbb{H}^+$  whereas (vide clause A(i)) the latter may (if  $x^+ < \infty$ ) be defined only over a strip in  $\mathbb{H}^+$ . Similar remarks may be made concerning the functions  $F^-$ . Again, under the conditions of a clause such as B(iii),  $F^+(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; z, x|w)$  may be well-defined when its counterpart  $F^-$  is not.

Theorem A) Wherever, in Theorem - ,  $F^+$  is shown to be analytic over a fixed  $\omega$  to

be analytic over a strip of the form  $S(0, \omega^+)$ ,  $F^+(\omega) \sim \tilde{f}(\omega)$  as

$\omega$  tends to  $\infty$  in the sectors  $\Delta(-\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$  and  $\Delta(\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{3}{2}\pi)$  respectively.  
a similar result holds with regard to the function  $F^-$  over the sector  $\Delta(\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{5}{2}\pi)$ .

(ii) If  $\psi$  have the special form described in part E (→)

Theorem . . . ,  $\tilde{F}^+(\omega) \sim \tilde{f}(\omega)$  as  $\omega$  tends to zero in  $\Delta^+(x)$ , the regions specified defined in clause ( ) of Theorem . . . , then  $F^+(\omega) \sim f(\omega)$  as  $\omega$  tends to zero in  $\Delta^+(x)$ .

(iii) If, in addition,  $\rho \in \hat{B}_\infty(\omega, \beta)$  in the preceding two clauses may be replaced by  $\tilde{\rho}$  or  $\tilde{\beta}$  respectively.

(iv) If, alternatively,  $\rho \in \tilde{B}_\infty(\omega, \beta)$ , in clauses (i, ii) may be replaced by  $\hat{\rho}$ .

B. (i) If  $\psi$  and  $[\alpha, \beta]$  are as described in part F of Theorem . . . , it is shown that  $F^+$  are the same function  $F$ ,  $\tilde{F}$  converges to  $F$  over  $D_{2\pi}/\pi$ .

(ii) If, in addition,  $\rho \in \hat{B}_\infty(\omega, \beta)$  or  $\rho \in \tilde{B}_\infty(\omega, \beta)$  in the preceding clause may be replaced by  $\hat{\rho}$  or  $\tilde{\beta}$  respectively.

C. (i) Where in Theorem - ,  $F^+$  is shown for a fixed  $\omega$  to be analytic over a strip of the form  $S(0, \omega^+)$ ,  $\tilde{f}$  is  $T(B, \beta)$

- (B', 1) summable to  $F^+$  over  $(0, \omega^+)$  and
- (B, 1) summable to  $F^+$  over  $S(0, \omega^+)$ ;

similar results hold with regard to the functions  $F^+$  defined over strips of the form  $\mathcal{G}(\omega, 0)$ .

(ii) If, in addition,  $\rho \in \hat{\mathbb{B}}_{\infty}(\alpha, \beta)$  or  $\rho \in \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_{\infty}(\alpha, \beta)$ , then  $\hat{F}$  or  $\tilde{F}$  is both  $B^2$ -summable to  $F^+$  over  $(0, \omega^+)$  and

- $(B, 2) \vee$  summable to  $F^+$  over  $(0, \omega^+)$  and
- $(\bar{B}, 2)$  both  $(\bar{B}, 2)$  and  $\bar{B}^2$ -summable to  $F^+$  over  $\mathcal{G}(0, \omega^+)$ ;

similar results hold with regard to the functions  $F^-$  defined over strips of the form  $\mathcal{G}(\omega, 0)$ .

under the condition of part (ii), it follows from a previous use of relation (1) that when  $n' \geq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-m'}^n \frac{B_{z-1}^{(n)}(y)}{z!} d\rho(y) &= \sum_{i=n}^{n'-1} \int_0^{i+1} \frac{B_z^{(n)}(y)}{z!} d\rho(y) \\ &= \sum_{i=n}^{n'-1} \int_0^{i+1} \left\{ \frac{B_z^{(n)}(y-i+n-i)}{z!} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-n+1} \frac{B_{z-1}^{(n-i)}(y-j)}{(z-1)!} \right\} d\rho(y) \\ &= \sum_{i=n}^{n'-1} \int_{-m-1}^n \frac{B_z^{(n)}(y)}{z!} d\rho(y|_{i-n+1}) + \sum_{i=n}^{n'-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i-n+1} \int_{i-j}^{i+1} \frac{B_{z-1}^{(n-i)}(y)}{(z-1)!} d\rho(y|_j) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{-m'}^0 \frac{B_z^{(n)}(y)}{z!} d\rho(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{m''-1} \int_0^1 \frac{B_z^{(n)}(y)}{z!} d\rho(y|_{i-1}) - \sum_{i=0}^{m''-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i+1} \int_{-2(i+1)-i}^{-i+1} \frac{B_{z-1}^{(n-i)}(y)}{(z-i)!} d\rho(y|_j).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a \frac{B_z^{(n)}(y)}{z!} d\rho(y) &= \int_0^n \frac{B_z^{(n)}(y)}{z!} d\rho(y) + \int_0^1 \frac{B_z^{(n)}(y)}{z!} d\rho'(n|y) + \int_{n-1}^n \frac{B_z^{(n)}(y)}{z!} d\rho''(n|y) \\ &\quad + \int_{-m''}^0 \frac{B_{z-1}^{(n-i)}(y)}{(z-i)!} d\rho'_{n-i}(y) + \int_{n-1}^{n'-1} \frac{B_{z-1}^{(n-i)}(y)}{(z-1)!} d\rho''_{n-i}(y) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\rho'(n|y) = \sum_{j=0}^{m''-1} \rho(y-j-i), \quad \rho''(n|y) = \sum_{j=0}^{n'-n-1} \rho(y+j+1)$$

$$\rho'_{n-i}(y) = - \sum_{j=0}^{m''-i} \rho(y-j) \quad (j \leq y < j+1; j = -m'', -m'+1, \dots, -1)$$

$$\rho''_{n-i}(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{n'-i-1} \rho(y+j) \quad (j \leq y < j+1; j = n-1, n, \dots, n'-2)$$

The coefficient  $f_z(n; \rho, \omega, z)$  has thus been expressed in terms of a coefficient of the form  $f_z(n; \rho(n), 0, n)$  and an integral

involving the polynomial  $B_{2-1}^{(n-1)}$  over the range  $[-m'', n'-1]$ . The latter integral may be reduced to a form involving a coefficient  $f_{z-n}(n-1; \rho(n-1), 0, n-1)$  and an integral involving the polynomial  $B_{2-2}^{(n-2)}$  over the range  $[-m'', n'-2]$ . Continuing this reduction,

$$f_z(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{r=1}^m f_{z-n+r}(r; \hat{\rho}(r), 0, r) + f_{z-n}(0; \tilde{\rho}, \alpha, \beta-m)$$

where

$$f_{z-n}(0; \tilde{\rho}, \alpha, \beta-m) = \int_0^{\beta-m} \frac{y^{z-n}}{(t+n)} d\tilde{\rho}(y)$$

the functions  $\hat{\rho}(r)$  and  $\tilde{\rho}$  being as described in Definition ... . Over the range  $[0, r]$  the functions  $B_r^{(r)}$  and  $\bar{B}_r^{(r)}$  are the same ( $r=1, \dots, n$ ). Thus

when  $\infty \in \mathcal{I}(x^-, x^+)$ , the series

$$\sum f_{z-n+r}(r; \hat{\rho}(r), 0, r) \mathcal{D}^{(n-r)} \psi(x) w^r$$

represents  $E^+(r, m; \hat{\rho}(r), 0, r; \psi, \infty; w)$  asymptotically tends to zero in the sector  $\Delta(-\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$ , ( $r=1, \dots, n$ ). As is easily verified, the series

$$\sum f_{z-n}(0; \tilde{\rho}, \alpha, \beta-m) \mathcal{D}^{(m)} \psi(x) w^0$$

represents  $E(0, m; \tilde{\rho}, \alpha, \beta-m; \psi, \infty; w)$  in the same way. Combining these results, it follows that  $\mathcal{D}^z(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x; w)$  represents  $F^+(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta, \psi, x)$  asymptotically as in the sector  $\Delta(-\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$ . If  $\alpha > 0$  or  $\beta < 0$ , the reductions described above are a little simpler; when both conditions hold, no reduction is necessary.

The further result of clause Aii), consequent upon the special form assumed for it, follows from part - I. Theorem - .

The above reductions are symmetry preserving in the sense that if  $\hat{p} \in \hat{B}_{\infty}^{(n)}(\alpha, \beta)$ , then  $\hat{\rho}(n) \in \hat{B}_{\infty}^{(n)}(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $\hat{\rho}(n+1) \in \hat{B}_{\infty}^{(n+1)}(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  
 $\hat{\rho}(n-2i) \in \hat{B}_{\infty}^{(n-2i)}(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $\hat{\rho}(n-2i-1) \in \hat{B}_{\infty}^{(n-2i-1)}(\alpha, \beta)$  for all  $i$  such that these functions are defined and  $\hat{\rho} \in \hat{B}(0; \alpha, \beta)$  if  $n$  is even and  $\hat{\rho} \in \hat{B}(0; \alpha, \beta)$  if  $n$  is odd; interchanging the bar and tilde consistently, a similar <sup>corresponding</sup> result derived by interchanging the bar and tilde consistently also holds. The result of clause Aiii) now follows from the connect ~~the result of clause Aii) now follows from the connect~~ holds. The series  $\hat{J}(n; p, \alpha, \beta; t, z)$  may, under the assumptions of clause Aiii) may be decomposed into a finite sum involving  $\sum$  of series involving only even or odd powers of  $w$  and  $\sum$  of which, by use of clause - I. Theorem - and an independent part concerning the function  $E(0, m; \hat{\rho}, \alpha, \beta; n; t, z)$ , an a subsidiary result of the same form as that stated in clause Aii) holds. These subsidiary results may be combined to obtain the general result given.

The results of parts B and C, concerning convergence and summability, are derived in the same way.

**Theorem . A)** With  $\rho \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $h \in (-\infty, \infty)$ , define  $\rho_h$  over  $[x+h, \beta+h]$  by means of the formula  $\rho_h(y) = \rho(y-h)$  ( $x+h \leq y \leq \beta+h$ ). For certain  $\omega$  and  $w$ , let  $F(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x|w)$  satisfy one of the conditions

certain (as a consequence of one of the results of theorem ... )  
 Let  $\psi$  and  $\rho$  be such that  $F^+(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x|w)$  is analytic in  
 the neighbourhood of  $x$ . Then for sufficiently small real  $h$ ,  
 for  $\alpha < \beta$  there exists such that in all the interval  $(t, t+h)$  containing the origin exists  
 two functions  $F^+(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x+h|w)$  and  $F^+(n; \rho_h, \alpha+h, \beta+h; \psi, x|w)$  which are identical.  
 $\psi$  and  $\alpha$  are identical. A similar result holds with regard to the  
 function  $F^-$ .

**B) a)** Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\alpha, \beta)$  where  $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (-\infty, \infty)$ , and let  $\psi \in M(M, x^-, x^+)$   
 Let  $\alpha' = \min(0, \alpha)$ ,  $\beta' = \max(0, \beta-1)$ ,  $\omega^+ = (x^+ - x^-)/(x^+ - \alpha' + 1)$ ,  
 a) Set  $\hat{x}^-(\omega) = x^- - \omega' \operatorname{Re}(\omega)$ ,  $\hat{x}^+(\omega) = x^+ - (\beta' + 1) \operatorname{Re}(\omega)$ . With  $\omega \in S(0, \omega^+)$   
 fixed, the functions  $F^+(r; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x|w)$ , the functions  $F^+(r; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x|w)$   
 are analytic one ( $r=1, 2, \dots$ ) are analytic over the strip  
 $S(\hat{x}^-(\omega), \hat{x}^+(\omega))$  in the  $x$ -plane, and satisfy the system  
 of difference equations

$$\Delta_w F^+(1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x|w) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \psi(x + yw) d\rho(y)$$

$$\Delta_{\omega}^+ F(r+1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x | \omega) = F^+(r; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x | \omega)$$

for  $r=1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\Delta_{\omega} g(x) = \{g(x+\omega) - g(x)\}/\omega$ .

b) Set  $\tilde{x}^-(\omega) = x^- - (\alpha' - 1) \operatorname{Re}(\omega)$ ,  $\tilde{x}^+(\omega) = x^+ - \beta' \operatorname{Re}(\omega)$ . With  $\omega \in (-\omega^+, 0)$  fixed, the functions  $F^-(r; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x | \omega)$  ( $r=1, 2, \dots$ ) are analytic over the strip  $S(\tilde{x}^-(\omega), \tilde{x}^+(\omega))$  in the  $x$ -plane, and satisfy a system of difference equations analogous to those given above.

- (i) Under the conditions of clause Biib $\beta$ ) of theorem —, the functions  $F^+$  concerned satisfy the difference equations for  $x \in S(x^- - \alpha' \operatorname{Re}(\omega), \infty)$ ; under those of clause Biib $\beta$ ), the functions  $F^-$  concerned satisfy them for  $x \in S(-\infty, x^+ - \alpha' \operatorname{Re}(\omega))$ ; under those of clause Bib $\beta$ ), the functions  $F^-$  concerned satisfy them for  $x \in S(x' - \beta'' \operatorname{Re}(\omega), \infty)$  where  $\beta'' = \max(0, \beta - 1) + 1$ , and under those of clause Biib $\beta$ ), the functions  $F^+$  concerned satisfy them for  $x \in S(-\infty, x^+ - \beta'' \operatorname{Re}(\omega))$ .
- (ii) Where, as in clauses part C and clause Fü of theorem — it has been shown that for a certain  $\omega$ ,  $F^+$  and  $F^-$  are entire functions of  $x$ , the functions concerned satisfy the above difference equations for all finite complex  $x$ .

C. With  $\rho^{(1)} = \rho \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\alpha, \beta)$  define further functions  $\rho^{(r)}$  ( $r=2, \dots, n$ ) over  $[\alpha, r\beta]$  by setting  $\rho^{(r)}(y) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \rho^{(r-1)}(y-y') d\rho(y')$  and extending  $\rho^{(r)}$  over  $(r\beta, (r+1)\beta - \alpha]$  by setting  $\rho_r(y) = \rho_r(r\beta)$  in this interval and over  $[(r+1)\alpha - \beta, \alpha]$  by setting  $\rho_r(y) = \rho_r(r\alpha)$  there. Set  $\tilde{F}_0^+(x) = \psi(x)$  and  $\tilde{F}_r^+(x) = F^+(r; \rho_r, r\alpha, r\beta; \psi, x | \omega)$  ( $r=1, \dots, n$ ) and  ~~$\alpha'(n) = \min(0, n\alpha)$ ,  $\beta'(n) = \max(0, n(\beta-\alpha))$ .~~

(i) Let  $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{W}(M; x^-, x^+)$  and set  $\omega^+ = (x^+ - x^-)/(\beta'(n) - \alpha'(n))$ .

a) Set  $\hat{x}^-(\omega) = x^- - \alpha'(n) \operatorname{Re}(\omega)$ ,  $\hat{x}^+(\omega) = x^+ - \beta'(n) \operatorname{Re}(\omega)$ . With  $\omega \in S(0, \omega^+)$  fixed, the functions  $\tilde{F}_r^+(x)$  ( $r=0, 1, \dots, n$ ) are analytic and these functions satisfy the many relationships to be constructed by use of the relationships

$$F^+(m'; \rho_m, m\alpha, m\beta; \tilde{F}_r, x | \omega) = \tilde{F}_{m+r}^+(x)$$

for  $r=0, 1, \dots, n-m$ ,  $m=1, \dots, n$ ,  $r=0, 1, \dots, n-m$  and all  $x \in S\{\hat{x}^-(\omega), \hat{x}^{r+m}(\omega)\}$ .

b) Set  $\tilde{x}^-(\omega) = x^- - \beta'(n) \operatorname{Re}(\omega)$ ;  $\tilde{x}^+(\omega) = x^+ - \alpha'(n) \operatorname{Re}(\omega)$ . With  $\omega \in S(-\omega^+, 0)$  fixed,  $\tilde{F}_r \in A_{\infty} \{ S\{\tilde{x}^-(\omega), \tilde{x}^+(\omega)\} \} (r=0, \dots, n)$  and these functions satisfy relationships similar to ( ) over  $S\{\tilde{x}^-(\omega), \tilde{x}^+(\omega)\}$  involving function  $F$  hold.

(ii) Under the conditions of clause (i) of theorem ..., relationships of the form ( ) hold over  $S(x^- - \alpha'(n) \operatorname{Re}(\omega), \infty)$ ; under those of clause

Bib $\beta$ ) similar relationships for

Bib $\beta$ ) they hold over  $\Im(-\infty, x^+ - \beta'(n)R(\omega))$ ; under those 3) clause

Bib $\beta$ ) similar relationships involving functions  $F^\pm$  hold over  $\Im(x^-, \beta'(n)R(\omega), \omega)$ ; and under those 3) clause Bib $\beta$ ), they hold over  $\Im(-\infty, x^+ - \beta'(n)R(\omega))$   
 $\Im(-\infty, x^+ - \alpha'(n)R(\omega))$

(iii) Where, as in part C and clause Fü) 3) theorem - , it has been shown that for a certain  $\omega$ ,  $F^\pm$  are entire functions of  $\omega$ , the functions concerned satisfy relationships of the form ( ) for all finite com  
 $m = 1, 2, \dots, r = 0, 1, \dots$  and all finite complex  $x$ .

~~Prob. 26 has been shown that~~

Prof. <sup>To any</sup> Where, in one of the results of theorem <sup>in which</sup> it has been shown that  $F^+(n; \rho, \omega, \beta; \psi, x|w)$  is for a certain  $w$  analytic in the neighbourhood of  $x$ , there corresponds a result to be derived from part C of the theorem stating that  $\int_0^\beta (n; \rho, \omega, \beta; \psi, x) dy$  is summable  $(B', 1)$  to the value of this function. It is clear that for the above fixed  $x = 1^{(0)}$  and sufficiently small real  $h$   $F^+(n; \rho_h + h, \omega, \beta; \psi, x|w)$  is analytic in the neighbourhood of  $x$ . In short, for over an ~~proper~~ open interval containing the origin, the series

$$\sum_{\alpha} \int_0^{\beta} \frac{B_{\alpha}^{(n)}(y; h)}{y!} d\rho(y) \stackrel{?}{=} \psi(x - hw) w^{\alpha}$$

is summable to a function,  $S(h; x, w)$  say. The derivative with respect to  $h$  of  $S(h; x, w)$  is the difference between the  $(B', 1)$  sum of the series

$$\sum_{\alpha} \int_0^{\beta} \frac{B_{\alpha-1}^{(n)}(y; h)}{(y-1)!} d\rho(y) \stackrel{?}{=} \psi(x - hw) w^{\alpha}$$

and

$$\sum_{\alpha} \int_0^{\beta} \frac{B_{\alpha}^{(n)}(y+h; 1)}{y!} d\rho(y) \stackrel{?}{=} \psi(x - hw) w^{\alpha+1}$$

This difference is easily verified to be zero. In short  $S(h; x, w) = F^+(n; \rho, \omega, \beta; \psi, x|w)$  for all  $h$  in the stated interval, and replacing  $x$  by  $x + hw$ ,  $S(h; x + hw, w) = \overline{F^+(n; \rho, \omega, \beta; \psi, x + hw|w))} = F^+(n; \rho_h, \omega + hw, \beta; \psi, x + hw|w)$  as required.

That, under the conditions of clause Bi), the functions  $F^+(r; \rho_2, \alpha, \beta, \psi, \omega)$  are analytic as described, follows from clause ( ) of Theorem . Furthermore when  $h=0, 1$ ,

$F^+(r; \rho_2, \alpha, \beta; \psi + h\omega|w)$  is the  $(B'_1, 1)$  sum of the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^y \frac{B_n^{(r)}(y+h)}{n!} d\rho(y) e^{(y-r)\psi(x)}.$$

The difference between the values of these two functions is the  $(B'_1, 1)$  sum of the difference series, whose terms involve polynomials of the form  $B_n^{(r)}(y+1) - B_n^{(r)}(y) = r B_{n-1}^{(r-1)}(y)$ . Replacing  $r$  by  $r+1$ , relationship ( ) is obtained. The special result ( ) is easily verified. The further results of part B are derived in the same way.

$\square$  <sup>Step</sup> Under the standard conditions, relationship ( ) holds whenever evidently holds when  $m=r=1$ . Assume that it holds for all appropriate  $m, r \leq i \leq k$ , where  $i < m$ . This is easily verified by use of series of the form ( ).

$$\Delta \underset{\omega}{\wedge} F^+(m; \rho_m, m\alpha, m\beta; F_r, x|w) = \int_{-\infty}^y F_{m, m-1}^+(x+y\omega) d\rho(y)$$

$$\Delta \underset{\omega}{\wedge} F^+(m; \rho_m, m\alpha, m\beta; F_r, x|w) = \int_{-\infty}^y F^+(m-1; \rho_{m-1}, (m-1)\alpha, (m-1)\beta; F_r, x+y\omega) d\rho(y)$$

Set  $F_{m, m}^+(x) = F^+(m; \rho_m, m\alpha, m\beta; F_r, x|w)$ . From the above two relationships,  $\Delta \underset{\omega}{\wedge} \phi(m, r, x) = 0$ , so that  $\phi(m, r, x)$  is periodic in  $x$  with period  $\omega$ . Decompose  $\psi$  in the form  $\psi = \psi^+ + \psi^-$  given by relationship ( ). With  $\psi$  replaced by  $\psi^+$ ,  $F_{m, m}^+(x)$  is analytic in

$\rightarrow \infty$  for arbitrarily large positive  $\operatorname{Re}(x)$ , and as  $\operatorname{Re}(x) \rightarrow -\infty$  in the direction  $\arg(x) = \arg(w)$ ,  $\sum_{n=r}^{m+M+1} F_{n,r}^+(x)$  tends to zero. The same is true of the other constituent of  $\phi(m,r,\psi^+,x)$ . Hence

$\sum_{n=r}^{m+M+1} \phi(m,r,\psi^+,x) = 0$  identically, and tends to zero as  $x$  tends to infinity in the manner described in the direction  $\arg(x) = \arg(w)$  and since  $\phi$  is periodic,  $\phi(m,r,\psi^+,x) = 0$  identically. Similarly, considering the behaviour of  $\phi(m,r,\psi^-_r,x)$  for large negative  $\operatorname{Re}(x)$ , it is finally shown that  $\phi(m,r,\psi^-_r,x) = 0$  identically. Relationship ( ) is true as stated. The further results of part C are derived in the same way.

Under the

It is clear that under the conditions of clause B(i), the functions  $F_{m,r}^+(x)$  upon the left hand side of relationship ( ) are well-defined for the stated values of  $x$  and  $w$ . Furthermore (from clause B(iv)) of clause ( ) of Theorem 1,  $\sum_{n=r}^{m+M+1} F_n^+(x)$  for fixed  $w \in S(0, \omega^+)$  is 1 when  $\sum_{n=r}^{m+M+1} F_n^+(x) \in M(0; x^-(w), x^+(w))$ ; the functions upon the right hand side of relationship ( ) also exist for the stated values of  $m$  and  $r$ . Relationship ( ) itself evidently holds when  $m=r$ .

Notation With  $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_0(\alpha, \beta)$  set

$$\overline{\phi}_n(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\rho} \frac{E_n(u)}{u^\beta} f(u) du$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\rho > 0, 1, \dots$ . In similarly defined  $\psi$ , and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$   
 $j = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $\overline{\phi}_{j,n}(n, j; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z/w)$  be the series  
let  $\overline{\phi}_j(n, j; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z/w)$  be the series

$$\sum_i \overline{\phi}_{j,i,n}(n; \omega, \alpha, \beta) \stackrel{j}{\overbrace{\psi(z)w^i}}$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ , ~~and~~ and let  $\overline{\phi}_e(n, j; \rho, \alpha, \beta, \psi, z/w)$  be the series

$$\sum_i \overline{\phi}_{j,i,n}(n; \omega, \alpha, \beta) \stackrel{j}{\overbrace{\psi(z)w^i}}$$

respectively

Notation With  $\rho \in L_n(\omega, \psi)$ , set

$$b_{\rho}(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{E_{\rho}(y)}{(n!)^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\rho(y)$$

for  $n=1, 2, \dots, \beta=0, 1, \dots$ . For  $n=1, 2, \dots, j=0, 1, \dots$  let  $b_{\rho}$  similarly defined

and  $n=1, 2, \dots, j=0, 1, \dots$  let  $b_{\rho}(n, j; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, \omega | w)$  be and

$\hat{b}_{\rho}(n, j; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, \omega | w) + b_{\rho}(n, j; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, \omega | w)$  be the series

/ for  $j=0, 1, \dots$

Let  $A(n, j; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, \omega | w)$  be the  $\sum_{i=0}^{j+1} b_{\rho}(n; \rho, \alpha, i) \psi(x)^i$

for  $j=1, 2, \dots, \beta=0, 1, \dots$  and let  $\hat{B}(n, j; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, \omega | w)$  be the series

$\sum_{i=0}^{j+1} b_{\rho(n)+i+1}(n; \rho, \alpha, i) \psi(x)^{i+j+1}$

for  $j=p(n), p(n)+1, \dots$  respectively, where  $p(n)=0$  if  $n$  is even and  $p(n)=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ .

Theorem A Let  $\psi \in W(W; \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$

(i) a) For  $r=M, M+1, \dots (r>\beta), \alpha=0, 1, \dots$  and  $\omega \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$

$$c_r(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta)$$

$$b_{r+\beta}(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta) \psi(x) = i^r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\ell}{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^k c_s(n; r, k; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, \omega | t) dt$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_s(n; r, k; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, \omega | t) &= (-1)^{\frac{m+k}{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \binom{n-j-1}{r-j-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P_{n-j-1}(y) E_{\rho}(y+iw)}{(n-j-1)! (r-j)!} \frac{\psi(x+iw) - \psi(x)}{w} d\rho(y) dw \\ &= \end{aligned}$$

(where  $\Omega \equiv \{t > 0\}$ ) for  $t(\neq 0) \in (-\infty, 0)$  ~~not~~, ~~and~~ and in terms of formula (1)

also

$$\phi(n, r, k; \rho, \omega_1, \omega_2; 4, x | \omega) = \tilde{\phi}(n, r, k; \rho, \omega_1, \omega_2; -1/2\pi) \cdot e^{ix} \psi(x)$$

b) If  $\psi \in W(0; \omega_1, \cdot)$  and

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^{\infty} e^{(2m-1)i\pi y} / (2m-1) \right| < \infty$$

then the representations by formulae (1, 2) also hold for  $r=0, 1, \dots, 2r-1, 2r$

ii) For  $m = K, K+1, \dots (K = \max(M, 1))$  let  $G^+(n, m; \rho, \omega_1, \omega_2; 4, x | \omega)$  be the function defined over the strip  $x \in \mathbb{S}(x^-, x^+)$  and the half-plane  $\omega \in H^+$  by means of the formula

$$G^+(n, m; \rho, \omega_1, \omega_2; 4, x | \omega) = \sum_{l=1}^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(n, m, l; \rho, \omega_1, \omega_2; 4, z | t)}{(1+it)^l} dt \quad (m=0, 1, \dots, K-1)$$

Define the functions  $B^+(n, m; \rho, \omega_1, \omega_2; 4, x | \omega)$  by means of the formulae

$$B^+(n, K-r; \rho, \omega_1, \omega_2; 4, x | \omega) = c_{K-r}(n; \rho, \omega_1, \omega_2) e^{ix} \psi(x) + \omega B^+(n, K-r+1; \rho, \omega_1, \omega_2; 4, x | \omega)$$

for  $r=1, \dots, K$ .

$$c_l = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-it} \psi(t) dt, \quad l=0, 1, \dots, m(K)$$

a) For fixed  $\omega \in H^+$ ,  $B(n; m; \rho, \omega_1, \omega_2; 4, x | \omega) \in M_{\infty}(\mathbb{S}(x^-, x^+))$  where  $j(m) = \min\{m, K-m\}$   
 if  $m \geq K$  and  $j(m) = K-m$  if  $m < K$ .  $j(K) = \max(0, K-2)$

b) For  $n, m, z = 0, 1, \dots$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{G}(x^-, x^+)$  and  $\omega \in H^+$

$$B^+(n, m; z; \rho, \alpha, l; \psi, x | \omega) = B(n, m; z; \rho, \alpha, l; \psi, x | \omega) + \tilde{\omega}^l B(n, m+z; \rho, \alpha, l; \psi, x | \omega)$$

c) For  $m = 0, 1, \dots$  and fixed  $x \in \mathcal{S}(x^-, x^+)$

$$B^+(n, m; z; \rho, \alpha, l; \psi, x | \omega) \approx B(n, m; \rho, \alpha, l; \psi, x | \omega)$$

as  $\omega$  tends to zero in  $\Delta(-\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$

d) If the conditions of clause ii) hold, the function  $G^+(n, 0; \rho, \alpha, l; \psi, x | \omega)$  has a direct representation by the form ( ), and use of formulae such as ( ) to define these functions may be dispensed with.

(ii) a) If  $\rho \in \hat{B}_n(\alpha, \beta)$  then  $b_{m+k+l}(\rho; \alpha, \beta) = 0$  and if  $\rho \in \tilde{B}_n(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  
 $b_{m+k+l}(\rho; \alpha, \beta) = 0 \rightarrow \text{for } z = 0, 1, \dots \text{ in both cases}$

b) In equation ( )

$$\sigma(n, m, l; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x | -t) = \sigma(n, m, l; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x | t)$$

for  $l = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $m \geq k$  for which  $m-n$  is odd when  $\rho \in \hat{B}_n(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $m-n$  is even when  $\rho \in \tilde{B}_n(\alpha, \beta)$

c) Let the functions  $B^+(n, m; \rho, \alpha, l; \psi, x | \omega)$  be as defined in clause ii), and let  $x \in \mathcal{G}(x^-, x^+)$  be fixed

If  $\rho \in \hat{B}(\alpha, \beta)$ :

a)  $\int_{-\infty}^t g_j$   $j = 0, 1, \dots$

$$\mathcal{B}^+(n; p(n) + 2j; \rho, \alpha, \beta; 4, \infty | w) = \omega \mathcal{B}^+(n; p(n) + 2j + 1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; 4, \infty | w)$$

(b) For  $j = 1 - p(n), 2 - p(n), \dots, \tau = 0, 1, \dots$

$$\mathcal{B}^+(n; p(n) + 2j - 1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; 4, \infty | w) =$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}_e^+(n; p(n) + 2j - 1 + \tau; \rho, \alpha, \beta; 4, \infty | w)$$

$$+ \omega^{2\tau} \mathcal{B}^+(n; p(n) + 2j + 2\tau - 1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; 4, \infty | w)$$

(c) For  $j = 1 - p(n), 2 - p(n), \dots$

$$\mathcal{B}^+(n; p(n) + 2j - 1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; 4, \infty | w) \approx \mathcal{B}_e^+(n; p(n) + 2j - 1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; 4, \infty | w)$$

as  $w$  tends to zero in  $\Delta(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$

If  $\rho \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_m(\alpha, \beta)$ , the above results (b)-(c) hold with  $2j$  replaced consistently by  $2j+1$ ,  $j$  running from and  $j =$

(d) If condition  $\checkmark$  ( ) holds, relationship ( ) holds for all  $m \geq M$  for which  $m-n$  is odd when  $\rho \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_n(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $m-n$  is even when  $\rho \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_n(\alpha, \beta)$

(iv) Functions  $\mathcal{B}^-(n, m; \rho, \alpha, \beta; 4, \infty | w)$  may be defined over  $H^-$  by formulae similar to (,) and to ~~all~~<sup>each</sup> the above results stated for  $\mathcal{B}^+(n, m; \rho, \alpha, \beta; 4, \infty | w)$  over  $H^+$  there corresponds a result for  $\mathcal{B}^-(n, m; \rho, \alpha, \beta; 4, \infty | w)$  over  $H^-$ ; the asymptotic results corresponding to (,) hold over the sector  $\Delta(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2})$

Theorem . With  $\rho \in \mathcal{B}_n(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $\psi \in M(M; \infty^-, x^+)$  and  $\infty \in \mathcal{B}(\infty^-, x^+)$ , let the functions  $B^{\pm}(n, m; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x|w)$  be as described in Theorem .

(i) For  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ ,  $B(n, m; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x)$  is

a) summable  $(B'_1)$  to  $B^+(n, m; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x)$  over  $[0, \infty)$  and

b) summable  $(\bar{B}'_1)$  to the Junction over  $H^+$

(ii) Let  $\rho \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_n(\alpha, \beta)$ . For  $j = 1-p(n), 2-p(n), \dots, \hat{\rho}_j(n, p(n)+j-1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x)$   
is  
and summable  $(B^*)_{j+1}$

a) summable  $(B'_2)$  to  $\mathcal{B}^*(n, p(n)+j-1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, x)$  and  $\infty$  over  $[0, \infty)$  and

b) summable  $(\bar{B}, 2)$  and summable  $(\bar{B}^2)$  to the Junction over  $H^+$

If  $\rho \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_n(\alpha, \beta)$ , the above results hold with  $\hat{\rho}_j$  replaced consistently by  $\tilde{\rho}_{j+1}$ .

(iii) Results corresponding to those of clause (i) may be formulated for the Junctions  $\mathcal{B}^-$  by replacing  $[0, \infty)$  and  $H^+$  by  $(-\infty, 0]$  and  $H^-$ ; results corresponding to those of clause (ii) for these Junctions may be obtained in a similar manner.

Theorem . Let  $\psi \in M(M, \omega^-, x^-)$ . Set  $n' = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  and let in the following  
 let  $z \in (x^-, x^+)$ .

(ii) Let  $\psi' = e^{i\phi'}(\psi)\psi(z)$  where  $\phi' \in L^1(0, \pi)$  and let  $\psi \in M(M, \omega^-, x^-)$  and  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n'\}$   
 (i) In the representation ( ) in  $\psi'$  let  $\psi$  is nondecreasing to  $\sqrt{\cos(v)}$  for all real  $v$ . Let  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}(x, z)$  and let

$$Ae^{-i\phi''}(-r)^{j+1} \int_0^r B_{n-2j-1}^{(n)}(u) \cos \left\{ (2j+1)u \right\}, e^{-i\phi''} \int_0^r B_{n-2j-2}^{(n)}(u) \sin \left\{ (2j+1)u \right\}$$

be real and nonnegative for  $r=0, 1, \dots$  and  $j=0, 1, \dots, n'-p(n)-1$  in the first integral and  $j=0, 1, \dots, n'-1$  in the second. Let  $2m+1 > \max(M, n+1)$ .

a) The series  $\bar{f}_e(n, 2m+1; z, \omega, \varepsilon; \psi, z | \omega)$  is semi-convergent over  $\bar{\Delta}(-\frac{1}{4}\bar{\pi}, \frac{1}{4}\bar{\pi})$  in the sense that relationship ( ) holds and

$$\left| \text{ess} \bar{F}_e(n, 2m+1; z, \omega, \varepsilon; \psi, z | \omega) \right| \leq b$$

$$-g(r) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2m+2j+1}} (n; z, \omega, \varepsilon) \right| \Delta^{2m+2j+1} |\psi(z)| \omega^{2r}$$

when  $\omega \in \bar{\Delta}(-\frac{1}{4}\bar{\pi}, \frac{1}{4}\bar{\pi})$ , ( $r=0, 1, \dots$ )

b) When  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$  the partial sums of  $\bar{f}_e(n, 2m+1; z, \omega, \varepsilon; \psi, z | \omega)$  oscillate about the value of  $\bar{G}(n, 2m+1; z, \omega, \varepsilon; \psi, z | \omega)$

setting  $c = (-1)^{n'} e^{\pm\phi - i(\phi' + \phi'')}$

$$c \bar{G}(n+2m+2, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha, \beta; 4, z/\omega) < c G(n, 2m+1, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha, \beta; 4, z/\omega)$$

$$c \bar{G}(n, 2m+1, 2, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z/\omega) < c \bar{G}(n, 2m+1, 0, \alpha, \beta; 4, z/\omega)$$

$$c \bar{G}(n, 2m+1, 2, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha, \beta; 4, z/\omega)$$

for  $z = 0, 1, \dots$

(ii) In the representation ( ) for  $\psi'$  let  $\sqrt{M} d(v)/v$  all real  $v$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{D}(\omega, \rho)$ , and let the values of the integrals ( ) with  $\cos(2\pi v) dv$  and  $\sin(2\pi v) dv$  interchanged and  $(-1)^{j+k}$  replaced by  $(-1)^{j+k}$  in the first. Let  $2m \geq \max(M, n)$ . For the newly renotated value of  $m$ , the above results with  $2m+1$  replaced by  $2m$  hold.

(iii) Results similar to those stated above also hold with regard to the functions  $\tilde{G}'$  defined over  $\overline{\Delta}(\frac{3\pi}{4}, \frac{5\pi}{4})$  and  $(-\infty, 0]$ .

Theorem Let  $\psi \in \Pi(\Lambda, \omega_3, \omega)$  with  $\psi(z) = O(e^{\frac{R}{|z|}})$  as  $|Re(z)|$  tends to infinity; set  $\gamma = \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} 2\pi / \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} 2\pi/\delta'$ . Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{B}_n(x, \cdot)$

- (i) The functions  $\phi(n, m; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z/w)$  ( $k=1, \dots, n$ ) in formula (1) are constant over  $[-\infty, -\delta]$  and  $(0, \infty]$ .
- (ii) The functions  $\tilde{\phi}_k^+(n, m; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z/w)$  are the same function in the sense that they may be obtained from each other by analytic continuation across the segment  $i(-\delta', \delta')$  of the imaginary axis, and this function has the representation as a sum of  $n$  terms  $\sum_{k=1}^n \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \phi(n, m, k; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z/w) t^k$
- $$G(n, m; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z/w) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \phi(n, m, k; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z/w) t^k$$
- (iii) a)  $\tilde{f}(n, m; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z)$  converges to  $G(n, m; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z)$  over  $D_{1/\delta}$  ( $m=0, 1, \dots$ )
- b) Let  $\rho \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_n(t, \cdot)$ . For  $m=0, 1, \dots$   $G_e(n, 2m+1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z)$  converges to  $G(n, 2m+1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z)$  over  $D_{1/\delta}$
- c) Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{C}_n(x, \cdot)$ . The preceding result with  $2m+1$  replaced by  $2m$  holds.
- (iv) Let the conditions of clause (i) of Theorem hold, with  $m$  replaced and  $c$  defined as in that clause.

- a) When  $\omega \in (-\delta^*, \delta^*)$  the even and odd order partial sums of  $\tilde{f}_e \tilde{f}_e(n, 2m+1; \omega, \alpha, \beta; 4, 2/\omega)$  are members of monotonic sequences of opposing types respectively, in the sense that
- $$cG_e(n, 2m+1; 1/\omega) < cG_e(n, 2m+1; \omega, \alpha, \beta; 4, 2/\omega) < cG_e(n, 2m+1; \omega, \alpha, \beta; 4, 2/\omega) < cG_e(n, 2m+1; \omega, \alpha, \beta; 4, 2/\omega) < cG_e(n, 2m+1; \omega, \alpha, \beta; 4, 2/\omega)$$

for  $\tau = 0, 1, \dots$

- b) When  $\omega \in (-\delta^*, \delta^*)$ , the partial sums of  $\tilde{f}_e(n, 2m+1; \omega, \alpha, \beta; 4, 2m)$  form a monotonic sequence in the sense that

$$cG_e(n, 2m+1; \tau - 1; \omega, \alpha, \beta; 4, 2m) < cG_e(n, 2m+1; \tau; \omega, \alpha, \beta; 4, 2m) < cG_e(n, 2m+1; \tau + 1; \omega, \alpha, \beta; 4, 2m)$$

$$c\bar{G}_e(\tau - 1, 2m+1/\omega) < c\bar{G}_e(\tau, 2m+1/\omega) < c\bar{G}_e(2m+1/\omega)$$

for  $\tau = 0, 1, \dots$

- (v) Let the conditions of clause (ii) of Theorem 1 hold, with  $m$  restricted and  $c$  defined as in that clause, the results of the preceding clause with  $2m+1$  replaced consistently by  $2m$  hold.

Theorem. Let  $\rho \in \hat{B}_n(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ , and  $\eta \in M(0; \infty, \omega)$  and  $z \in S(x^-, x^+)$

- i) let  $\nu \in (0, \alpha)$  and, in the representation ( ), let  $\phi$  be analytic over either  $(\nu, \infty)$  or  $(-\infty, -\nu)$ . The imaginary axis in the  $w$ -plane is a natural barrier for both functions  $\tilde{G}^\pm(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \nu; 4, x|w)$   
 Let  $\phi \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$  and,
- ii) In the representation ( ), let  $\phi$  be analytic in the less sector  $\Delta(-\phi, \phi), \Delta(\pi - \phi, \pi + \phi)$  (except  $\tilde{G}^\pm$  with  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \Im \tilde{G}^\pm(\nu) = 0$ )  
 ( $\nu \in (0, \infty)$  being arbitrarily large) as  $\nu$  tends to infinity in these sectors
- a) The functions  $\tilde{G}^\pm(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \nu; 4, x|w)$  may be continued analytically across both positive and negative parts of the imaginary axis ( $m=0, \pm \dots$ )
- b) Denoting by  $\tilde{G}^\pm(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \nu; 4, x|w)$  the functions derived as in clause i) Theorem and also obtained by analytic continuation,  $\tilde{G}^\pm(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \nu; 4, x|w)$  is analytic over  $\Delta(-\frac{1}{2}\pi - \phi, \frac{1}{2}\pi + \phi)$  and  $\tilde{G}(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \nu; 4, x|w)$  and  $\tilde{G}(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \nu; 4, x|w)$  represents these functions asymptotically as  $w$  tends to zero in these sectors ( $m=0, \pm \dots$ )
- c) Let  $\rho \in \hat{B}_n(\alpha, \varepsilon)$  if, in addition,  $\rho \in \hat{B}_n(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ , then the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{G}^{(2m+1, n; \rho, \alpha, \nu; 4, x|w)}$  represents  $\tilde{G}^{(2m+1, n; \rho, \alpha, \nu; 4, x|w)}$  as  $w$  tends to zero in the above sectors; while if  $\rho \in \hat{B}_n(\alpha, \varepsilon)$  the corresponding result with  $2m+1$  replaced by  $2m$  holds ( $m=0, \pm \dots$ )

Theorem Let  $\psi \in \mathcal{B}_1(x, \epsilon)$ , and if  $\psi \in M(M; x, \epsilon)$  and  $z \in (x^-, x^+)$ , and  $\phi'' \in [0, 2\pi]$ .  
 (and let  $\psi'$  be the function occurring  
 in the representation ( ) for  $\psi'$ ;  
 ( $\phi' \in [0, 2\pi]$ ),

Theorem Let  $\psi \in \mathcal{B}_1(x, \epsilon)$  and  $\psi(z) = e^{i\phi'} \psi'(z)$  where  $\psi' \in M(M, x^-, x^+)$   
 $\phi' \in [0, 2\pi]$ , with  $r$  fixed.  
 Now in the following let  $\psi \in \mathcal{B}_1(x, \epsilon)$ . Denote by  $\psi^{(m)}$  the  
 diagonal sequence of approximating functions  $P_{j, k+j+r}^{(m)}(z)$  when  
 $r > 0$  and  $P_{j-r, j+r}^{(m)}(z)$  when  $r < 0$  derived from the  
 series  $\tilde{\psi}(m, 1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z)$ ; similarly denote by  $\hat{\psi}^{(m,r)}$   
 the similar sequence of approximating functions derived from  
 the series  $\tilde{\psi}_r(m, 1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z)$  (viewed as a series in  
 ascending powers of  $w$ ).

i) Let  $m \geq \max(M, 2)$ , and in the representation ( ) for  $\psi'$  let  
 $\sqrt{m+M} \operatorname{det}(\nu) \geq 0$  for all real  $\nu$ . Let

$$c^{i\phi''} \int_0^\infty e^{(m+1)\nu w} dt(t), \quad (-i)^m e^{i\phi''} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-(m+1)\nu w} dt(t)$$

be real and nonnegative for  $\nu \in \mathbb{R} - \{0, 1, \dots\}$

a)  $\hat{\psi}^{(m,r)}$  converges uniformly to  $E^+(m, 1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z)$  over any  
 bounded domain lying in  $H^2$ , and to  $E^+(m, 1; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z)$  ( $r = -1, 0, 1, \dots$ )

b) Let  $\psi(z) = O(|z|^\gamma)$  for  $|Re(z)| \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$ ,  
 (so that  $E^+$  is the same function  $E$ ; vidic clause ( ) of Theorem)

In addition to the general result of the preceding subsection

$d^{(m+2r+1)}$  converges uniformly to  $E_{(m+1; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; 4, 2)}$  over any bounded domain lying in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z \in [2\pi/\delta, \infty] \}_{(r=0, \dots)}$ .

(ii) Let  $\nu \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}_1(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $2m+1 > \max(M, 2)$ , and  $\sqrt{M+1} d_{\nu}(v) \geq 0$  for all real  $v$ . Let

$$e^{i\phi''} \int_0^R \sin \{(2j+1)\pi v / \delta\} d_{\nu}(v)$$

be real and nonnegative for  $R = 0, 1, \dots$

a)  $P_{2j+1, 2k+1}^{(2m+1)}(w) = P_{j, k}^{(2m+1)}(w^2)$  ( $\forall j, k = 0, 1, \dots; 2, 2 = 0, 1, 2, 3$ )

b)  $d_e^{(2m+1, r)}$  converges uniformly to  $E_{(2m+1, m+1; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; 4, 2)}$  over any bounded domain lying in  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(r = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots)$

c) If the additional restriction of clause (b) above holds, convergence described in the preceding clause holds over any bounded domain  $d_{\nu}$  converging uniformly to  $E_{(2m+1, r; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; 4, 2)}$  over any bounded domain lying in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z \in [2\pi/\delta, \infty] \}_{(r = \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots)}$

iii) Let  $\tilde{\nu} \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $2m > \max(M, 2)$  and  $\sqrt{M+1} d_{\tilde{\nu}}(v) \geq 0$  for all real  $v$ . Let

$$e^{i\phi''} \int_0^R \tilde{c}_{\nu}(v) (2j+1) d_{\tilde{\nu}}(v)$$

be real and nonnegative for  $R = 0, 1, \dots$ . The results of clause (ii) with  $2m+1$  consistently replaced by  $2m$  hold.

Theorem Let  $\rho \in \mathbb{D}_n(\omega, z)$  and  $\psi \in \Pi(M; \omega, \omega^+)$  with  $\psi^+$  and  $\psi^-$  being the two functions occurring in the decomposition (7).

(i) Set

$$R(m, n; \rho, \omega, \beta; \psi, z | \omega) = \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{-E_m(y-t)}{(m-1)!} \omega^{m-1} \psi(z + \omega t) \phi(u) dt$$

$$R'(m, n; \rho, \omega, \beta; \psi, z | \omega) = - \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{\infty}^0 \frac{-E_m(y-t)}{m!} \omega^{m-1} \psi(z + \omega t) \phi(u) dt$$

Then for  ~~$m > M + M + 1$~~  ( $m > M$ ) and  $\omega \in H^+$ ,  $G^+$  has the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} G(m, n; \rho, \omega, \beta; \psi, z | \omega) &= R'(m, n; \rho, \omega, \beta; \psi^+, z | \omega) \\ &\quad + R''(m, n; \rho, \omega, \beta; \psi^-, z | \omega) \end{aligned}$$

and for  $\omega \in H^-$  and  $G^-$  has a similar representation

~~$G^-(m, n; \rho, \omega, \beta; \psi)$  over  $H^-$  derived by interchanging  $\psi^+$  w/  $\psi^-$~~

~~$m \geq M + M, (m - M)_n, (M)_m, \dots$~~

(ii) Set Let  $M = 0$  and in the formula expression (7) for  $\sum_{n=0}^M \psi$ , let  $\alpha(n) = O(v^{n+s})$ , as  $v$  tends to zero for some  $s > 0$ . Set

$$S(m, n; \rho, \omega, \beta; \psi, z | \omega) = \#$$

$$2^{-n} \sum_i (-1)^i \binom{n+1}{n-i} \int_{-\infty}^0 \psi(z + i\omega + 2\omega u) \phi(u) du$$

$$S''(m, n; \rho, \omega, \beta; \psi, z | \omega) = -2^{-n} \sum_i (-1)^i \binom{n+1}{n-i} \int_{-\infty}^0 \psi(z - i\omega + 2\omega u) \phi(u) du$$

Then for  $w \in H^+$  and  $m=0$ ,  $\bar{G}^+$  has  $\overset{\alpha}{\text{the}}$  decomposition similar to  
 $G(\cdot)$  with  $m/2$  set equal to zero and  $R', R''$  replaced by  $S', S''$ .  
 $\bar{G}^-$  has a similar decomposition over  $H^-$  (converg over in chanc*i*).

Definition With  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \beta_j \in [-\infty, \infty]$  and  $\rho$  the  $(\alpha, \beta)$  functions

$\tilde{\rho}$  and  $\hat{\rho}(r)$  ( $r = 1, \dots, n$ ) are defined as follows:

- a) if  $\alpha < 0, \beta > n$  then with  $n' = -[-\beta]$ ,  $m'' = -[\alpha]$  and  $\rho$  extended over the range  $[-m'', \alpha]$  if  $\alpha > -m''$  and over the range  $(\beta, n')$  if ~~if~~  $n' > \beta$  by setting  $\rho(y) = \rho(\alpha)$  over the first interval and  $\rho(y) = \rho'(y)$  over the second.

(i)  $\tilde{\rho}$  is defined over  $[-m'', n' - n]$  by setting, ~~for~~ for  $j \leq j < i+1$

$$\tilde{\rho}(y) = 2^n \sum_{j=0}^{n'-n} (-1)^j \binom{n'-1}{j} \rho(n-j)$$

for  $j = -m'', -m''-1, \dots, -1, \dots, n'$

$$\tilde{\rho}(y) = 2^n \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{n'-j-n-1} (-1)^i \binom{n'-1}{i} \rho(n+i) + \sum_{i=0}^{m''-1} (-1)^i \binom{n'-1}{i} \rho(-i) \right]$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n'-n-1$ , and setting

$$\tilde{\rho}(y) = 2^n \left\{ \rho(n) + \sum_{i=0}^{m''-1} (-1)^i \binom{n'-1}{i} \rho(-i) \right\}$$

(ii)  $\hat{\rho}(r)$  is defined over  $[0, r]$  by setting

$$\hat{\rho}(r/n) = \rho'(r/n) + \rho''(r/n)$$

for  $r = 1, \dots, n-1$  and

$$\hat{\rho}(1/n) = \rho(n) + \rho'(n/n) + \rho''(n/n)$$

! where

$$\rho'(r/u) = -2^{n-r} \sum_{j=0}^{m'-1} (-1)^j \binom{n-r+j}{j} \varrho(n-2-j)$$

for  $0 \leq u \leq 1$ ,  $\rho'(r/u) = \rho'(r/1)$  when  $1 < u \leq r$  and

$$\rho''(r/u) = -2^{n-r} \sum_{j=1}^{n-n-1} (-1)^j \binom{n-1+j}{j} \varrho(n+n-r+j+1)$$

for  $r-1 < u \leq r$ , and  $\rho''(r/u) = \rho''(r/r-1)$  when  $0 \leq u < r-1$ .  
The additional conditions being omitted.

In derivatives of order  $\alpha$ ,  $\rho'(r)$  and  $\rho''(r)$  are defined by the constant being omitted.

Coefficients  $\varrho_j$  are defined by omitting the terms from formula ( ). The functions  $G^{\pm}(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z/w)$  are defined by formulas similar to ( ), with  $F, f, 2^{2-n}$  replaced by  $G, g, 2^{\pm n}$ . Series  $\mathcal{G}_F(n, \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z/w)$  are defined by a formula similar to ( ), with  $\mathcal{G}_F$  replaced by  $G, g$ , and series  $\mathcal{G}_f$  one defined in the same way.

Theorem let  $B'$  and  $s'$  be such that with  $B'$  constant over  $(x^-, x^+)$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{B'(x)}{(z-x)^{s'}} \right| dx < \infty$$

for  $j=1, 2, \dots$  and all  $z \in S(x^-, x^+)$  and let

$$\Delta^{M'}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{B'(x)}{(z-x)^{s'}} dx$$

for  $z \in S(x^-, x^+)$ . Let  $h = \max(0, 1 - \lfloor -\operatorname{Re}(s') \rfloor)$  and set  $M = M' + h$ ,

$$s = s' + h, B(x) = (-1)^h \prod_{l=0}^{h-M-1} (z+l) B'(x)$$

For  $m = M, M+1, \dots$   $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  define functions  $w^\pm(k, m, n;$

$\rho, \alpha, \beta; \delta, \varphi, z)$  over  $\Delta(\pi - \frac{\pi}{2} - \arg(z - x^+), \pi + \frac{\pi}{2} - \arg(z - x^-))$  when

$\operatorname{Im}(z) > 0$  and over  $\Delta(-\pi - \arg(z - x^-), +\frac{\pi}{2} - \arg(z - x^+))$  when

$\operatorname{Im}(z) \leq 0$  (the real segment  $\pm (0, \infty)$  in particular belongs to the

segment over which  $w^\pm$  is defined) by

$$w^\pm(k, m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \delta, \varphi, z | t) = (-2)^{n-1} \frac{M-m-s-1}{t} \sum_{j=k}^{m-1} \binom{m+j-k}{m} \frac{t^{\frac{j}{2}}}{\prod_{l=1}^{M-j-1} (s-l)} T^{M-j}(j, n; M, s; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \delta, \varphi, z | t)$$

where, in the product, the term corresponding to  $l=s$ , if it occurs, is to be omitted, and

a) if  $M_{ij-s}$  is not a positive integer

$$T^{\pm}(j, n; M, s; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | t) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ \int_{x^+}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{(0+)} + \int_{-\infty}^{x^-} \int_{-\infty}^{(0+)} \right] \int_{\infty}^B b_{n-j}^{(n)}(y) y^{M_{ij-s}-1} \csc \left[ \pi \left\{ u + i(z - x - iy) t \right\} \right] d\rho(u) dy dB(y)$$

while

b) if  $M_{ij-s}$  is a positive integer

$$T(j, n; M, s; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | t) = \left[ \int_{x^+}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{(0+)} + \int_{-\infty}^{x^-} \int_{-\infty}^{(0+)} \right] \int_{\infty}^B b_{n-j}^{(n)}(y) y^{M_{ij-s}-1} \operatorname{arcc} \left[ \pi \left\{ u + i(z - x - iy) t \right\} \right] d\rho(u) dy dB(y)$$

c) and if  $M_{ij-s} = s$

$$T(j, n; M, s; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | t) = \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{x^-} - \int_{x^+}^{\infty} \right] \int_{\infty}^B b_{n-j}^{(n)}(y) \csc \left[ \pi \left\{ u + i(z - x) t \right\} \right] d\rho(u) dB(y)$$

i) The functions  $w^{\pm}(k, m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z)$  are analytic over their domains.  $\Rightarrow$  definition.

ii) Setting  $W(k, m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | t) = w^+(k, m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | t)$   
for  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$

$$\bar{G}_{m+z}(n; \rho, \alpha, \beta) \sum_{k=0}^{m+?} w^+(z) =$$

$$i^{\frac{m}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-\frac{k}{2})_{(-1)}^k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{\frac{m}{2}} w(k, m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | t) dt$$

for  $m = M, M+1, \dots$  ( $m > n$ ) and  $z = 0, 1, \dots$

(iii) For  $m = M, M+1, \dots$  ( $m > n$ )

$$\bar{G}^{\pm}(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | \omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(k, m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | t) \frac{dt}{(1 + i\omega t)^k}$$

for  $\omega \in \mathbb{H}^{\pm}$  respectively

(iv) For  $m = 0, 1, \dots$  the functions  $\bar{G}^{\pm}(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | \omega)$  may be continued analytically across the two open segments  $\pm i(0, \infty)$  of the imaginary axis in the  $\omega$ -plane, and defining the concave open domains  $\Delta^{\pm}$  by

$$\Delta^+(z) = \Delta(-\arg(z - x^+), \pi - \arg(z - x^-)) \quad \frac{\pi - \frac{1}{2}}{2} \dots \frac{3\pi}{2} +$$

$$\Delta^-(z) = \Delta(\pi - \arg(z - x^+), 2\pi - \arg(z - x^-))$$

when  $\operatorname{Im}(z) > 0$  and

$$\Delta^+(z) = \Delta(-\pi - \arg(z - x^-), -\arg(z - x^+))$$

$$\Delta^-(z) = \Delta(-\arg(z - x^-), \pi - \arg(z - x^+))$$

when  $\operatorname{Im}(z) \leq 0$ .  $\bar{G}^{\pm}(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z | \omega) \in \mathcal{A}_0(\Delta^{\pm}(z))$

(v) For values of  $\omega \in \{\Delta^+(z) \cap \Delta^-(z)\} \cap \mathbb{H}_i^\pm$

$$\overline{G}^+(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z|\omega) = \overline{G}^-(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z|\omega) + \\ \omega^m \overline{B}(M, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z|\omega)$$

where

$$B(M, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z|\omega) = 2\pi\omega^{M-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} n^\pm(k, M; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z|\lambda)$$

$\lambda$  being  $d/d\lambda$ , where  $\lambda = i/\omega$  ( $m=0, 1$ )

(vi) a)  $\overline{f}(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z|\omega)$  represents  $\overline{G}^+(m, n; \rho, \alpha, \beta; \psi, z|\omega)$  asymptotically as  $\omega$  tends to zero in  $\Delta^+(z)$

b) If  $\rho \in \hat{\mathcal{B}}(n; \alpha, \beta)$  (or  $\rho \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(n; \alpha, \beta)$ ),  $\omega \overline{f}$  in the preceding clause may be replaced by  $\overline{f}$  (or  $\tilde{f}$ )

Theorem With  $B \in BV(-\infty, \infty)$  constant over the interval  $(\hat{s}, \hat{s}) \subset (-\infty, \infty)$  and

$\Im z > 0$ . Let

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\hat{s}} f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{s}} \underline{c}(B(s))$$

$\Im z > 0$  and

i) a) For  $\tilde{J} > 0$  and  $l=1, \dots, m, j=1, \dots, n, m=\tilde{J}, \tilde{J}+1, \dots, \tilde{J}+n$  (fixed) the functions

$$W(\rho; i\gamma, x, n, m, l, t) =$$

$$\frac{(-1)^{\tilde{J}-1}(it)^{m-j}}{2} \sum_{j=l}^n \binom{l-j-1}{m} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{s}} \int_{-\infty}^{i\infty} + \int_{\hat{s}}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^0 \right] \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{s}} \frac{\tilde{L}_{k,j}(t)^{j-1}}{(n-j)!(J+j-1)!} \csc^2 \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \gamma_j y_1 z + (x-s)it \right] dy dz dt$$

$$d\rho dy dz dt$$

are analytic for  $x \in S(\hat{s}, \hat{s})$  and, when  $\Im m(x) \geq 0$  over the first 1) the sectors

$$\Delta\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} - \arg(\hat{s} - x), \frac{\pi}{2} - \arg(x - \hat{s})\right), \Delta\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} - \arg(x - \hat{s}), \frac{\pi}{2} - \arg(\hat{s} - x)\right)$$

and when  $\Im m(x) \leq 0$  over the second; furthermore the functions  $W(\rho; i\gamma, x, l)$  obtained from the above formula with  $(-1)^{\tilde{J}-1}$  replaced by  $(-1)^{\tilde{J}}$  and the symbols  $\int_{-\infty}^{i\infty}$  and  $\int_0^0$  interchanged are also analytic for  $x \in S(\hat{s}, \hat{s})$  and for  $t$  belonging to the  $-i\infty$  first or second 1) the sectors obtained from ( ) by adding  $\frac{\pi}{2}\bar{n}$  to all limits according as to whether  $\Im m(t) \geq 0$  or  $\leq 0$

With  $W(\rho; i\gamma, x, n, m, l, t)$  as just defined over in particular the sectors segments with ends  $(0, \infty) \pm t - (\infty, 0)$

$$e_{m,n}^{(p,m)} = i^m \sum_{l=1}^n \left( \frac{-t}{\pi} \right)^l \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{s}} W(\rho; i\gamma, x, n, m, l, t) dt \quad \text{for } m=j, j+1, \dots, \tilde{J}, \tilde{J}+1, \dots, n.$$

Formula ( ) also holds when as described when  $\bar{J}=0$ , now with  $m=1, 2, \dots$  if the term corresponding to  $j=1$  in the sum ( )  
~~such that~~

for the function  $w(\rho; y, x, n, m, 1; t)$  is replaced by

$$\frac{1}{2}(it)^m \left( -\frac{1}{m} \right) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^s \int_0^n \frac{B_{n-1}(y)}{(n-1)!} \text{ct} \left\{ \pi \{ y + (x-s)t \} i \right\} d\rho(y) dL(s) \right. \\ \left. - i \left\{ P(\infty) - P(-\infty) \right\} \int_0^n \frac{B_{n-1}(y)}{(n-1)!} d\rho(y) \right]$$

then

With  $w(\rho; y, x, n, m, l; t)$  as just described defined over in particular the intervals  $(-\infty, s)$  and  $(s, \infty)$

$$e^{(\rho, n)} \sum_{m=1}^{m+z} \psi(x) = i^z \sum_{l=1}^n \left( \frac{-l}{i} \right) \int_{-\infty}^x t^z w(\rho; y, x; n, m, l; t) dt$$

for  $m = \bar{J}, \bar{J}+1, \dots$  ( $\bar{J} > 0$ ),  $z = 0, 1, \dots$

Theorem . With  $B \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\infty, \infty)$  constant over the interval  $(\tilde{s}, \tilde{s}) \subset (-\infty, \infty)$

Let and  $\Im z > 0$  let

$$\psi(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(z-t)\rho} \cdot \frac{dt}{t - s}$$

i) a) For  $\ell = 1, \dots, n, j = l, \dots, n, m = \tilde{j}, \tilde{j}+1, \dots, (\tilde{j}+n)$ , the functions

$$W(\rho; \psi, x, n; m, \ell; t) =$$

$$(it) \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \binom{m, j-l}{m, m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n-j}(y)}{(n-j)! (j+l-1)!} \csc^2 \left[ \frac{\pi}{\eta} \right] \left\{ (s-x) \operatorname{Li}_1(y) \right\} dy dx dt dz dy dz$$

are analytic for all  $x \in S(\tilde{s}, \tilde{s})$  and at all points  $t \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$

b) For  $m = \tilde{j}, \tilde{j}+1, \dots, (\tilde{j}+n)$ , the function  $E_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, m)+}$  of formula ( ) is now given by over  $H^+$

$$E_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, m)+} (a_i) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{W(\rho; \psi, x, n; m, \ell; t) dt}{(1 + i \omega t)^{\ell}}$$

and for these values of  $m$   $E_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, m)-}$  has a similar alternative

representation over  $H^-$

c) For  $m = 0, 1, \dots$  the function  $E_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, m)+}$  directly defined by

the functions  $E_{\rho; \psi, x}^{(n, m)+}$  may be continued analytically across the segments  $\Im t = 0, \omega$  of the imaginary axis and, denoting the functions so directly defined by formulae of the type ( ) and by

obtained by analytic continuation by the symbol  $E_{\rho;4,x}^{(n,m)+}$

- c) For  $m=0,1,\dots$ , the functions  $E_{\rho;4,x}^{(n,m)\pm}$  directly defined by formulae of the type ( ) and obtained from  $E_{\rho;4,x}^{(n,m)+}$  by use of relationship when  $m < \max(\bar{j}, 1)$  may be continued analytically across the segments of the imaginary axis
- d) Denoting by the same symbol the functions directly defined and obtained by analytic continuation,  $E_{\rho;4,x}^{(n,m)+}$  and  $E_{\rho;4,x}^{(n,m)}$  are at points common to over the sectors  $\Delta(-\pi - \arg(\hat{x}-x), \pi - \arg(x-\hat{x}))$  and  $\Delta(-\arg(\hat{x}-x), 2\pi - \arg(x-\hat{x}))$  respectively and over the union of these two open sectors, the relationship

$$E_{\rho;4,x}^{(n,m)+}(i) = E_{\rho;4,x}^{(n,m)-}(i) - 2\pi i d \sum_{l=1}^m \frac{(-1)^l}{(l-1)!} \partial^{l-1} N(\rho;4,x; n, m, l; \lambda)$$

where  $\lambda = i/\omega$ , holds for all  $m, n=0, 1, \dots$  such that  $m+2 \geq \max(\bar{j}, 1)$ .  
the sector pair  $\{\}$  must be replaced by  
When  $\operatorname{Im}(x) \leq 0$ ,  $x$  and  $x-\hat{x}$  must be interchanged in

the above result.  $\Delta(-\pi - \arg(x-\hat{x}), \pi - \arg(\hat{x}-x)), \Delta(-\arg(x-\hat{x}), 2\pi - \arg(\hat{x}-x))$

- e)  $E_{\rho;4,x}^{(n,m)}$  represents the functions  $E_{\rho;4,x}^{(n,m)\pm}(w)$  asymptotically as  $w$  tends to zero over the open sectors over which these functions are analytic as described in clause d). If  $\rho$  is

subjected to the condition 1 or 3 of clause ( ) of Theorem .  
the asymptotic result of formula ( ), or of its counterpart as described, hold for the series  $\hat{E}_{p,4,x}^{(m,n,2m)} \text{ or } \hat{E}_{p,4,x}^{(n,2m+1)}$  over the extended sectors, as just described.

f) If  $\zeta=0$  and  $\rho$  satisfies the condition imposed in clause ( )

of Theorem ., the results of clauses a,b) hold with  $m=0$  and that of clause d) holds with  $m=t=0$ . Furthermore, the function  $E_{p,4,x}^{(1,0)+}$  has the special representation

$$E_{p,4,x}^{(1,0)+}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\tilde{s}} \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^s \bar{\psi}(y + \frac{x-s}{\omega}) d\rho(y) dB(s) - \{ \rho(1) - \rho(0) \} \int_{-\infty}^s m\left(\frac{x-s}{\omega}\right) dB(s) \right]$$

holding  $+ \int_{-\infty}^{\tilde{s}} \int_0^1 \bar{\psi}(1-y - \frac{x-s}{\omega}) d\rho(y) dB(s) - \{ \rho(1) - \rho(0) \} \int_{-\infty}^s m\left(\frac{s-x}{\omega}\right) dB(s)$   
over the first ( ) the second ( ) when  $\operatorname{Im}(x) \geq 0$  and vice versa. The first of the two ( ) if  $\operatorname{Im}(x) > 0$  and  $-E$  over it, and;  $E_{p,4,x}^{(1,0)-}$  is given by  $\frac{1}{\omega}$  by a similar formula  
in which the argument  $\bar{\psi}(y + \frac{x-s}{\omega}, x-s)$  and  $1-y - \frac{x-s}{\omega}, \frac{s-x}{\omega}$  are interchanged. When  $\operatorname{Im}(x) \geq 0$

$$E_{p,4,x}^{(1,0)-}(\omega) = E_{p,4,x}^{(1,0)+}(\omega) + \frac{\pi i}{\omega} \{ \rho(1) - \rho(0) \} \{ B(\omega) - \bar{B}(-\omega) \} + \frac{\pi i}{\omega} \int_{-\infty}^1 \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^s \frac{(x-s)(y-s)}{xy} d\rho(y) dB(s)$$

at points common to the two ~~several~~ sectors of the relevant  $\operatorname{Im}(z)$ .

f) If  $\zeta=0$  and  $p$  satisfies the condition imposed in clause ( ), then the results of clauses a,b) hold with  $m=0$ , and that of clause d) holds with  $m+z=0$ .

Theorem, and the term in the sum formula for the function  $w(p; \alpha, x, m, \beta, \gamma, 1, t)$  is replaced by

$$\frac{-1}{2} (it)^m (-1)^m \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{s}} \int_0^n \frac{B_{n-1}(y)}{(n-1)!} \cot \left\{ \pi \left\{ y - (x-s)ti \right\} \right\} d\rho(y) dB(s) \right. \\ \left. - i \left\{ B(\infty) - B(-\infty) \right\} \int_0^n \frac{B_{n-1}(y) B(y)}{(n-1)!} \right]$$

when  $t > 0$ , and reversing the sign of this expression when  $t < 0$ , the results of clauses (a,b) hold with  $\zeta=0$  and that of clause d) holds with  $m+z=0$ .

(ii) If  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im}(i+s) dB(s) < \infty$ , the function  $E_{p, \alpha, 1, z, x}^{(1, \omega)}(\omega)$  has the

special representation

$$E_{p, \alpha, 1, z, x}^{(1, \omega)}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{s}} \int_0^1 \bar{\Psi}(y + \frac{x-s}{\omega}) d\rho(y) dB(s) - \left\{ \rho(1) - \rho(0) \right\} \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{s}} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{x-s}{\omega}\right) dB(s) \right. \\ \left. + \int_{\hat{s}}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \bar{\Psi}(1-y - \frac{x-s}{\omega}) d\rho(y) dB(s) - \left\{ \rho(1) - \rho(0) \right\} \int_{\hat{s}}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{s-x}{\omega}\right) dB(s) \right]$$

( $\bar{\Psi}$  being the logarithmic derivative of the  $F$ -function) holding over the first of the sectors ( ) when  $\operatorname{Im}(x) \geq 0$  and over the

first of the sectors ( ) if  $\operatorname{Im}(z) \leq 0$ ;  $E_{\rho; 0, 1; 4, \infty}^{(1,0)-}$  is given over the second sector by the relevant pair by a similar formula in  
(corresponding numbers)  
 which the argument pairs  $y + \frac{x-s}{w}, x-s$  and  $1-y - \frac{x-s}{w}, \frac{s-x}{w}$   
 are interchanged. When  $\operatorname{Im}(z) > 0$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\rho; 0, 1; 4, \infty}^{(1,0)+}(\omega) &= E_{\rho; 0, 1; 4, \infty}^{(1,0)-}(\omega) + \frac{\pi i}{\omega} \left\{ \sigma(1) - \sigma(0) \right\} \left\{ P(\infty) - P(-\infty) \right\} \\ &\quad + \text{[Diagram]} \end{aligned}$$

↓  
ω ↓  
Cut for ω

$$+ \frac{\pi}{\omega} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{s}} \int_0^1 \int_{-\infty}^0 \right] \text{cut} \left\{ \pi \left\{ \frac{x-s}{w} + y \right\} \right\} \sigma(\omega) dB(s)$$

at points common to the sectors of the relevant pair ( ) or ( ).

Proof. The removable singularities<sup>in  $H^+$</sup>  of the function defined by formula ( )<sub>s</sub> are those values of  $t \in H^+$  for which  $y + (x-s)it = r$  where  $y$  ranges over all  $y$  and  $s$  range over all values for at which  $p$  and  $B$  have points of increase and  $\sigma = \dots, -\pi, 0, \pi, \dots$ . These singularities are confined to the complement in the complex planes  $H^+$  of the first or second of the second sectors ( ) (depending upon whether  $\operatorname{Im}(x) > 0$ ), and the function is analytic over the appropriate sector itself (this sector contains the ~~real~~ segment  $(0, \infty)$ ). Similar remarks may be made concerning the function obtained over the sectors obtained from ( ) by adding  $\pi$  to the limits.

Proof. It is clear that  $\psi$  is an even function at the outset due to commutativity of  $\mathcal{B}(s)$ . For  $x \in S(\tilde{s}, \tilde{\theta})$ , and further, that for  $m=3, 3+1, \dots (6k), k=0, 1, \dots$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{m=3}^{m+2} \psi(x) = (-1)^{m+2} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-s)v} \mathcal{B}(s) dv \right]$$

$m=3, 3+1, \dots (6k), k=0, 1, \dots$   
where  $m \geq 0$

Using formulae (1, 2), it follows that for the above values of  $m$ ,

$$\sum_{m=3}^{m+2} \psi(x) = (-1)^{3+2-m} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ l=1}}^m \left( \frac{-l}{t} \right) \sum_{j=l}^m \binom{m+j-l}{m} i^j (t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4)$$

where

$$t_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n-j}(y)}{(n-j)!} v^{m-j+1} e^{-(x-s)v} \sum_{l=1}^m (2\pi)^{-m-j-2} e^{2i\pi ly} \frac{dy}{dy} d\mu(y) d\mathcal{B}(s) dv$$

$t_2$  is obtained from  $t_1$  by multiplication by  $(-1)^{m+3+2}$  and replacement of  $e^{2i\pi ly}$  by  $e^{-2i\pi ly}$ ,  $t_3$  is obtained from  $t_1$  by reversing its sign

and replacement of the symbols  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$  by  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ , and  $t_4$  is obtained

from  $t_3$  in exactly the same way that  $t_2$  is obtained from  $t_1$ . Setting

~~$t = 2\pi k$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots$ )~~ and  $t_1$  can, when be expressed in the

form

$$t_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n-j}(y)}{(n-j)!} t^{m-j+1} \sum_{l=1}^m (2\pi)^{-l-j+1} e^{2\pi ly - (x-s)t} \frac{dy}{dy} d\mu(y) d\mathcal{B}(s) dt$$

and subsequently on  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$  in

$$t_1 = -\frac{\pi i^{-j-i}}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{m-j+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n-j}(y) z^{j+i-1}}{(n-j)! (j+i-1)!} \csc \frac{\pi}{2} (y+2+(x-s)t) \frac{dy}{dy} dz \frac{dt}{dt} d\mathcal{B}(s) dt$$

Similar expressions may be obtained for  $b_2, b_3$  and  $b_4$ , and

The above analysis may still hold when  $j=0$  at  $j>1$

formula ( ) is blamed. The special result concerning expression ( )  
when  $\zeta = \tilde{\zeta}^{j+1}$  is denied, as above without the use of formula ( ) for  $J_{n+1}(l)$ , no other  
(the  $W$ ) function with  $l \geq 1$  can interfere in the sum ( ) with  $j=1$ .  
This is evident from formula ( ) (after replacing  $j=1$  by  $l, \tilde{l}$ ), if  
(of course)

satisfied is as mentioned at the commencement of Theorem

the function is  $(p; q, z, n, m)$

It is easily verified that in-dep<sup>th</sup> of the functions  $\Theta(p; q, z, n, m, l; t)$   
of similar size, for the function  $\psi$  now being considered, analytic  
at all points  $t \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$  and that, with  $d = dt/dt$  and for  
the  $l, j, m$  as stipulated in clause (a).

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Theta(p; q, z, n, m, l; t) = W(p; q, z, n, m, l; t)$$

The result of clause (b) follows immediately, as does that of clause (c)  
from clause ( ) of Theorem - in view of the remark just  
made concerning the nature of the functions occurring in  
relationship ( ) over  $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$ .

It follows from clause ( ) of Theorem - that relationship  
( ) obtains for all  $m, z = 0, 1, \dots$  with  $|m| \geq \max(j, l)$  over all regions  
in the  $Wz$ -plane such that the constituent functions in this  
relationship are analytic, i.e. over the sectors ( ) or ( ) according as  
to whether  $\operatorname{Im}(z) > 0$  or  $< 0$ . The functions  $\Theta(p; q, z, n, m, l; t)$ , for  $l, j, m$   
as presented in clause (a), satisfy the conditions of clause ( ) of

or second (depending upon the condition  $y_{12} \neq 0$  or  $1 - y_{12} \neq 0$ )  
Theorem over the first of the sectors ( ) and over the first of the  
second of the second of the sectors obtained from them by adding  $\pi$   
to the units; the result of clause (ie) now follows from these 2 cases  
( ) of Theorem -- .

The series  $E_{p; \phi, x}^{(1,0)}$  obtained from the function  $\psi$  described in clause (ii) is, according to clause (i) of Theorem,  $(\bar{B}, 1)$  summable over  $(0, \infty)$  to a ~~spec~~ function having ~~a special form~~ that is free of the index of the sum (1) with  $m=1, n=0$ . An alternative expression for this function may be given. The function  $f_1$  of formula (1) is, in the case being considered, given by

$$f_1(wu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-yu/\lambda(x, s, w)}}{e^{-u/\lambda(x, s, w)} - 1} + \frac{\lambda(x, s, w)}{w} \int \frac{1}{x-s} d(\rho y) dB(s)$$

where  $\lambda(x, s, w) = (x-s)/w$ . For  $w \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $f_1(wu)$  is analytic ~~on~~ for  $|u| < 2\pi \{\min |x-\hat{s}|, |x-\tilde{s}|\}/w$  and regular, when  $x \in S(\hat{s}, \tilde{s})$  is fixed, over the sector  $w \in \Delta(-\frac{\pi}{2} + \arg(x-\hat{s}), \frac{\pi}{2} + \arg(\tilde{s}-x))$  when  $\operatorname{Im}(x) \geq 0$  and  $w > 0$ , the sector obtained by interchanging  $x-\hat{s}$  and  $\tilde{s}-x$  when  $\operatorname{Im}(x) \leq 0$ , and over  $(0, \infty)$  for all  $x \in S(\hat{s}, \tilde{s})$ . The function  $S_1$  of formula (1) may be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(wu) &= \frac{1}{w} \left[ \int_0^\infty \int_0^{\hat{s}} \int_{-\infty}^1 \left\{ \frac{e^{-z\{y+\lambda(x, s, w)\}}}{e^{-z}-1} + \frac{e^{-z}}{z} + \frac{e^{-z\lambda(x, s, w)} - e^{-z}}{z} \right\} d(\rho y) dB(s) dz \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^\infty \int_0^{\tilde{s}} \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{e^{-z\{1-y+\lambda(x, s, w)\}}}{e^{-z}-1} + \frac{e^{-z}}{z} + \frac{e^{z\lambda(x, s, w)} - e^{-z}}{z} \right\} d(\rho y) dB(s) dz \right] \end{aligned}$$

and  $S_1$  is the function  $E_{p; \phi, x}^{(1,0)+}$  of formula (1). That  $E_{p; \phi, x}^{(1,0)}$  is  $(\bar{B}, 1)$  summable to  $E_{p; \phi, x}^{(1,0)+}$  over the sectors described in clause (ii) is

demonstrated in a similar fashion, as is also the correctness of  
the ~~first~~ counterpart to formula ( ) for  $E_{\rho, q, z}^{(1,0)-}$ . Relationship ( )  
follows from a simple property of the function  $\Psi$ .

**Lemma** . 1) i)  $\tilde{f}_{n,r}$   $n=1,2,\dots, r=n, n+1, \dots$  and  $0 \leq y \leq n$

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}_r^{(n)}(y)}{r!} = (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{n-j-1} (-1)^j \frac{\mathcal{B}_j^{(n)}(y)}{j!} \frac{\tilde{B}_{r-j}(y)}{(r-j)!}$$

ii) For  $n=1,2,\dots, r=n, n+1, \dots, r=0, 1, \dots$  and  $0 \leq y \leq n$

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}_{r+n+1}^{(n)}(y)}{(r+n+1)!} = i^{-n} \sum_{l=1}^m \left( -\frac{i}{r} \right) \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} u^r \phi(n, l, r, y; u)$$

where  $\phi(n, l, r, y) \in \mathcal{BV}(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots, l=1, \dots, m$ ,

$r=n, n+1, \dots, 0 \leq y \leq n$ ) and

$$\phi(n, l, r, y; u) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{r-j-l}{r-n} (-1)^j \frac{\mathcal{B}_j^{(n)}(y)}{j!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{r-j}(y+uw)}{(r-j+1)!} e^{-iw} dw$$

and  $\phi(n, l, r, y) \in \mathcal{BV}(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots; l=1, \dots, m; r=n, n+1, \dots; 0 \leq y \leq n$ ),

3) i) For  $n=1,2,\dots, r=n, n+1, \dots$  and  $0 \leq y \leq n$

$$\mathcal{E}_n^{(n)}(y) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} (-1)^j \mathcal{B}_j^{(n)}(y) \tilde{E}_{r+n-j-1}(y)$$

ii) For  $n=1,2,\dots, r=n, n+1, \dots$  and  $0 \leq y \leq n$

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_{r+n}^{(n)}(y)}{(r+n)!} = i^{-n} \sum_{l=1}^m \left( -\frac{i}{r} \right) \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} u^r \phi(n, l, r, y; u)$$

where  $\phi(n, l, r, y; u) = \frac{(-1)^{n+l}}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n+j+1-l}{r} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n-j-1}^{(n)}(y)}{(n-j-1)!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{r+j}(y+uw)}{(r+j)!} e^{-iw} dw$

and  $\hat{B}(n, l, r, y) \in BV(-(\gamma_n)^{-1}, (\gamma_n)^{-1})$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ;  $l = 1, \dots, n$ ;  $r = n, n+1$ ;  $0 \leq y < n$ )

Proof. Express  $B_\tau$  as a linear combination of  $\hat{B}_\tau^{(n)}(y)$  and  $\hat{B}_{\tau-1}^{(n)}(y)$ . Evidently  $\hat{B}_\tau^{(n)}(y) = B_\tau^{(n)}(y)$  as described in clause (ii) when  $n=1$ . Assume that this relationship also holds as described for some  $n \geq 1$ , with  $0 \leq y < n$ , from the linear combination of — Let  $0 \leq y < n$ , and replace  $B_2$  and  $B_{2-1}$  ( $= B_{1+1}$ ) by  $\hat{B}_2^{(n)}$  upon the right hand side of formula ( ) by  $\hat{B}_2^{(n)}$  and  $\hat{B}_{2-1}^{(n)}$  ( $= B_{1+1}$ ); the resulting expression is  $\hat{B}_2^{(n+1)}$ , i.e., the stated result holds for with  $n$  replaced by  $n+1$  and  $0 \leq y < n$ . Rep. Replace  $y$  by  $y+1$  using relationship ( ), it is found that  $\hat{B}_\tau^{(n+1)}(y+1) = \hat{B}_\tau^{(n+1)}(y) + \tau \hat{B}_{\tau-1}^{(n)}(y)$  when, in particular,  $n-1 \leq y \leq n$ ; hence  $\hat{B}_\tau^{(n+1)}(y) = B_\tau^{(n+1)}(y)$  over the complete range  $0 \leq y \leq n+1$ .

From formula ( )

$$\hat{B}_\tau(y) = - \frac{\omega_{\tau+1}}{\tau!} (\gamma_0 \pi)^{-\tau} e^{\gamma_0 \pi y}$$

for  $\tau = 2, 3, \dots$  and  $y \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . Thus

$$\hat{B}_{j+r+1}(y) = j^{-r} \int_{-\gamma_0 \pi}^{1/\gamma_0} u^r \chi_{\Omega}(1, 1, j, y; u) \frac{du}{(j+r+1)!}$$

where  $\int_{-\gamma_0 \pi}^{1/\gamma_0} u^r \chi_{\Omega}(1, 1, j, y; u) du$  has a value of

magnitude  $-i-j-1$  units  $e^{iy/w}$  at the point  $u = (2\pi)^{-1} (j=-\dots, -1, 1, \dots)$

and no other point of variation in the range  $-(2\pi)^{-1} \leq u \leq (2\pi)^{-1}$ ; hence the sum of the absolute values of these coefficients is bounded by  $\frac{1}{2\pi}$ :  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_{j+1}(y+uw)| e^{-iw} \frac{dw}{w}$ . Furthermore, using formula ( ) with  $n$  replaced by  $j+1$ ,

$$\psi_{j+1}(y+uw) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\psi}_{j+1}(y+uw)}{(j+1)!} e^{-iw} \frac{dw}{w},$$

for  $j=1, 2, \dots$ ,  $y \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $w \in [-(2\pi)^{-1}, (2\pi)^{-1}]$ . The function

$\psi(n, l, r, y; w)$  of formula ( ) has a saddle of magnitude

$$(-1)^n i^{r-n+1} w^{r-j-l} \sum_{j=0}^{m-l} \binom{r-j-l}{r-n} \frac{\tilde{\psi}_j^{(n)}(y)(iu)^{-j}}{j!}$$

at the point  $u = (2\pi)^{-1} (j=-\dots, -1, 1, \dots)$  and no other point if variation in the range  $-(2\pi)^{-1} \leq u \leq (2\pi)^{-1}$ , and hence  $\psi(n, l, r, y)$  is as characterised in clause (ii); the moments occurring in formula ( ) exist. From formulas ( , )

$$\frac{\tilde{\psi}_{r+j+1}^{(n)}(y)}{(r+j+1)!} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} i^{-r-j-1}}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{r+k-d}{n-d-k} (-1)^k \frac{\tilde{\psi}_k^{(n)}(y)}{k!} \int_{-\infty}^{1/(2\pi)} u^k du \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\psi}_{r+j+1}(y+uw)}{(r+j+1)!} e^{-iw} \frac{dw}{w} \right]$$

(for  $n, r=1, 2, \dots$ ,  $d=0, 1, \dots$  and  $y \in (-\infty, \infty)$ ). Formula ( ) is cleared by use of elementary identities involving binomial coefficients.

Formula ( ) may be cleared by use of relationships ( , ). As in the proof of formula ( ), it follows from formula ( , )

$$\frac{E_n(y)}{n!} = 2^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \left\{ (n-1)!! \right\}^{n-\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{(n-1)y^2}$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , the result of clause (iii) may now be demonstrated by methods analogous to those used to prove clause (ii).

Formula ( ) is given by Norlund (L p. 153, eq. formula (97)); he does not give formula ( ) but gives instead a formula concealed  
formulae ( , ) are given in slightly modified form by  
Norlund (L p. 155 eq. (101) and p. 153, eq. (97))

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{where } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_k}{k!} x^k = \left( \frac{1}{1-x} - \frac{1}{1-e^{-x}} \right)^n \end{aligned}$$

134  $\alpha \in \text{seq}(\mathbb{K})$   $|\alpha| \geq m+r$   $\exists \zeta \in \lambda \cdot \alpha[m, m+r]$

$$\frac{1}{z - \alpha_m} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^r \frac{\pi(\alpha || m+\lambda+1, r-\chi | \alpha_m)}{\pi(\alpha || m+\lambda, r-\chi+1 | z)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\lambda=0}^r \frac{\pi(\alpha || m+r-\lambda+1, \chi | \alpha_m)}{\pi(\alpha || m+r-\lambda, \chi+1 | z)}$$

$$\frac{1}{z - \alpha_{mr}} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^r \frac{\pi(\alpha || m, \chi | \alpha_{mr})}{\pi(\alpha || m, \chi+1 | z)} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^r \frac{\pi(\alpha || m, r-\chi | \alpha_{mr})}{\pi(\alpha || m, r-\chi+1 | z)}$$

134:

$m, n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\chi \in [i]$   $\alpha \in \text{seq}(\mathbb{K})$   $|\alpha| \geq m+n$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\alpha || m, i; \chi) &= \sum_{\omega=0}^i \pi(\alpha || m+n+1, i-\omega | \alpha_{m+n}) \pi(\alpha || m, \omega) \\ &= \sum_{\omega=0}^{\chi} \pi(\alpha || m, \omega | \alpha_{m+n}) \pi(\alpha || m+n+1, i-\omega) \quad \langle \mathbb{K} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

135

$m, i \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\alpha \in \text{seq}(\mathbb{K})$ ,  $f \in \overline{\text{seq}}(\mathbb{K})$   $mri \geq |a|, |f|$ ?

$$\begin{aligned} L(\alpha, f || m, i) &= \sum_{\omega=0}^i \delta(\alpha, f || m, \omega) \pi(\alpha || m, \omega) \quad \langle \mathbb{K} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\omega=0}^i \delta(\alpha, f || m+n, i-\omega) \pi(\alpha || m+n+1, i-\omega) \\ &= \sum_{\omega=0}^i \delta(\alpha, f || mri-\omega, \omega) \pi(\alpha || mri-\omega+1, \omega) \end{aligned}$$

137

$m, i \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$   $\alpha \in \text{seq}(\mathbb{K})$   $f, g \in \overline{\text{seq}}(\mathbb{K})$

i)  $D = \bigcup [\pi(\alpha || m, \omega | \alpha_{m+\omega})] \quad (\omega \in [i])$

$n \in [m+i]$ ,  $k = \max(m, n)$   $h = \min(i, m+n-i)$

a)  $|af, fg| \geq kri$

$$\text{row} \left[ \delta(\alpha, g \parallel n, m-n+2) \right]^{j=[i]} \mathcal{D} =$$

$$\text{row} \left[ O^{[n-m-1]} \mid \left[ \frac{\pi(\alpha \parallel n, m-n+2 \mid \omega_{m+2})}{\pi(\alpha \parallel n, m-n \mid \omega_{m+2})} \right] \{ \overset{\circ}{g}_{k+2} \} \wedge (\alpha, g \parallel n, m-n+2 \mid \omega_{m+2}) \right]^{j=[h]} \mathcal{D} =$$

b)  $|\alpha|, |f| \geq k+i$

$$\text{row} \left[ \pi(\alpha \parallel m+1, i-2) \wedge (\alpha, f \parallel n, m-n+2) \right]^{j=[i]} \mathcal{D} =$$

$$\text{row} \left[ O^{[n-m-1]} \mid \left[ \frac{\pi(\alpha \parallel n, m-n \mid \omega_{m+2})}{\pi(\alpha \parallel n, m-n+2 \mid \omega_{m+2})} \right] \{ \overset{\circ}{f}_{k+2} \} \wedge (\alpha, f \parallel n, m-n+2 \mid \omega_{m+2}) \right]$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\pi(\alpha \parallel n, m-n+i \mid \omega_{m+2})}{\pi(\alpha \parallel n, m-n \mid \omega_{m+2})} \{ \overset{\circ}{f}_{k+2} \} \wedge (\alpha, f \parallel n, m-n+1 \mid \omega_{m+2}) \right\}$$

$$+ \pi(\alpha \parallel m, i-2) \wedge (\alpha, f \parallel n, m-n+1) \} \right]^{j=[h]} ] \quad \langle R \rangle$$

c)  $|\alpha| \geq m+i : \mathcal{D}^{-1} = \cup \left[ u(\alpha \parallel m, 2-i) \right] (i, 2=[i])$

i)  $\mathcal{D} = \cup \left[ t(\alpha \parallel m, 2-i) \right] (i, 2=[i])$

ii)  $\mathcal{D} = L \left[ \pi(\alpha \parallel m+2+1, i-2 \mid \omega_{m+2}) \right] (i, 2=[i])$

$j \in \overline{N}, h = \min(i, j)$

a)  $|\alpha|, |g| \geq m + \max(2, i)$

$$\text{row} \left[ \delta(\alpha, g \parallel m+2, j-2) \right]^{j=[i]} \mathcal{D} =$$

$$\text{row} \left[ \left[ \frac{\pi(\alpha \parallel m \vee j+1-i-j \mid \omega_{m+2})}{\pi(\alpha \parallel m \vee i-1, j-i \mid \omega_{m+2})} \right] \{ \overset{\circ}{g}_{m+2} \} \wedge (\alpha, g \parallel m+1, j-i-1 \mid \omega_{m+2}) \right]^{j=[h]} \mathcal{D} =$$

$$O^{[i-j-1]} ]$$

b)  $|\alpha|, |f| \geq m + \max(i, j)$

$$\text{now } [\pi(\alpha \parallel m, \beta) \Delta (\alpha; f \parallel m \uparrow i, j \downarrow i)]^{d=[i]} \stackrel{\wedge}{D} =$$

$$\text{now } [\pi(\alpha \parallel m \uparrow i+1, j-i \mid \omega_{m,i})]$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\pi(\alpha \parallel m, j \downarrow i) \{ f_{m,i} - \Delta(\alpha; f \parallel m \uparrow i+1, j-i-1 \mid \omega_{m,i}) \}}{\pi(\alpha \parallel m \uparrow i+1, j-i \mid \omega_{m,i})} \right\}$$

$$+ \pi(\alpha \parallel m, i \downarrow i) \Delta(\alpha; f \parallel m \uparrow i+1, j-i-1) \} \right]^{d=[i]} \stackrel{\wedge}{D} [0^{[e-i]}]$$

c)  $\alpha \models \exists m \forall i \quad \stackrel{\wedge}{D}^{-1} = L[\mu(\alpha \parallel m \uparrow i, i \downarrow i; z \downarrow i)] \quad (z, d=[i])$

45

$m, i \in \bar{N}, \alpha \in \text{seq}(K), f \in \overline{\text{seq}}(K), m \uparrow i \subseteq \alpha, |f|_i, x \in K \setminus \alpha[m, m \uparrow i]$

$L(\alpha, \frac{\langle f \rangle}{x - \langle \alpha \rangle} \parallel m, i \mid z)$  is

$$\pi(\alpha \parallel m \uparrow i+1 \mid x) \delta(\alpha, \frac{\langle f \rangle}{\langle x - \langle \alpha \rangle \rangle^2} \parallel m, i) \text{ when } z = x$$

and

$$\frac{1}{z-x} \left\{ \frac{\pi(\alpha \parallel m, i+1 \mid z)}{\pi(\alpha \parallel m, i+1 \mid x)} \Delta(\alpha, f \parallel m, i \mid x) - \Delta(\alpha, f \parallel m, i \mid z) \right\}$$

when  $z \neq x \in K \setminus \alpha$ : (latter expression reduces to  $\frac{f_{m,i}}{x - \alpha_{m,i}}$  when  $x = \alpha_{m,i}$ )

$$x = \alpha_{m,i} \quad (d=[i])$$